Page 2801

1

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

3

2

CASE NUMBER: 05-2012-CF-035337-AXXX-XX

ORIGINAL

4

5

STATE OF FLORIDA,

6

Plaintiff,

7

8

versus

BRANDON LEE BRADLEY

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Defendant,

VOLUME XV OF XV

TRANSCRIPT OF DIGITAL RECORDED JURY TRIAL,

SPENCER HEARING AND SENTENCING

The transcript of the Digital Recorded Proceedings taken in the above-styled cause, at the Moore Justice Center, 2825 Judge Fran Jamieson Way, Viera, Florida, on the 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 26th, 27th, 28th and 31st day of March, the 1st, 3rd, 4th and 8th day of April, 2014 (Trial), the 5th day of June, 2014 (Spencer Hearing), and the 27th day of June, 2014 (Sentencing), before the Honorable Morgan Reinman.

> RYAN REPORTING REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS

> > 1670 S. FISKE BOULEVARD

22

23

24

1 APPEARANCES 2 THOMAS BROWN, ESQ., 3 and JAMES MCMASTER, ESQ., 4 Assistant State Attorneys State Attorney's Office 2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way 5 Building D. 6 Viera, Florida 32940 Appearing for Plaintiff 7 8 J. RANDALL MOORE, ESQ., MICHAEL PIROLO, ESQ, 9 and MARK LANNING, ESQ., 10 Assistant Public Defender Public Defender's Office 11 2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way Building E Viera, Florida 32940 Appearing for 12 Defendant 13 14 Brandon Lee Bradley, Defendant, present 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

		Page 2803
1	INDEX	
2	PROCEEDINGS:	
3	March 18, 2014	22 275
4	March 19, 2014 March 20, 2014	465
5	March 21, 2014 March 26, 2014	755 990
6	March 27, 2014 March 28, 2014	1293 1479
7	March 31, 2014 April 1, 2014	1570 1899
8	April 3, 2014 April 4, 2014 April 8, 2014	2076 2475 2651
9	June 5, 2014 June 27, 2014	2860 2876
10	oune 27, 2014	2010
11	MOTION TESTIMONY:	
12	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
13	ROBERT MARKS: (Proffer)	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	24 35
15	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	33
16	ANDRIA KERCHNER: (Proffer)	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	43 51
18	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	21
19	JEFFREY DIEGUEZ: (Proffer)	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	58
21	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	66
22	TRIAL	
23	JURY SWORN:	140
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2804
1	INDEX	
2	RULE OF SEQUESTRATION:	142
3	OPENING STATEMENT:	
4	By Mr. McMaster	156 189
5	By Mr. Pirolo	109
6	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
7	CHARLES COLON:	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	224
9	ROBERT MARKS:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	237
11	JAMES SEATON:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Moore	249 253
13	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	257
14	AGENT CRAIG CARSON:	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	260
16	CHRISTOPHER MONTESANO:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	290 298
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	302
19	ANDREW JORDAN:	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	303 343
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	348 349
22	Recross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2805
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	VANESSA MCNERNEY:	
4 5	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	351 369 374
6	TAMMY BROWN:	
7 8 9	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown Recross Examination by Mr. Lanning	376 383 384 384
10	MOHAMMAD MALIK:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	385
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	398
13	AGENT CRAIG CARSON:	
14 15	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	428 435 437
16	SERGEANT DARRYL OSBORNE:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	438
18	MAJOR BRUCE BARNETT:	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	446
20	AGENT BRIAN STOLL:	
21	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	451 454
22	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	404
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2806
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	SERGEANT TERRANCE LAUFENBERG:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	455 477
5	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	4 / /
6	AGENT FRANCES DUFRESNE:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	481
8	CORPORAL BRAD CERVI:	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	488 495
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	499
11	Recross Examination by Mr. Lanning	501
12	DEPUTY JAMES TROUP:	
13	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	502 523
14	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Moore Continued Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	532
15	AGENT DON REYNOLDS:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning	551 567
17	Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	572 575
18	Recross Examination by Mr. Lanning	575
19	JEFFREY DIEGUEZ:	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	577
21	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	583 643
22	Recross Examination by Mr. Lanning	644
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

í			
		Page	2807
1	INDEX		
. 2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:		
3	TRISTA LOWMAN:		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster		647 653
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo		<u>გეე</u>
6	DEPUTY VICTOR VELEZ:		
7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster		656
8	DETECTIVE GREG GUILLETTE:		
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown		662
10	ANDRIA KERSCHNER:		
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo		676 692
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown		739 746
13	Recross Examination by Mr. Pirolo		/40
14	OFFICER DERRICK MIDDENDORF:		
15	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster		758
16	SERGEANT MICHAEL CASEY:		
17	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster		769
18	GERARD WEBER:		
19	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster		781
20	SERGEANT TREVOR SHAFFER:		
21	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster		793
22			
23			
24			
25	INDEX		
			,

		Page 2808
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	DETECTIVE CHAD COOPER:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	830 851
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning	931
6	SERGEANT JEFF RAU:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	854 862
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	802
9	OFFICER JENNIFER AMNEUS:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	864
11	MICHAEL RYLE:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	872
13	ANDREA ZIARNO:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	890
15	CSI LISA CONNORS:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning	893 901
17	Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	903
18	CSI JENNIFER MILLER:	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	905 915
20	Closs Examination by Mr. Filoto	213
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2809
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	CSI STEPHANNIE COOPER:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	916
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	977 985
6	Recross Examination by Mr. Lanning	987
7	AGENT DANIEL OGDEN:	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	993
9	OFFICER RON STREIFF:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	997
11	AMY SIEWERT:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	1024
13	CST VIRGINIA CASEY:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1053 1076
15	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning	1076
16	SERGEANT BLAKE LANZA:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1081
18	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	1086 1086
19	DEPUTY MICHAEL THOMAS:	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1088
21	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	1091 1093
22	Recross Examination by Mr. Moore	1093
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

1		Page 2810
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	SAJID QAISER, M.D.:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1102 1129
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	1129
6	AGENT WAYNE SIMOCK:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1137 1249
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	1279
9	Recross Examination by Mr. Moore	1285
10	AMANDA OZBURN: (Proffer)	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	1302 1315
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	1313
13	AMANDA OZBURN:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	1327 1332
15	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	1343
16	Recross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	1343
17	CORY CRUMBLEY:	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1346
19	STATE RESTS:	1372
20	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	1373
21	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
22	RAVEN DUROUSSEAU:	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1398
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2811
1	I N D E X	
2	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
3	DAVID MCGUINNESS:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1426
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Brown	1429
6	LINDA SULLIVAN:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1430
8	Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	1452 1455
9	Recross Examination by Mr. McMaster	1457
10	OFFICER CASSANDRA WORONKA:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Lanning	1503
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Brown Redirect Examination by Mr. Lanning	1505 1505
13	DR. SUSAN SKOLLY-DANZIGER:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1509 1544
15	Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	1553
16	DR. JACQUELYN OLANDER:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1593 1672
18	Cross Examination by Mr. Brown Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	1697
19	DEFENSE RESTS:	1720
20	RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	1720
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2812
1	INDEX	
2	STATE'S REBUTTAL WITNESSES:	
3	DR. BRUCE GOLDBERGER:	
4 5	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	1721 1754 1767
6	DR. PATRICIA ZAPF:	
7 8 9	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown Recross Examination by Mr. Moore	1768 1797 1839 1843
10	STATE RESTS:	1852
11	RENEWAL MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	1854
12		
13	CHARGE CONFERENCE:	1857
14	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:	
15 16	By Mr. Brown By Mr. Lanning By Ms. McMaster	1903 1955 1973
17	JURY CHARGE:	1998
18	VERDICT:	2070
19	JURY POLLED:	2072
20	PENALTY PHASE:	
21	OPENING STATEMENT:	
22	By Mr. Brown	2286 2295
23	By Mr. Moore	2290
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2813
. 1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	CHARLES COLON:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2303
5	GARY SHREWSBURY:	
6 7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	2308 2315
8	OFFICER WILLIAM GLEASON:	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2319
10	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Pirolo	2324
11	JEREMY PILL:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	2325
13	STATE RESTS:	2328
14	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	2328
15		
16	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
17	CASEY GREEN:	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2331
19	JULIE MARTIN:	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2338
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2814
1	INDEX	
2	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
3	DR. JOSEPH WU:	
4 5	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2347 2431 2466
6	DR. JACQUELYN OLANDER:	
7 8 9	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. Brown Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore Recross Examination by Mr. Brown Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2487 2499 2535 2554 2556
10	CARRIE ELLISON:	
11 12	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2566 2578 2580
13		
14	LAWRENCE KEITH NELSON:	
15 16	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. Brown Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2582 2592 2593
17	ANTHONY NELSON:	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2594
19	RONALD MCANDREW: (Proffer)	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2637
21	DEFENSE RESTS:	2708
22	CHARGE CONFERENCE:	2658
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 2815
1	INDEX	
2	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:	
3	By Mr. McMaster	2708
4	By Mr. Moore	2751
5	JURY CHARGE:	2793
6	QUESTIONS:	2834
7		2839 2842
8		
9	VERDICT:	2848
10	JURY POLLED:	2849
11	SPENCER HEARING:	2860
12	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
13	BERNIE BOLTE:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2868
15	BERRY BOLTE:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2870
17	STEVEN PILL:	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2871
19		
20	SENTENCING HEARING:	2876
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

 * *	-	_	T 7
NΙ	1.1	ы,	v
 1 1	L	1.4	\sim

PT.Δ	TMT	TFF	15	EXHI	BITS:

3		DECEDED ON	MARKED	DELONE 2 CTUS
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
5	1	Arrest Warrant	А	232
	2	Arrest Warrant	В	232
6	3	Arrest Warrant	С	232
	4	Amended Arrest Warrant	D	234
7	5	Amended Arrest Warrant	E	234
	6	Copy of Driver's License	F	236
8	7	Application	FZ	257
	8	Photograph	DX	258
9	9	Diagram	Н	292
	10	Diagram	J	306
10	11	Registration Documents	AL	307
	12	Photograph	DD	313
11	13	Photograph	DE	313
	14	Photograph	K	322
12	15	Photograph	L	322
	16	Photograph	M	322
13	17	Photograph	N	322
	18	Photograph	0	322
14	19	Photograph	P	326
	20	Photograph	Q	326
15	21	Photograph	R	326
	22	Photograph	S	326
16	23	Photograph	${f T}$	326
	24	Photograph	U	326
17	25	Photo Lineup Instructions	AF	339
	26	Photo Lineup	AG	339
18	27	Photo Lineup	AH	339
	28	Photo Lineup Instructions	Z	368
19	29	Photo Lineup	AA	368
	30	Photo Lineup	AB	368
20	31	CD	I	392
	32	List of Property	V	393
21	33	Photo Lineup Instructions	AI	396
	34	Photo Lineup	AJ	396
22	35	Photo Lineup	AK	396
	36	Photograph	GA	435
23	37	Photograph	AN	458
	38	Photograph	AO	458
24				
25		INDEX		

				Page 2817
1		INDEX		
2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3			MARKED	
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
5	39	Photograph	AP	458
	40	Photograph	AQ	458
6	41	Aerial Diagram	G	507
7	42 43	DVD DVD	AT AU	531 531
′	4 4	Photograph	FI	532
8	45	Phone Record	ВJ	558
Ŭ	46	Diagram	AX	562
9	47	Photograph	AW	672
,	48	DISC	GB	672
10	49	Driver's License Photo	AM	785
	50	Photograph	AY	788
11	51	Photograph	AZ	788
	52	Photograph	BA	788
12	53	Photograph	BB	788
	54	Photograph	BC	788
13	55	Photograph	BD	788
	56	Photograph	BE	788
14	57	Photograph	BF	788
	58	Photograph	BG	788
15	59	Photograph	BH	788
	60	DVD	BL	841
16	61	DVD	BK	883
	62	Medical Records	FG	893
17	63	Diagram	BZ	897
1.0	64	Diagram	BM	907
18	65	Photograph	BN BO	909 909
1.0	66	Photograph	BP BP	909
19	67 68	Photograph Photograph	BQ	909
20	69	Photograph	BR	909
20	70	Photograph	BS	909
21	71	Photograph	BT	909
21	72	Photograph	BU	909
22	73	Photograph	BV	909
	74	Photograph	BW	909
23	75	Photograph	BX	909
	76	Photograph	BY	909
24		-		
0.5		T N T T T		
25		INDEX		

						Page 2818
1			INI	D E X		
2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:				
3					MARKED	
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION			FOR ID	RECEIVED
5	77 78	Photograph Photograph			CC CD	922 922
6	79	Photograph			CE	922
	80	Photograph			CF	922
7	81	Photograph			CG	922
	82	Photograph			СН	922
8	83	Photograph			CI	922
_	84	Photograph			CJ	922
9	85	Photograph			CK	922
1 0	86	Photograph			CL CM	922 922
10	87 88	Photograph			CM CN	922
11	88 89	Photograph Photograph			CO	922
1 1	90	Photograph			CP	922
12	91	Photograph			CQ	922
12	92	Photograph			CR	922
13	93	Photograph			CS	922
	94	Photograph			DC	938
14	95	Photograph			DF	938
	96	Photograph			DG	938
15	97	Photograph			DH	938
	98	Photograph			DI	938
16	99	Photograph			DJ	938
	100	Photograph			DK	938
17	101	Photograph			\mathtt{DL}	938
	102	Photograph			DM	938
18	103	Photograph			DN	938
	104	Photograph			DO	938
19	105	Photograph			DP	938
	106	Photograph			DR	938
20	107	Photograph			DS	938
0.1	108	Photograph			DT	938
21	109	Photograph			DU DV	938 938
22	110	Photograph			DW DV	938
22	111	Photograph			DY	938
23	112	Photograph			L/ L	930
24						
2 =			י זא ייך			
25			I N I	DEX		

	40.94			Page 2819
1		I N D E	X	
2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3			MARKED	
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
5	113 114	Photograph Photograph	DZ EA	938 938
6	115	Photograph	EB	938
7	116 117	Photograph Room Key	EC FE	938 948
8	118 119	Room Key Sleeve Cartridge	GC EE	952 958
9	120 121	Cartridge Magazine	EG EH	958 958
	122	Cartridges	EI	958
10	123 124	Cartridge Firearm	EJ ED	958 964
11	125 126	Ammunition Box w/ Car Fingerprint Cards	tridges EN GD	966 970
12	127 128	Fingerprint Cards Cell Phone	GE BI	970 1023
13	129	Magazine	EF	1029
14	130 131	Fired Bullet Fired Bullet	CT FA	1033 1035
15	132 133	Fired Bullet Fired Bullet	FC FH	1037 1038
	134	Fired Bullet Jacket	EL	1039
16	135 136	Jacket Fragment Jacket Fragment	FB CU	1043 1045
17	137 138	Piece of Lead Fired Cartridge Case	EM EK	1048 1050
18	139	Fired Cartridge Case	CV CW	1050 1050
19	140 141	Fired Cartridge Case Fired Cartridge Case	CX	1050
20	142 143	Fired Cartridge Case Fired Cartridge Case	CY CZ	1050 1050
21	144 145	Fired Cartridge Case Fired Cartridge Case	DA DB	1050 1050
22	146 147	Fingerprint Examplars Fingerprint Images	GF GI	1063 1065
	148	Fingerprint Images	GH	1065
23 24				
25		INDE	V	
23		TNDE	Λ	

				Page 2820
1		I N D E X		
2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3			MARKED	DEGETTED
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
5	149	Chart	GG	1067
6	150 151	Photograph Swab	FJ FK	1110 1110
	152	Swab	${ t FL}$	1110
7	153	Fingernail Clippings	FM	1110
8	154 155	Fingernail Clippings	FN FO	1110 1110
°	155 156	Photograph Photograph	FP	1110
9	157	Photograph	FQ	1110
	158	Photograph	FR	1110
10	159	Photograph	FS	1110
	160	Photograph	FT	1110
11	161	Photograph	FU	1110
	162	Photograph	FV	1110
12	163	Photograph	FW	1110
	164	Photograph	FX	1110
13	165	DVD	GJ	1149
	166	Buccal Swab	CA	1353
14	167	Buccal Swab	CB FD	1353 1353
15	168 169	DNA Card Swab	EU	1354
13	170	Swab	EW	1354
16	171	Swab	ET	1357
10	172	Swab	EV	1357
17	173	Swab	EX	1357
	174	Swab	EY	1357
18	175	Swab	EZ	1357
	176	Swab	EO	1358
19	177	Swab	EP	1358
	178	Swab	ES	1361
20	179	Swab	ER	1365
	180	Swab	EQ	1366
21	181	Certified Conviction	GK	1851
0.0	182	Certified Conviction	GL CM	1851 1851
22	183	Certified Conviction Certified Judgment	GM GN	2305
23	184	Certified Judgment	GIN	2303
24				
25		INDEX		

г				
				Page 2821
1		INDEX		
2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED FOR ID	RECEIVED
4	NOTIBELL			
5	185 186	Certified Judgment Certified Judgment	GP GO	2305 2305
6	187	Photograph	GQ	2323
7	DEFENDAN	T'S EXHIBITS:		
8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED FOR ID	RECEIVED
9				
10	1 2	Vials of Blood Litigation Package	A B	1444 1477
11	3	DVD Power-point Presentation	I J	2360 2373
12	5	Photograph Photograph	F G	2691 2691
13	7	Photograph	Н	2691
14				
15		* * * *		
16				
17				
. 18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

THE COURT: Six, the victim of the capital felony was a law enforcement officer engaged in the performance of her official duties.

The State may not rely upon a single aspect of the offense to establish more than one aggravating circumstance. Therefore, if you find that two or more of the aggravating circumstances are proven beyond a reasonable doubt by a single aspect of the offense, you are to consider that as supporting only one aggravating circumstance.

If you find the aggravating circumstances do not justify the death penalty, your advisory sentence should be one of life imprisonment without possibility of parole. If you find sufficient aggravating circumstances do justify -- I mean do exist to justify recommending the imposition of the death penalty, it will then be your duty to determine whether the mitigating circumstances outweigh the aggravating circumstances that you find to exist.

Mitigating circumstances. A mitigating circumstance is not limited to the facts surrounding the crime. It can be anything in the life of the defendant which might indicate that the death penalty is not appropriate for the defendant. In other words, a mitigating circumstance may include any

aspect of the defendant's character, background or life, or any circumstance of the offense that reasonably may indicate that the death penalty is not an appropriate sentence in this case.

A mitigating circumstance may not be proven -may not be proved beyond a reasonable doubt by the
defendant. A mitigating circumstance need only be
proved by the greater weight of the evidence which
means evidence that more likely than not tends to
prove the existence of a mitigating circumstance. If
you determine by the greater weight of the evidence
that a mitigating circumstance exists, you may
consider it established and give that weight -- I
mean give that evidence such weight as you determine
it should receive in reaching your conclusion as to
the sentence to be imposed.

Among the mitigating circumstances you may consider are:

One, the capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance.

Two, the capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his conduct or to confirm his conduct to the requirements of the law was substantially impaired.

Three, the age of the defendant, twenty-two, at the time of the crime.

Four, the defendant was severely physically abused as a child.

Five, the defendant was verbally and emotionally abused as a child.

Six, the defendant's mother chose his stepfather over her own children and failed to protect him from their stepfather's abusive treatment.

Seven, the defendant witnessed the physical, verbal and emotional abuse of his siblings by his stepfather.

Eight, the defendant witnessed the physical, verbal and emotional abuse of his mother by his stepfather.

Nine, as a child the defendant had no loving father figure or male role model.

Ten, the defendant had a close loving relationship with his brother Anthony Nelson.

Eleven, the defendant is known by his family and friends to be generous and has contributed financially to the support of his mother and friends.

Twelve, the defendant was addicted to and abused drugs from an early age.

1.0

Thirteen, the defendant suffered from brain damage and brain functional deficits.

Fourteen, the defendant suffered head injury and possible traumatic brain injury.

Fifteen, in October 2011 Travontey, defendant's cousin, was shot to death which had a devastating emotional and psychological impact on the defendant.

Sixteen, the defendant had a two year relationship with Carrie Ellison during which she became pregnant with his child. She miscarried a few days after the death of Travontey Williams.

Thereafter, the defendant began a period of significantly greater drug abuse.

Seventeen, following the loss of his cousin and his girlfriend's miscarriage, the defendant appeared to be distrustful of the motives of others, paranoid, and believed that a hit was placed on his life and obtained a gun to protect himself.

Eighteen, several of the defendant's friends and relatives were murdered or died which appeared to emotionally affect the defendant.

Nineteen, the defendant had been diagnosed with and is being treated for mental disorders with psychotropic medications.

Twenty, the defendant has also been diagnosed

with poly substance dependence in remission in a controlled environment, and passive and dependent personality traits.

Twenty-one, the defendant has a full scale IQ of seventy as assessed in 2013 by the W-A-I-S IV.

Twenty-two, the defendant was cooperative with law enforcement and confessed.

Twenty-three, the existence of any other factors in the defendant's character, background or life, or the circumstances of the offense which would mitigate against the imposition of the death penalty.

If one or more aggravating circumstances are established, you should consider all the evidence tending to establish one or more mitigating circumstances and give that evidence such weight as you determine it should receive in reaching your conclusion as to the sentence that should be imposed.

You have heard evidence about the impact of this homicide on the family, friends and community of Barbara Pill. This evidence was presented to show the victim's uniqueness as an individual and the resilient loss — the resultant loss by Barbara Pill's death. However, you may not consider this evidence as an aggravating circumstance.

Your recommendation to the Court must be based

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on the aggravating circumstances and the mitigating circumstances upon which you have been instructed.

The sentence that you recommend to the Court must be based upon the facts as you find them from the evidence and the law. If after weighing the aggravating and mitigating circumstances you determine that at least one aggravating circumstance is found to exist and that the mitigating circumstances do not outweigh the aggravating circumstances, or in the absence of mitigating circumstances that the aggravating factors alone are sufficient, you may recommend that a sentence of death be imposed rather than a sentence of life in prison without the possibility of parole. Regardless of your feelings in this respect, however, you are neither compelled nor required to recommend a sentence of death. If on the other hand you determine that no aggravating circumstances are found to exist, or that the mitigating circumstances outweigh the aggravating circumstances, or in the absence of mitigating factors that the aggravating circumstances alone are not sufficient, you must recommend imposition of a sentence of life in prison without the possibility of parole rather than a sentence of death.

The process of weighing aggravating and mitigating factors to determine the proper punishment is not a mechanical process. The law contemplates that different factors may be given different weight or values by different jurors. In your decision making process, you and you alone are to determine what weight is to be given to a particular factor.

In these proceedings it is not necessary that the advisory sentence of the jury be unanimous. The fact that the jury can recommend a sentence of life imprisonment or death in this case on a single ballot should not influence you to act hastily or without due regard to the gravity of these proceedings.

Before you ballot, you should carefully weigh, sift and consider the evidence realizing that human life is at stake and bring your best judgment to bear in reaching your advisory sentence.

If a majority of the jury, seven or more, determines that Brandon Lee Bradley should be sentenced to death, your advisory sentence will be a majority of the jury by a vote of blank to blank advise and recommend to the Court that it impose the death penalty upon Brandon Lee Bradley. On the other hand, if by six or more votes the jury determines that Brandon Lee Bradley should not be sentenced to

.

death, your advisory sentence will be the jury advises and recommends to the Court that it impose a sentence of life imprisonment upon Brandon Lee Bradley without possibility of parole.

When you have reached an advisory sentence in conformity with these instructions, that form of recommendation should be signed by your foreperson and dated with todays date and returned to the Court. There is no set time for a jury to reach a verdict. Sometimes it only takes a few moments, other times it takes hours or even days. It all depends upon the complexity of the case, the issues involved and the makeup of the individual jury. You should take sufficient time to fairly discuss the evidence and arrive at a well-reasoned recommendation.

You will now retire to consider your recommendation as to the penalty to be imposed upon the defendant.

Now, if I can have a bench conference with the attorneys.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Are there any instructions that the Court failed to give based on the Court's ruling as to what would be given?

1 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Are there any errors in the reading 2 3 of the instructions? MR. MCMASTER: Some small error, Judge, but I 4 don't the affect anything since each juror had the 5 6 written packet. THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. MOORE: Agreed. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Anything else that we need to discuss? 10 MR. BROWN: I assume it's one verdict form like 11 12 you did in the guilt phase. 13 THE COURT: Yes, I have one verdict form right 14 here and that was not included in their packet. 1.5 Okay. MR. MOORE: It's probably redundant but we 16 renew all motions and objections made up to this 17 18 point. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. BROWN: And is the Court sending back all 21 the evidence as well? THE COURT: Yes. I'm going to discuss that in 22 just a moment. Okay. Okay. Thank you. 23 24 (Thereupon, the benchside conference was

concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. At this time I am speaking to each individual juror. Is there any reason you as a juror are unable to continue to provide your services at this time?

(No response.)

THE COURT: Okay. Numbers 190, 198 and 136, it is the duty of the Court to release you from further service on this jury. As I discussed with you before, because of the order in which the names are drawn you have been seated as alternate jurors. It was not necessary -- it was necessary that we have you serve in case one of the jurors was unable to complete his or her service. Fortunately the jurors chosen prior to you were all able to complete the trial and it will not be necessary for you to serve with us any longer.

Although I cannot let you go into the jury room with the remaining jurors, you are welcome to remain in the courtroom if you wish to hear the recommendation. If not, what I'm going to have you do is you're going to wait in your chairs for just a few moments and once we get done I personally am going to step down and speak with you before you are released.

On behalf the State of Florida, the citizens of

this county, the parties in this case, the attorneys and myself, I wish to thank you for your service.

I'm going to have you remain seated and I will speak to you personally about your service as soon as I release the other jurors.

Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, in just a few moments you will be released to deliberate. The exhibits and the recommendation form will be sent back shortly after you enter the room. As soon as the recommendation form is signed, push the button on the wall and it will advise the court deputy that you need him. He will see to it that all court personnel are present before he returns you to the courtroom.

Okay. Let the record reflect that the defendant was present at all times during all phases of this trial.

Ladies and gentlemen, you will now retire to deliberate your advisory sentence. We will stand in recess and await the call of the jury.

(Thereupon, the jury was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated. If I can have the attorneys review all the exhibits and also review the verdict recommendation form. Okay. We're

1 going to go off the record and -- well, I'll wait just a moment. I'll let them do that. We'll stay on 2 the record for just a moment. 3 4 Okay. Once again, the ammunition and the 5 weapon won't go back. Okay. 6 (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the 7 proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay. Is the exhibit forms and the 8 verdict form, is it acceptable? 10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 11 MR. MOORE: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. If you're going to leave the 12 courtroom, please give a cell phone number to one of 13 court deputies so that you can be reached. We will 14 15 stand in recess and await the call of the jury. 16 Mr. Brandon can go with the court deputies until 17 further notice. Okay. Court will be in recess to 18 await the call of the jury. 19 (Thereupon, a recess was taken in the 20 proceedings.) 21 THE COURT: We have to wait for Mr. Lanning. 22 He can come out. 23 (Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the 24 courtroom by the court deputy.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're waiting for Mr.

Lanning.

(Thereupon, a pause was taken in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay. We'll go ahead and go on the record in the Bradley case. I have a question by the jury. It says, and I'll let you look at it, TV please. 108, 4/8/14, to re-watch Pill dash cam video. So, they're requesting -- you want to show that to them? Requesting that we send back something for them to watch the dash cam video on. So, I think we anticipated this. I think we anticipated this before. It's a DVD, right?

MR. BROWN: Yes, though we obviously played it through the laptop that's there. I don't know if it will play directly on a DVD player because I don't recall if we ever looked because I don't necessarily think it's in DVD format.

MR. MOORE: I think there may be can listen to the audio part of with headphones.

THE COURT: Well, if they asked for headphones I'll get them head phones but they haven't asked for headphones and they have headphones before so. I'm not going to send headphones back.

MR. MOORE: What I'm saying is they may --

THE COURT: They've never asked for headphones.

That's what I'm saying, they may 1 MR. MOORE: not know that we have the ability to enhance the 2 audio portion because we didn't play it on a laptop. 3 THE COURT: You can hear it a lot better on a 4 laptop than you can hear it how it was played in open 5 6 court. MR. MOORE: Could the Court respond by saying that if the audio enhancement (unintelligible). 8 9 THE COURT: Audio enhancement. I'd rather say 10 if you want a earphones you can have ear phones. What does the State say to that? 11 Judge, I quess you can tell them 12 MR. BROWN: 13 but I don't think that necessary. THE COURT: You don't think what? 14 15 MR. BROWN: I don't think that's necessary but 16 maybe tell them if they want to listen to it one at a 17 time. 18 MR. MOORE: Well --19 MR. BROWN: I don't think we're going to have a 20 split for -- I mean, they have external speakers. That's what I was concerned about 21 THE COURT: them listening to it one at a time. 22 MR. BROWN: I think the external speakers work. 23 MR. MOORE: You know, I want to give them every 24

advantage to hear what's on that and if they're not

getting it then they need to let the Court know that they need further enhancement.

THE COURT: Yeah, but audio enhancement can mean a lot of things. That's why I'm concerned about the word audio enhancement.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, I listened to it many times and the only real way (unintelligible). I listened to it on a laptop computer, home computer with a set of headphones and you can hear it a lot better.

MR. MOORE: It's an issue.

THE COURT: Do we have headphones?

MR. MOORE: Court administration may have headphones.

MR. LANNING: I've got two pairs in my car.

THE COURT: I'm not saying that court administration will have headphones.

MR. MOORE: They need to know that if audio enhancement is requested that it can made available.

MR. BROWN: If they want it, they can ask for it.

MR. MOORE: That's what I'm saying. They don't know. That's what I'm saying, they may not know.

THE COURT: Like I said, I don't want to say audio enhancement, that means a lot of different things having had things audio enhanced in here

before. So, I'd rather say if you want a set of headphones, we can provide those to you. But is it going to work off that? Do we think it's going to work off that?

MR. MOORE: I think that's --

THE COURT: Any objection to one of the court deputies going back there and assisting them with the it being -- I don't think they're just going to send that back. So, can they can back there and play it for them and assist them?

MR. MOORE: As long as there's no dialogue, communicating.

THE COURT: Yeah, because we do that in the past. What I can do is -- I can put that in this note too. The deputy will assist you but do not -- no deliberation discussions in front of the deputy, only with regard to the viewing the DVD.

MR. MOORE: Also make them aware that headphones can be available.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. LANNING: And maybe the deputy (unintelligible) get it going.

MR. BROWN: Judge, also the question would be I presume it's the main video but there are two because we did put the slow motion video in. So, we can send

1 both back.

THE COURT: They've already got both, yeah.

MR. BROWN: They can just indicate to the deputy which one they want to play.

(Thereupon, a pause was taken in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Is there a problem if we leave that back there with them or can we not do that?

THE COURT DEPUTY: Well, (unintelligible) laptop. So, I don't know if there's anything on there or not.

THE COURT: That's what I was concerned about, what else may be on the lap top.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, the only concern is wifi.

THE COURT: So, I'll have him stay back there with the equipment. There's wifi on it I think.

(Thereupon, a pause was taken in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay. This is what I wrote. A TV is being provided for viewing, a deputy will be provided to assist. Do not deliberate in the presence of the deputy. Earphones may be provided upon request. Judge Reinman. You want to look at it? Well, why don't you just show it to them to make sure.

Okay. Deputy Blankenship, you can keep that 1 with you and you can go back there and take that 2 machine with you. 3 THE COURT DEPUTY: I need the DVD. 4 5 THE COURT: They've got it. They're in the 6 evidence. 7 All right. We will be in recess again and 8 stand the call and await -- I mean be in recess and await the call of the jury. Thank you. (Thereupon, a recess was taken in the 10 11 proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay. We can bring out 12 13 Mr. Bradley. (Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into 14 15 courtroom by the court deputy.) 16 THE COURT: Okay. We can go on the record in 17 the Bradley case. I have two questions. First one is can we have ear buds? I didn't check that when I 18 19 went back there. 20 MR. LANNING: Judge, I believe the earphones for the court things work. I have brand new set in 21 22 the car. 23 THE COURT: There's one sitting right there. 24 THE COURT DEPUTY: If that's what you want to

give them but it would only be for one person.

THE COURT: Well, that's -- no matter what it's only going to be for one person. Can we -- are we okay with those? Very one okay with those?

MR. BROWN: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay. So, I'll tell them yes and we'll send those back.

MR. LANNING: Do we know they work?

THE COURT DEPUTY: I know they work.

THE COURT: We had some sitting there two.

THE COURT DEPUTY: That's the same one, we've been moving it back and forth.

THE COURT: Oh, we've moving them back and forth. Okay.

MR. BROWN: Judge, if I can just -- if the court deputies (unintelligible) verdict if we can get those back before we leave today.

THE COURT: Are those yours?

MR. BROWN: No, they're from Mr. Pill.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. The next question is is Dr. Wu given permission to publish his findings on Mr. Bradley's scans this case, question mark. I'm going to show you this question.

THE COURT DEPUTY: We had to remind them that they have to write that on a big sheet of paper.

THE COURT: Yes, we heard that, that you told

them just put it on a full sheet of paper. If you 1 want, you can send those back. 2 I would say the Court cannot 3 MR. MOORE: That I mean the Court's unable to answer 4 5 that. MR. BROWN: Pretty much rely upon the evidence 6 7 you received and upon the evidence and --8 MR. LANNING: They do have the presentation 9 back there with them, right? 10 THE COURT: I don't know what that means. MR. MOORE: Perhaps we can ask them to be clear 11 with their question. 12 THE COURT: If they want to view his -- do they 13 want to view his power point? 14 15 MR. PIROLO: They should be able to just pop it 16 in the. THE COURT: Do you want me to say you can view 17 his power point. Otherwise, please restate your 18 19 question. 20 MR. MOORE: Yes. THE COURT: Because I didn't understand the 21 22 question. 23 MR. BROWN: We can do that or just ask them. If they're referring to the power point, if they see 24 that, they know that's in evidence. 25

THE COURT: I need to put -- I need to sign 1 Sorry. Okay. How about I put you can view 2 that. his power point. If that is not your question, 3 please rephrase. 4 MR. BROWN: That's fine. 5 THE COURT DEPUTY: The headphones are working. 6 7 THE COURT: They're working? THE COURT DEPUTY: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Good. And they're going to put their questions on bigger pieces of paper from 10 11 now on. Okay. Does anyone want to look at this? 12 13 MR. BROWN: No. 14 THE COURT: Okay. You can view his power 15 point. If that is not your question, please 16 rephrase. Okay. All right. Once again we'll be in 17 recess and await the call of the jury. (Thereupon, a recess was taken in the 18 19 proceedings.) 2.0 THE COURT: Okay. I have two questions by the jury. The first one is will you poll the jury as to 21 their vote? Now, what I normally say is --22 MR. MOORE: Poll. 23 24 THE COURT: Well, what I'll say -- this is what

I say to them. I mean, I need to say if counsel

requests it the jury will be polled but it will not 1 be necessary that you state how you personally voted, 2 only if the advisory sentence as read was correctly 3 4 stated. 5 MR. BROWN: Correct. THE COURT: Okay. Then the second question is 6 7 can we take a fifteen minute break outside together? Maybe someone smokes. 8 9 MR. BROWN: There are two. 10 THE COURT: There are two smokers? MR. MOORE: As long as they're under the 11 watchful eyes of the courtroom deputies, no problem 12 13 with that. THE COURT: When they go downstairs there's a 14 15 smoking area, right? 16 THE COURT DEPUTY: Yeah, we can take them right out the back door. We'll stay right there with them. 17 MR. BROWN: Is it still raining? 18 19 THE COURT DEPUTY: Yes. 20 THE COURT: So, I'll put -- I'll put fifteen minute break okay. 21 Now, the room downstairs, is that dry where 22

> THE COURT DEPUTY: Yes.

they would smoke at?

23

24

25

THE COURT: They want some fresh air. Okay.

So, let them go outside, just watch them and we'll 1 2 give them a fifteen minute break and can go ahead and 3 do that. I'll put fifteen minute break okay and then I'll work on this other one. 4 5 MR. MOORE: What's the Court's instruction on 6 the polling of the jury? 7 THE COURT: I haven't written it yet. 8 MR. MOORE: Oh, I see. 9 (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the proceedings) 10 11 THE COURT: We know we should inquire as to 12 court facilities to make sure they don't turn off the air conditioner. 13 I just did. 14 THE COURT DEPUTY: 15 THE COURT: Okay. Good. I just thought about 16 that. I had that happen before. They don't turn 17 them off in my office but they turn them off down the 18 middle. 19 (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the 20 proceedings.) 21 Judge, with your permission, I'm MR. BROWN: 22 going to make a call and make sure we don't have 23 anybody outside there. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I think they're going to

take them out back too.

(Thereupon, a pause was taken in the proceedings.)

THE COURT: Okay. This was the question and this is my answer. Will you poll the jury as to their vote? If counsel for either side requests it, the jury will be polled. Thereafter, each juror will be questioned individually concerning the advisory sentence. You will not be asked how you personally voted or how any other person voted but only if the advisory sentence as read was correctly stated.

MR. MOORE: Could the Court put that sentence first? I think when you read up to that point it sounds like they are going to be polled individually.

THE COURT: Well, it is called polling the jury.

MR. MOORE: I understand, Your Honor, you can say you will not be asked individually but only as true and yes, you're going to be polled.

THE COURT: I kind of already wrote it but.

That's what I normally say to them. You want to read

it? I don't think they're going to take them out

front. I think they're going to take them out back.

MR. BROWN: Right, but I know we have some people going out, I just wanted to make sure.

THE COURT: That would be good. I know there

was some issues one time with someone walking in and people being there. So, that was good.

I mean, I can cut off the bottom part, put it on a different piece of paper and rewrite it.

MR. MOORE: I'm good. I'd just like to add to this to say in other words, the jury will be asked but not individually.

MR. BROWN: They'll be asked but not individually. They're going to be asked individually.

MR. MOORE: As stated there, they will be asked whether that is --

THE COURT: Yeah, but I have to ask them individually.

MR. MOORE: Yes, I know that, but I can see how they might read that they're going to have to give up what their vote is. Make it clear that they may be asked individually if that is how -- if that is the sentence.

THE COURT: As I say, you will not be asked how you personally voted or how any other person voted.

MR. MOORE: Okay. That's fine.

THE COURT: But only if the advisory sentence as read was correctly stated.

MR. MOORE: Okay.

1 THE COURT: Don't forget the foreperson is a --2 I think a --3 MR. MCMASTER: Ph.D. THE COURT: Ph.D. That's what I thought. 4 Okay. We'll give this to them when they come bank 5 anything. Else we need to address? 6 MR. MCMASTER: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Once again we'll be in recess and await the call of the jury. 9 10 (Thereupon, a recess was taken in the proceedings.) 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. We can bring Mr. Bradley 13 into the courtroom. 14 MR. MOORE: Thank you. Your Honor --15 THE COURT: Can you wait until Mr. Bradley gets 16 in here? 17 MR. MOORE: I was just going to have ask to 18 have a few minutes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. That will be fine. We'll 20 make sure he stays up here and that you'll have an 21 opportunity to talk to him. 22 MR. MOORE: Thank you. 23 (Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the 24 courtroom by the court deputy.) 25 THE COURT: Okay. We can go ahead and -- it's

my understanding that the jury has a verdict so we'll bring them into the courtroom.

(Thereupon, the jury was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Please be seated. Juror number 108, are you the foreperson?

JUROR 108: Yes.

THE COURT: And juror number 108, has the jury reached an advisory sentence?

JUROR 108: Yes.

THE COURT: Can you please hand the recommendation form to the court deputy.

Okay. Mr. Bradley, if you'll please stand to receive the recommendation. Madame clerk, if you'll please publish the recommendation.

THE CLERK: In the Circuit Court of the Eighteenth Judicial Circuit in and for Brevard County, Florida, case number 05-2012-CF-35337-A, in the State of Florida versus Brandon Lee Bradley. We the jury find the following, the advisory sentence: A majority of the jury by a vote of ten to two advise and recommend to the Court that it impose the death penalty upon Brandon Lee Bradley. So say we all in Viera, Brevard County, Florida, this 8th day of

April, 2014, signed by the foreperson, juror number 1 2 108. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated. Okay. Does counsel for either party wish to have the jury 4 5 polled? MR. MOORE: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, 8 we are now going to ask each of you individually 9 concerning the advisory sentence. It is not 10 necessary that you state how you personally voted or how any other person voted but only if the advisory 11 sentence or recommendation as read was correctly 12 13 stated. If it is, you need only answer yes. If it 14 is not, of course, you should answer no. Madame, 15 please poll the jury. 16 THE CLERK: Juror number 147, is this the jury's recommendation? 17 JUROR 147: Yes. 18 19 THE CLERK: Juror number 125, is the jury's 20 recommendation? 21 JUROR 125: Yes. THE CLERK: Juror number 156, is this the 22 jury's recommendation? 23 24 JUROR 156: Yes.

THE CLERK: Juror number 1, is this the jury's

```
1
         recommendation?
2
                JUROR 1: Yes.
3
                THE CLERK: Juror number 5, is this the jury's
          recommendation?
4
5
                JUROR 5: Yes.
                THE CLERK: Juror number 65, is the jury's
6
          recommendation?
7
                JUROR 65: Yes.
8
9
                THE CLERK: Juror number 87, is this the jury's
          recommendation?
10
11
                JUROR 87: Yes.
                THE CLERK: Juror number 103, is this the
12
13
          jury's recommendation?
                JUROR 102: Yes.
14
                THE CLERK: Juror number 114, is this the
15
          jury's recommendation?
16
                JUROR 114: Yes.
17
                THE CLERK: Juror number 124, is this the
18
19
          jury's recommendation?
                JUROR 124: Yes.
20
21
                THE CLERK: Juror number 108, is this the
          jury's recommendation?
22
                JUROR 108: Yes.
23
24
                THE CLERK: And juror number 107, is this the
          jury's recommendation?
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUROR 107: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, on behalf -- ladies and gentlemen of the jury, on behalf the parties, the lawyers, the people of the State of Florida, I wish to thank you for your time and consideration of this case. I also wish to advise you of some very special privileges enjoyed by jurors. No juror can be required to talk about the discussions that occurred in the jury room except by court order. For many centuries our society has relied upon juries for consideration of difficult cases. We have recognized for hundreds of years that a jury's deliberations, discussions and vote should remain their private affair as long as they wish it. Therefore, the law gives you a unique privilege not to speak about the jury's work. Although you are at liberty to speak with anyone about your deliberations, you are also at liberty to refuse to speak to anyone.

A request to discuss either your verdict or your deliberations may come from those who are simply curious, from those who might seek to find fault with you, from the media, from the attorneys or elsewhere. It will be up to you to decide whether to preserve your privacy as a juror.

Once again, on behalf of the State of Florida, the citizens of this county, the parties in this case, the attorneys and myself, I thank you for your service in this case. For our legal system to work it is essential that citizens such as you be willing to sacrifice their time and perform the services that you have just rendered. I hope you do not find this duty to be burdensome or unpleasant and that you will be willing to serve again if the call comes.

Now, at this time I'm going to release you.

I'd ask you that you return to the deliberation room and I will meet with you in just a few moments.

Again, thank you and you may go with the court deputy.

(Thereupon, the jury was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated. I want to talk to the parties about scheduling. Okay, we need to schedule a Spencer hearing. How long do you need in order to prepare for the Spencer hearing?

MR. BROWN: We don't need that long to prepare for the Spencer hearing. From the State's standpoint I believe we'll just have a few survivors speak to the Court, family members and that will be the extent

1 of it.

THE COURT: Okay. So, how long do you think the State's side will take?

MR. BROWN: I wouldn't think anything more than a half hour, forty-five minutes.

THE COURT: Okay. And on behalf of the Defense, how much time do you think you'll need to prepare and how long do you think it will take?

MR. PIROLO: Judge, I haven't talked to Mr.

Lanning about (unintelligible), just thoughts in my head, you know, couple hours. We may request a Spencer hearing about an hour (unintelligible) already determined how long we need. I know Mr. Lanning's got a capital trial coming up.

MR. LANNING: Judge, that trial begins on May 19th.

THE COURT: When does it start?

MR. LANNING: May 19th?

THE COURT: It starts May 19th. I thought I heard you say it ends on May 19th.

MR. LANNING: No, ma'am, it starts May 19th and expected to last (unintelligible).

THE COURT: I mean, I can set the Spencer hearing for one afternoon starting at 1:30 and we could just go until the end of the day.

MR. BROWN:

That will be fine with us.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Then I need to set a sentencing. mean, with all due respect, I was going to set the Spencer hearing in approximately a week and the sentencing in approximately three weeks but if you --

Judge, I can tell you a week's not MR. PIROLO: going to be enough. Mr. Lanning and I need to sit down (unintelligible) we'd need another week.

THE COURT: That's why I'm trying to get some I mean, with all due respect, you know, if the schedule gets busy I'd just as soon put these dates on the calender right now.

MR. LANNING: Because of the other case I would ask that the Spencer hearing be held after that.

THE COURT: I have a different schedule starting July 1st, I was hoping to get this concluded by July 1st. So, I can do it in -- we could do -let me look. May 19th, that puts you -- May 19th, the week of May 19th, the 26th. So, it looks like you would be available the month of June which might work out fine. Well, how about if we do this. you need much time between the Spencer hearing and the sentencing?

MR. LANNING: (Unintelligible) memorandum and some time. So, I would say --

THE COURT: How about setting the Spencer 1 hearing for June the 13th. I mean, all due respect, 2 that's a Friday but that works out better for my 3 trial schedule at 1:30. June the 13th at 1:30? 4 that's for the Spencer hearing and then set the 5 sentencing for June the 27th and I'll set that at 6 1:30 as well. Does that work? 7 MR. PIROLO: Yes, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: State okay with that. Okay. MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Do you want me to order a 11 12 presentence investigation? 13 MR. LANNING: THE COURT: It may be required. I think it's 14 required on one of the other counts. Is it required 15 16 on the robbery count? MR. BROWN: I think they can waive it. 17 THE COURT: Pardon me? 18 MR. LANNING: A score would have to be 19 20 prepared, the PSI can be waived. THE COURT: So, we're waiving a presentence 21 investigation? 22 23 MR. LANNING: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: We would ask that the defendant

concur with that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 1.8

19

21

20

22

23

24 25

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bradley, do you agree with your defense in waiving a presentence investigation?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I will.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Okay. I am going to for purposes of the sentencing solicit memorandums from the State and from the Defense, require each party to identify and argue what activating and mitigating circumstances were established and to rebut the opposing parties argument, and what weight should be given to each of the established circumstances. Now, I want those before the sentencing. So, can I have those -- if we do the Spencer hearing on the 13th.

MR. BROWN: I would think by the Spencer hearing they should be filed.

MR. LANNING: How about by the 22nd? THE COURT: With all due respect, I might need a little bit more time for that for my sentencing order.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, the additional information is in the Spencer hearing.

THE COURT: That's why I'm okay to do it after the Spencer hearing.

MR. BROWN: Judge, I don't have a problem with after the Spencer hearing if they be want to file an additional report but I think they need to give the Court enough for the Court to review so you can do your order.

THE COURT: Can I ask you -- let's do this. If the Spender hearing is on the 13th, can you get me the memorandums by 18th?

MR. PIROLO: Yeah.

THE COURT: So, you should have them mostly done and then you can add in whatever additional. So, I assume you're going to know what evidence you're going to have at the Spencer hearing. So, we'll do the memorandums by June 18th. Okay. Just to recap, the Spencer hearing --

MR. LANNING: Is there a time?

THE COURT: Do it by 5:00 p.m.

MR. BROWN: Can I ask a question?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Is the Court going to order a transcript today? It's beneficial to us in preparing our sentencing memorandum (unintelligible) cite the Court the pages on the record. One has to ultimately be done.

THE COURT: If I order that, do I have to put

how soon that gets done?

MR. BROWN: Well, we're talking June. So, I don't think it would have to be rushed but I think in the ordinary course of business.

THE COURT: I mean, what I'm concerned is I don't want to be responsible for expedition, expedited costs.

MR. MOORE: No. No. If they had if finished within a month we're talking we're going to get it early May. So, that's (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Okay. I'll order the transcript of the guilt phase and the penalty phase of the trial and I'll order -- of everything regarding the trial just so there's no misunderstanding. May the 23rd, expect it by May the 23rd, is that enough time?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

MR. PIROLO: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else on behalf of the State?

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else on behalf of the Defense?

MR. PIROLO: Judge, Mr. Bradley's requested that he be allowed to stay in Brevard County to see his family.

MR. MOORE: He has other pending violations of probation.

THE COURT: You know, I can't, I can't, I can't respond to that request. I don't have a representative here from the Brevard County sheriff's Office. I know that there was reasons why he was not being held in Brevard County, I don't think it's appropriate for me to respond to that request.

MR. PIROLO: That might in fact help (unintelligible).

THE COURT: I mean, if you want to set that for hearing and we have a representative here from Brevard County Sheriff's Office that can address that, I'll -- I note that your preference is that you want him to remain in Brevard County but I can't answer that at this moment. I know that there's reasons why he's not here. I think part of it may have to do for the protection of Mr. Bradley himself. I can't address that without having the Brevard County Sheriff's Office be heard. You can file your motion and Miss Billy will set that.

MR. PIROLO: All right.

THE COURT: I can't -- I'm doing the best I can here to control these schedules. If you want to do that, if it's an issue and they send him somewhere

_ _

else then. I don't know if it's even an issue at this moment. I've heard your request, they've heard your request, I don't know if it's an issue or not.

Okay. Then anything else? Okay. I just want to recap. The Spencer hearing is June the 13th at 1:30, it's scheduled for the rest of the afternoon. The sentencing is June the 27th at 1:30, it will be set for the rest of the afternoon. I've ordered -- requested sentencing memorandums, they're to be -- if you file a copy, you need to give a copy -- if you file a copy and no one gives me notice that that has been filed, I will never know it. So, someone needs to either give me a hard copy or send me an e-mail with it attached so that -- send Miss Billy an e-mail so that I know that this has been filed on June the 18th by 5:00 p.m., and we've ordered the transcripts and we've requested that they be provided by May the 23rd, 2014.

Okay. At this time the defendant is remanded to await sentence, sentencing. There are no further proceedings. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded. Thereafter, court was reconvened on 6/5/2014 and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. We can bring out Mr. Bradley

and he can be seated with his attorneys at counsel table.

(Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy.)

THE COURT: Okay. We're hear for what is called a Spencer hearing in the case of the State of Florida versus Brandon Bradley. Just for the record, the Defense had previously waived a presentence investigation. The purpose of this hearing is to present additional evidence, rebuttal or comments, and also if the defendant wishes to address the Court, he may do so at this time.

Now, I'll start with the State. Is there any additional evidence by the State?

MR. MCMASTER: Yes, Your Honor, we have three witnesses that would like to address the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. McMaster, you may proceed at this time.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, may we approach before we do that?

THE COURT: Okay.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was held out of the hearing of the audience as follows:)

MR. PIROLO: Judge, I'm not sure the order they're going to call their witnesses, but Steven

Pill -- Mr. Bradley was able to view a statement that Steven Pill has written and that he's going to read to the Court and I respect that he has the right to be heard and speak, the portion of the statement that we're going to object to it talks about rap videos that Mr. Bradley had made prior to March 6th, 2012. First of all, the rap videos were never introduced at trial, that's facts not in evidence. There's been no -- never been any proper authentication or foundation laid for any of the videos.

The videos are protected by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution. Any words or phrases used in that context is protected speech. It's not meant to be literal in nature and they're trying to make it literal in nature, trying to infer bad character on Mr. Bradley, infer gang associations and this Court has previously granted a motion in limine excluding any discussion or inferences that Mr. Bradley was a gang member or had any ties to a gang and it would be improper.

Again, I respect the family's rights to be heard, I think they should be heard, but that portion of the statement I'm going to object, and I also object to any other family members are going to make any references to those videos as well.

THE COURT: Response from the State.

MR. BROWN: Judge, first, they do have a right to speak to the Court. Obviously for the Court to properly decide this you'd have to hear it anyway so you're going to have to make a decision on whether the information is relevant or admissible. So, you hear it and you get to decide the relevance of it and the admissibility and if you deem it improper then you should not consider it. We're not putting it forward as any evidence of any aggravator or any evidence to disprove a mitigator, simply a family's opportunity speak and express their feelings to the Court, so.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you going to -- you're not going -- are you going to introduce the rap videos?

MR. BROWN: No.

THE COURT: Just reference to them?

MR. BROWN: In his statement he makes a reference to them, the other reference in a gang or anything like that he references the rap videos. So, I think it's -- you can listen to it and in your own mind decide if you're going to give it any weight.

Nothing of his statement goes towards any aggravator since that really is -- his statement itself is

something that you're going to be I imagine using in your weighing process in making a decisions. So, I fail to see any prejudice in it. It's just his feelings and his chance to express those to the Court.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, he comments on what's contained in the videos, doesn't quote the lyrics from it but he gives some sort of summary of what the lyrics mean.

MR. LANNING: He took his statement from the videos to Mr. Bradley as the maker and some of those -- some of that could be deemed (unintelligible) to law enforcement and to the Court to and it's not --

THE COURT: Okay. Help me in this respect.

With regard to the Spencer hearing, I'm assuming that it's more like a sentencing hearing in that the rules of evidence are relaxed?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to allow it and the Defense can renew their objection. I want to hear what he has to say. I would ask you -- your objection is preserved.

MR. LANNING: We don't want to interrupt him.

MR. BROWN: Judge, we will stipulate that for

the other two as well that they can make -- at the
conclusion of our presentation they can approach and
make any objections they want to make so we don't
have to interrupt any of the three family members, is
that fair?

MR. LANNING: Well, I would ask that it be from now without the need for objection.

MR. BROWN: Well, what I'm saying --

MR. LANNING: Or after.

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROWN: That's what I'm saying is you can --

MR. LANNING: Any additional objections.

MR. BROWN: Any additional objections.

MR. LANNING: Okay.

THE COURT: And then after we're done you can renew your objections and I'll hear the objections at that time. Okay. The objections are preserved and it's a relevance objection.

MR. PIROLO: It's a relevance objection. I'm also going to object on grounds that it's essentially a victim impact statement and it's an improper impact victim statement. They can talk about how the death of Deputy Pill has impacted the family, the community, but it essentially amounts to a personal attack against Mr. Bradley and again it infers

MR. BROWN: Yes.

alleged statements made by Mr. Bradley that have never been introduced in evidence during the guilt or penalty phase in this case.

MR. LANNING: It just makes him out to be a bad guy.

MR. BROWN: Judge, the survivors chance to speak to the Court is broader than victim impact evidence that's admissible during the jury portion and the sentencing phase and that's why we are limited in what we can present to the jury, we're limited to the number we can present to the jury because we can't make it a feature. So, that's why we presented one person at the jury, provided it in writing to the Defense beforehand, we're now presenting three additional family members and I think it's a different purpose, it's not subject to the same limitations that the jury evidence would be.

THE COURT: Again, I'm going to overrule the objection at this time and I'm going to preserve your opportunity -- it is without prejudice and I'll preserve your opportunities to reargue it at the conclusion.

Now, from what I heard from the State, it's going to be three --

THE COURT: Three family members. Okay. And then the State's not going to interrupt at that time and then -- I mean, the Defense is not going to interrupt at that time and then I'll give them an opportunity to preserve their objections and be heard on their objections at the end. I assume they're going to come to the podium and address me directly?

MR. MCMASTER: That would be fine.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MCMASTER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Who would be the first witness on behalf of the State?

MR. MCMASTER: State calls Bernie Bolte. This is the son. This is the father who's going to addressing the Court and his son is --

THE COURT: With all due respect, he'll have the victim's advocate with him.

MR. MCMASTER: Okay.

THE COURT: I mean, I think that would be -it's a security risk if we let you come forward. So,
I just can't -- he can be right there and the
victim's advocate will be right with him. Okay. I'm

not saying -- it's a security risk in any case for 1 anyone to come on this side and that's why I have to 2 3 follow those rules as well. Okay. Mr. Bolte, if you'll raise your right hand. 4 THEREUPON, 5 BERNIE BOLTE, 6 7 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 8 upon his oath as follows: 9 THE COURT: Okay. Put you are hand down. Yes, sir. Okay, Mr. McMaster. 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 12 BY MR. MCMASTER: Mr. Bolte, if you would please state your name 13 0 14 for the record. 15 Bernie Bolte, B-O-L-T-E. 16 Mr. Bolte, what is your relationship to Barbara 0 17 Pill? 18 Father. Α 19 Do you have some comments you'd like to make to Q 20 the Court about the sentencing of Mr. Bradley? 21 Α Yes, sir. Do you have something prepared that you'd like 22 23 to read? 24 Α Yes, sir.

Go ahead and do that, please.

This is in reference to my daughter, Barbara 1 Pill. I miss my daughter's phone calls every weekend, 2 holiday, birthdays. Sometimes I still wait to hear her 3 voice. The holidays that we spent together with the 4 family, the bike rides that we were going to do and the 5 ones that we had been in the mountains and cross country 6 that we did and had so much fun doing it. Her laughter 7 and smiles I miss. She would always be helping the young 8 and the old, the good and the bad and they will miss her 9 10 too. Is there anything else you'd like the Judge to 11 know? 12 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bolte, anything else, 13 14 sir? 15 THE WITNESS: That's it. THE COURT: Okay. Sir, thank you for are 16 17 testimony, you're free to be seated. 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 19 THE COURT: Questions by the Defense? 20 MR. PIROLO: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 21 Okav. Other witnesses on behalf of the State. 22 MR. MCMASTER: State would call Berry Bolte. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Sir, if you'll come forward. 24

Step up to the podium. I'm going to have you raise

your right hand. 1 2 THEREUPON, BERRY BOLTE, 3 having been first duly sworn was examined and testified 4 5 upon his oath as follows: THE COURT: Put your hand down, sir. 6 7 Mr. McMaster, you may proceed. MR. MCMASTER: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. MCMASTER: 10 Would you please state your name for the 11 0 12 record? 13 Berry Bolte, I'm Barbara's brother. Α You're Bernie Bolte's son? 14 Q 15 Α That's correct. Do you have some comments you'd like to make to 16 17 the Judge? Just a couple of things. I've rehearsed this 18 19 over and over again and I brought nothing with me. I want to tell you a year before Barbara died my son died, he 20 overdose on Oxycontin, bought the drugs off the street, he 21 had a back injury. Barbara helped me through that. A 22 23 year later she died. We need to do a better job. You know, that's 24

basically what I want to say. We gave this man over here

```
a trial, he didn't give my sister a trial. He decided
1
    that day to kill my sister. At least we gave him the
2
    benefit of the doubt giving him a proper trial. So, we do
3
     the best that you can do and help the family out.
4
                Anything else you'd like to add, sir?
5
6
          Α
                No.
7
                THE COURT: Okay, sir. Is there any questions
8
          by the Defense?
9
                MR. PIROLO: No, Your Honor.
                THE COURT: Okay. Sir, thank you for your
10
          testimony, you can be seated.
11
                Other witnesses on behalf of the State?
12
                MR. MCMASTER: State calls Steven Pill.
13
14
                THE COURT: Okay. Sir, if you'll come forward.
15
          Raise your right hand.
16
     THEREUPON,
17
                            STEVEN PILL,
     having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
18
19
     upon his oath as follows:
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Sir, you can put your hand
          down. Mr. McMaster.
21
22
                         DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
     BY MR. MCMASTER:
                Would you please state your name for the
24
25
     record?
```

- 1 A Steven Pill.
 - Q Barbara Pill's husband?
- 3 A Yes, sir.

- Q Do you have some comments you'd like to make to the Court?
 - A Yes, I do.
 - Q You prepared those I take it?
 - A Yes.
 - Q Go ahead and read them, sir.
 - A Your Honor, on March 6th, 2012, half my life, heart and soul were taken from me and my family. Because of Brandon Bradley's choosing this path and actions

 Barbara won't be able to live her life. She won't be able to spend time with her children and her grandchildren.

 Cheyenne, her first granddaughter, will not have the chance to enjoy doing things and going to different places with her grandmother.

Barbara was looking forward to her retirement and being able to travel and enjoy more time with the family. She won't be able to enjoy the motorcycle rides and trips with her father and family, these times she really looked forward to.

Brandon Bradley chose his life's path and no one forced him. He had wrap videos out on the social media bragging on home invasions, carjacking, kidnapping,

parole violations and killing police officers. 1 This was well before he -- well before March 6th, 2012. So, the 2 3 thoughts of murder were already there. This family doesn't want revenge but justice 4 and the justified punishment to be given per the laws of 5 the State of Florida. That's all I have. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. McMaster? 7 MR. MCMASTER: No, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Any questions by the 10 Defense? MR. PIROLO: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pill, thank you for your 12 13 testimony, you're free to be seated. 14 MR. MCMASTER: No further witnesses, Judge. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Do we want to have a bench 16 conference? 17 MR. PIROLO: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out 20 of the hearing of the audience as follows:) Judge, at this time renew my 21 MR. PIROLO: 22 objections made previously relating to Mr. Pill's statement, specifically to the fact that Mr. Brandon 23 Bradley made rap videos prior to March 6th, 2012, 24

talking about kidnapping, carjacking, home invasion

1 and killing police officers. None of these rap 2 videos were ever introduced into evidence. MR. LANNING: Judge, can we just use our prior 3 objection to preserve what we've already said? 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't know if there was anything additional since you actually -- I actually 6 heard what he said for the first time. You all knew 7 8 what he was going to say, I didn't. MR. PIROLO: No new objections, Judge. 9 10 Okay. Response from the State. THE COURT: Judge, we stand by our argument. 11 MR. BROWN: 12 THE COURT: I'm going to grant the motion with 13 regard to the reference to rap videos. I won't take 14 that into consideration for purposes of the Spencer 15 proceeding. 16 MR. BROWN: Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. BROWN: Judge, we're on record now, 19 correct? 20 THE COURT: Yes, we're on the record. 21 MR. BROWN: I don't think there's any need for 22 the court to announce that to the gallery. THE COURT: We're on the record, it's a part of 23 24 the record, it's a bench conference. Okay. Thank 25 you.

MR. PIROLO: Thank you, Judge. 1 (Thereupon, the benchside conference was 2 concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) 3 THE COURT: Okay. Additional evidence on 4 behalf of the Defense? 5 MR. PIROLO: No, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. Rebuttal and comments on 7 8 behalf -- comments on behalf of the State? MR. MCMASTER: Nothing at this time, Judge. 9 THE COURT: Okay. Rebuttal or comments on 10 behalf of the Defense? 11 MR. PIROLO: Nothing at this time, Your Honor. 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. Does the defendant wish to address the Court? 14 15 MR. LANNING: No. THE COURT: Okay. Is there any anything 16 further that we need to address with regard to this 17 Spencer hearing on behalf of the State? 18 19 MR. MCMASTER: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything further on behalf of the 20 21 Defense? MR. PIROLO: No, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. The Court has previously 23 24 entered an order which provides that the sentencing memorandums shall be filed and a courtesy copy 25

provided to myself by 5:00 p.m. on June the 18th and then the sentencing is scheduled for June the 27th at 1:30 p.m. Okay. Thank you very much. Court will be in recess until that time.

(Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded. Thereafter, court was reconvened on 6/27/2014 and the proceedings were had as follows:)

1.5

THE COURT: Please be seated. Okay. We can bring 9ut Mr. Bradley.

(Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the courtroom.)

THE COURT: We are here in the case of State of Florida versus Brandon Lee Bradley, case number 05-2012-CF-035337-B. The Court notes the attendance of the State, Defense counsel and the defendant.

Brandon Lee Bradley, on April 1st, 2014, a jury found you guilty of Count I, first degree murder of Deputy Barbara Pill, Count II, robbery, Count III, fleeing or attempting to elude a law enforcement officer siren and lights activated with high speed and reckless driving, and Count IV, resisting officer with violence.

April 8th, 2014, a jury by a vote of ten to two advised and recommended to the Court that the Court impose the death penalty upon Brandon Lee Bradley for

the conviction of first degree murder of Deputy Pill. 1 This matter is set before the Court this 2 3 afternoon for sentencing. Is the state ready to 4 proceed? MR. MCMASTER: State's ready, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Is the Defense ready to proceed? 6 MR. MOORE: We're ready, Your Honor. 7 Is there any legal cause why 8 THE COURT: 9 sentence should not be imposed at this time? No legal cause. 10 MR. MOORE: Okay. Is there anything the State 11 THE COURT: would like to say before I impose sentence? 12 MR. MCMASTER: There's two preliminary matters, 13 The State has submitted a score sheet for the 14 15 other counts other than Count I which would not be scored. Has the Court received that? 16 THE COURT: I do have a copy of the score 17 18 sheet. 19 MR. MCMASTER: And secondly, the State had 20 filed a prison releasee reoffender in this matter as to Counts II, III and IV. 21 22 THE COURT: Yes, sir. I'm sorry, I, III and IV. 23 MR. MCMASTER: have filed a notice, we are prepared to go forward 24

with the predicate for that whenever the Court's

ready.

THE COURT: Okay. At this time the total -- has the Defense had an opportunity to review the score sheet?

MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Total sentence points 185.4, any objection?

MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: There is an objection?

MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. MOORE: The basis would be a hundred and twenty death points which would be in light of the facts that that relates to the first degree murder is which is not part of the guidelines score sheet, it would be double jeopardy to include that in the score sheet. That's ground number one.

Number two, the resisting with violence would also be the same reason, violate double jeopardy included in the score sheet.

So, the basis for that, those objections would be Florida Constitution Article 1, Sections 2,9, 12, 16, 17 and 21 and 22 and the Federal Constitution

Amendments 5, 6, 8 and 14.

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the State.

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, first of all with respect to the score sheet, it has nothing to do with Count I, the murder count, it has only to do with the remaining three counts of the case for which he was convicted. It has specifically to do with the resisting arrest with violence charge. It's the State's position that victim impact victim injury points are properly assessed for injuries resulting from the defendant's resistance. In this case the resistance was the shooting and killing of Deputy Barbara Pill. The State has some cases to submit to the Court. I have copies for counsel. May I approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, most of the cases are older cases, the do involve resisting arrest with violence charges. They discuss the rule 3.701, specifically subsection (d)(7) as provided in that rule with regards to the sentencing guidelines. Victim injury shall be secured for each victim physically injured during a criminal episode or transaction and for each count resulting in such injury whether there are one or more victims.

The cases that I submitted specifically deal with that provision and in fact recognize that the

victim injury points are properly added when an officer is injured during the course of an arrest. Certainly Deputy Pill suffered the most egregious injury, we believe points would be assessed.

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense.

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, the cases are fairly distinguishable in that the Burns case involves — rather the Gold case involves the scoring of victim injury points for a different officer, two officers involved. And the Burns case as well. We're talking about victim injury, victim impact as to one victim, not two. So, for the basis — for the grounds stated, I object.

THE COURT: Okay. The Court accepts the sentence points as submitted of 185.2, I mean .4.

Okay. Mr. McMaster, you may proceed with regard to the prison release -- the releasee reoffender evidence.

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, the first exhibit for submission by the State is Exhibit A. I've previously provided these to counsel and disclosed them. It's a certified copy of a judgment and sentence in case number 2005-2007-CF-061680 here in Brevard County, Florida, convictions of Mr. Bradley for burglary of a conveyance, a third degree felony,

1.2

as well as grand theft, a third degree felony. The records reflect that on May 28th of 2008 he pled no contest to those charges, originally received a withholding of adjudication and four years probation. On March 2nd of 2009 he admitted a violation of probation, was adjudicated guilty and sentenced to two years in the Department of Corrections followed by three years probation. Make that as State's Exhibit 1.

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense.

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, we dealt with predicate issues as to these convictions when they were introduced at trial as I recall.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. MOORE: And we renew those objections on predicate grounds to the admissibility of those certified copies for the purpose that the State seeks to introduce them at the time and the basis would be the same constitutional grounds that I just recite a moment ago.

THE COURT: Okay. The -- I note the objection by the Defense on those grounds. I'll overrule the objection and A will be received as State's Exhibit Number 1.

(Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 1 was marked

1 and received in evidence.)

MR. MCMASTER: State's Exhibit B is a certified copy of the judgment and sentence in case number 2005-2008-CF-031707-A also in Brevard County, Florida. Charge was possession of cocaine, a third degree felony. The documents reflect that on March 2ND of 2009 he pled no contest to that charge, was adjudicated guilty and received a sentence of two T years in the Department of Corrections followed by three years probation. State would submit it as Exhibit 2.

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense.

MR. MOORE: Same objection, same grounds, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll note the objection by the Defense, the objection is overruled and B will be received as State's Exhibit Number 2.

(Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 2 was marked and received in evidence.)

MR. MCMASTER: State's Exhibit Number C for identification is a certified copy of the judgment and sentence in case number 05-2008-CF-036782-A also in Brevard County Florida. Charge was robbery, a second degree felony. The documents reflect that Mr. Bradley on March 2ND of 2009 pled no contest to

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Honor.

THE COURT: I'll note the objection by the

that offense, was adjudicated guilty and received A two year Department of Corrections sentence followed by three years probation.

> THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense.

MR. MOORE: Same objection, same grounds.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll note the objection by the Defense, the objection's overruled and C will be received as State's Exhibit Number 3.

(Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 3 was marked and received in evidence.)

MR. MCMASTER: State's Exhibit D is a certified package of records from the Florida Department of Corrections reflecting that inmate Brandon Lee Bradley, the defendant herein, was incarcerated in the Department of Corrections on each of the above three cases, the three exhibits that were just admitted, and was released from the Department of Corrections on May 11th of 2010 which the State would ask the Court to take judicial notice of is within three years from the date of the commission of this offense on March 6th of 2012.

THE COURT: Response from the Defense.

MR. MOORE: Same objection, same grounds, Your

Defense, the objection's overruled and D will be 1 2 received as State's Exhibit Number 4. 3 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 4 was marked and received in evidence.) 4 MR. MCMASTER: And finally, Judge, I've marked 5 an exhibit as Exhibit E. It is a copy of the notice 6 of service of the PRR notice reflecting that 8 Mr. Bradley was properly notified that the State 9 intended to seek to PRR sentencing in this matter and 10 that is located at docket entry number 69 in this file. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense. 12 13 MR. MOORE: No objection, we did receive that. 14 THE COURT: Okay. E will be received as 15 State's Exhibit Number 5. 16 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 2 was marked 17 and received in evidence.) 18 MR. MCMASTER: I have submitted a proposed 19 order, Judge. 20 THE COURT: Has the Defense had an opportunity to review the proposed order? 21 MR. MOORE: Yes, ma'am. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense as 2.4 to the proposed order.

MR. MOORE: No objection to the wording of the

order but without waiving the previous objections that we stated as to the merits.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll note the objection on the merits by the Defense and I'll enter an order.

I'll enter --

MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MCMASTER: I'm sorry. I should note that the order is reflecting Counts I, II and IV, those are the numbered counts that the Court renumbered for the purposes of trial. The original indictment in this case was numbered as Counts I, III and VI. He had been indited and was actually convicted on Counts I, III, V and VI of the indictment. They were reflected as Counts I, II, III and IV of the case that went to trial.

THE COURT: And this order's in reference to Counts I, II and IV as presented at trial.

MR. MCMASTER: That's correct, the fleeing and eluding count would not qualify for PRR treatment.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll enter an order adjudging the defendant a prison releasee offender as to Counts I, II and IV.

Accordingly, the defendant is a prison releasee reoffender and is sentenced under sections 775.082

subsection (9), subsection (a).

Count II, the defendant shall be released only by expiration of sentence and shall not be eligible for parole, controlled release or any other form of early release.

Paragraph three, the defendant must serve a hundred percent of his sentence imposed by this Court.

Okay. Anything else by the State?

MR. MCMASTER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there anything by the Defense that the Defense would like to say before the Court imposes sentence?

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, Mr. Bradley asked if he could address the Court, that he'll be brief but it is his sentencing, he has a right under the constitution.

THE COURT: Okay. That was going to be my next question, if he had anything he would like to say before I impose sentence.

MR. MOORE: Yes.

THE COURT: Is there anything by the Defense?

MR. MOORE: Not by the attorneys but I think

Mr. Bradley would like to address the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bradley, is there

anything you would like to say before I impose your sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: I just want to say I'm sorry to the family and friends of Deputy Pill.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, sir.

At this time I am filing with the clerk the forty-two page sentencing order. Madame clerk, please file said order and provide copies to the State and the Defense.

Mr. Bradley, will you please stand to receive the sentence of the Court.

Brandon Lee Bradley, you have been given the opportunity to be heard and show cause why judgment should not be imposed and offer matters in mitigation. This Court has considered the aggravating and mitigating circumstances presented and has prepared a comprehensive sentencing order which is on file with the clerk. No legal cause has been shown to preclude the imposition of the judgment and sentence.

This case involves a completely senseless murder of a law enforcement officer who was simply fulfilling her duties as a public servant by

initiating a traffic stop to investigate the EconoLodge robbery as reported by its motel owner.

Deputy Pill acted professionally with the defendant during the traffic stop and provided him countless opportunities to exit the vehicle over the course of three minutes. Never did Deputy Pill take her firearm out of its holster, draw her firearm or threaten the defendant. The bottom line is that the defendant murdered Deputy Pill in a selfish, foolish and futile attempt to avoid returning to prison for violating his probation.

The defendant planned well in advance of the shooting of Deputy Pill that he would do what it took to avoid incarceration even if this entailed using a gun to shoot an officer. Despite ample time for reflection to abandon his plan to avoid arrest and even being urged by his then girlfriend and trust had friend, Andria Michelle Kerchner, not to shoot Deputy Pill, the defendant did so regardless.

The defendant chose not to just shoot Deputy
Pill one time but fired off seven more shoots. The
defendant's brazen, premeditated, callous and
cowardly action of shooting Deputy Pill multiple
times on a public street in a neighborhood of
congested single family homes mandates nothing less

than a death sentence.

2 1

Therefore, Brandon Lee Bradley, as to Count I, you are adjudged guilty of the crime of first degree murder for the unlawful killing of Deputy Barbara Pill. For this crime the Court sentences you to be put to death in the manner prescribed by law.

As to Count II, you are adjudged guilty of the crime of robbery. For this crime the Court sentences you to serve a term of imprisonment in the Department of Corrections for the State of Florida for a term of fifteen years.

As to Count III, you are adjudged guilty of the crime of fleeing or attempting to elude a law enforcement officer siren and lights activated with high speed or reckless driving. For this crime the Court sentences you to a term of imprisonment in the Department of Corrections for the State of Florida for a term of fifteen years.

As to Count IV, you are adjudged guilty of the crime of resisting officer with violence. For this crime the Court sentences you to serve a term of imprisonment of five years in the Department of Corrections for the State of Florida.

These sentences are to run consecutive with each other.

The defendant is entitled to credit for time
served of eight hundred and forty-four days as
calculated by the clerk.

You are advised that this judgment and sentence shall automatically be appealed to the Supreme Court of the State of Florida. Defense counsel is directed to perfect the appeal. The public defender is hereby appointed to represent you on appeal should you not have private counsel or unable to afford private counsel.

You are here by remanded and without bail to the custody of the sheriff's of the Brevard County to be delivered for commitment to the Department of Corrections where you will be confined until final execution of this judgment and sentence prescribed by law. Mr. Bradley, may God have mercy upon your soul. This court is now in recess.

(Thereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)

* * * * *

CERTIFICATE STATE OF FLORIDA) (SS: COUNTY OF BREVARD) I, JILL CASEY, Court Reporter and Notary Public, certify that I was authorized to and did transcribe the digital recording of the proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of the digital recording of the proceedings to the best of my ability. DATED this 14th day of July, 2014. JILL CASEY Court Reporter

