IN THE CIRCUIT COURT IN THE 1 EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT 2 IN AND FOR BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA 3 CASE NUMBER: 05-2012-CF-035337-AXXX-XX 4 Case # 05-2012-CF-035337-AXXX-XX Document Page # 417 5 STATE OF FLORIDA, 6 Plaintiff, ORIGINAL 7 versus 8 BRANDON LEE BRADLEY 9 Defendant, 10 11 VOLUME II OF XV 12 13 TRANSCRIPT OF DIGITAL RECORDED JURY TRIAL, 14 SPENCER HEARING AND SENTENCING 15 The transcript of the Digital Recorded 16 Proceedings taken in the above-styled cause, at the Moore 17 Justice Center, 2825 Judge Fran Jamieson Way, Viera, 18 Florida, on the 18th, 19th, 20th, 21st, 26th, 27th, 28th and 31st day of March, the 1st, 3rd, 4th and 8th day of 19 20 April, 2014 (Trial), the 5th day of June, 2014 (Spencer Hearing), and the 27th day of June, 2014 (Sentencing), 21 22 before the Honorable Morgan Reinman.

24

25

23

1670 S. FISKE BOULEVARD

RYAN REPORTING REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS

Page 202 1 APPEARANCES 2 THOMAS BROWN, ESQ., and JAMES MCMASTER, ESQ., 4 Assistant State Attorneys State Attorney's Office 2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way 5 Building D. 6 Viera, Florida 32940 Appearing for Plaintiff 7 8 J. RANDALL MOORE, ESQ., MICHAEL PIROLO, ESQ, 9 and MARK LANNING, ESQ., 10 Assistant Public Defender Public Defender's Office 11 2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way Building E 12 Viera, Florida 32940 Appearing for Defendant 13 14 Brandon Lee Bradley, Defendant, present 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

		Page 203
1	INDEX	rage 200
2	PROCEEDINGS:	
3	March 18, 2014	22
4	March 19, 2014 March 20, 2014	275 465
5	March 21, 2014 March 26, 2014	755 990
6	March 27, 2014 March 28, 2014	1293 1479
7	March 31, 2014 April 1, 2014	1570 1899
8	April 3, 2014 April 4, 2014	2076 2475
9	April 8, 2014 June 5, 2014	2651 2860
10	June 27, 2014	2876
11	MOTION TESTIMONY:	
12	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
13	ROBERT MARKS: (Proffer)	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	24
15	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	35
16	ANDRIA KERCHNER: (Proffer)	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	43 51
18	Closs Examination by Mr. Moore	JI
19	JEFFREY DIEGUEZ: (Proffer)	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	58 66
21	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	00
22	TRIAL	
23	JURY SWORN:	140
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 204
1	INDEX	
2	RULE OF SEQUESTRATION:	142
3	OPENING STATEMENT:	
4	By Mr. McMaster	156
5	By Mr. Pirolo	189
6	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
7	CHARLES COLON:	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	224
9	ROBERT MARKS:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	237
11	JAMES SEATON:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Moore	249 253
13	Continued Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	257
14	AGENT CRAIG CARSON:	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	260
16	CHRISTOPHER MONTESANO:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	290 298
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	302
19	ANDREW JORDAN:	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	303 343
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	348
22	Recross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	349
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 205
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	VANESSA MCNERNEY:	
4 5	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	351 369 374
6	TAMMY BROWN:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	376
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	383 384
9	Recross Examination by Mr. Lanning	384
10	MOHAMMAD MALIK:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	385
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	398
13	AGENT CRAIG CARSON:	
14 15	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	428 435 437
16	SERGEANT DARRYL OSBORNE:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	438
18	MAJOR BRUCE BARNETT:	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	446
20	AGENT BRIAN STOLL:	
21	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	451
22	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	454
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 207
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	TRISTA LOWMAN:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	647 653
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Filoto	033
6	DEPUTY VICTOR VELEZ:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	656
8	DETECTIVE GREG GUILLETTE:	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	662
10	ANDRIA KERSCHNER:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	676 692
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	739 746
13	Recross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	746
14	OFFICER DERRICK MIDDENDORF:	
15	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	758
16	SERGEANT MICHAEL CASEY:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	769
18	GERARD WEBER:	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	781
20	SERGEANT TREVOR SHAFFER:	
21	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	793
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 208
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	DETECTIVE CHAD COOPER:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	830
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning	851
6	SERGEANT JEFF RAU:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	854
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	862
9	OFFICER JENNIFER AMNEUS:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	864
11	MICHAEL RYLE:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	872
13	ANDREA ZIARNO:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	890
15	CSI LISA CONNORS:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	893
17	Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	901 903
18	CSI JENNIFER MILLER:	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	905
20	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	915
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 209
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	CSI STEPHANNIE COOPER:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning	916 977
5	Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	985
6	Recross Examination by Mr. Lanning	987
7	AGENT DANIEL OGDEN:	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	993
9	OFFICER RON STREIFF:	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	997
11	AMY SIEWERT:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	1024
13	CST VIRGINIA CASEY:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Lanning	1053 1076
15	Olobo Bramlinacion Sy III. Banning	10,0
16	SERGEANT BLAKE LANZA:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	1081 1086
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	1086
19	DEPUTY MICHAEL THOMAS:	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	1088 1091
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown Recross Examination by Mr. Moore	1091 1093 1093
22	Recross Examinaction by Mr. Moore	1033
23		
24		
25	INDEX	
		•

		Page 210
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	SAJID QAISER, M.D.:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1102
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore	1129
6	AGENT WAYNE SIMOCK:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1137
8	Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown	1249 1279
9	Recross Examination by Mr. Moore	1285
10	AMANDA OZBURN: (Proffer)	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	1302
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	1315
13	AMANDA OZBURN:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	1327
15	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	1332 1343
16	Recross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	1343
17	CORY CRUMBLEY:	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	1346
19	STATE RESTS:	1372
20	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	1373
21	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
22	RAVEN DUROUSSEAU:	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1398
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 211
1	INDEX	
2	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
3	DAVID MCGUINNESS:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1426
5	Cross Examination by Mr. Brown	1429
6	LINDA SULLIVAN:	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	1430 1452
8	Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	1455
9	Recross Examination by Mr. McMaster	1457
10	OFFICER CASSANDRA WORONKA:	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Lanning	1503 1505
12	Cross Examination by Mr. Brown Redirect Examination by Mr. Lanning	1505
13	DR. SUSAN SKOLLY-DANZIGER:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster	1509 1544
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	1553
16	DR. JACQUELYN OLANDER:	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. Brown	1593 1672
18	Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	1697
19	DEFENSE RESTS:	1720
20	RENEWED MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	1720
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 212
1	INDEX	
2	STATE'S REBUTTAL WITNESSES:	
3	DR. BRUCE GOLDBERGER:	
4 5	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. McMaster	1721 1754 1767
6	DR. PATRICIA ZAPF:	
7 8 9	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown Cross Examination by Mr. Moore Redirect Examination by Mr. Brown Recross Examination by Mr. Moore	1768 1797 1839 1843
10	STATE RESTS:	1852
11	RENEWAL MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	1854
12		
13	CHARGE CONFERENCE:	1857
14	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:	
15 16	By Mr. Brown By Mr. Lanning By Ms. McMaster	1903 1955 1973
17	JURY CHARGE:	1998
18	VERDICT:	2070
19	JURY POLLED:	2072
20	PENALTY PHASE:	
21	OPENING STATEMENT:	
22	By Mr. Brown	2286
23	By Mr. Moore	2295
24		
25	INDEX	

		Page 213
1	INDEX	
2	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
3	CHARLES COLON:	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2303
5	GARY SHREWSBURY:	
6	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2308
7	Cross Examination by Mr. Pirolo	2315
8	OFFICER WILLIAM GLEASON:	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2319 2324
10	Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Pirolo	4324
11	JEREMY PILL:	
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Brown	2325
13	STATE RESTS:	2328
14	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL:	2328
15		
16	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
17	CASEY GREEN:	:
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2331
19	JULIE MARTIN:	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2338
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	INDEX	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MOTION FOR JUDGMENT OF ACQUITTAL: DEFENSE WITNESSES: CASEY GREEN: Direct Examination by Mr. Moore JULIE MARTIN: Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2328

:		Page 214
1	INDEX	
2	DEFENSE WITNESSES:	
3	DR. JOSEPH WU:	
4 5	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2347 2431 2466
6	DR. JACQUELYN OLANDER:	
7 8 9	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. Brown Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore Recross Examination by Mr. Brown Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2487 2499 2535 2554 2556
10	CARRIE ELLISON:	
11 12	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. McMaster Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2566 2578 2580
13		
14	LAWRENCE KEITH NELSON:	
15 16	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore Cross Examination by Mr. Brown Redirect Examination by Mr. Moore	2582 2592 2593
17	ANTHONY NELSON:	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2594
19	RONALD MCANDREW: (Proffer)	
20	Direct Examination by Mr. Moore	2637
21	DEFENSE RESTS:	2708
22	CHARGE CONFERENCE:	2658
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

		Dago 215
1	INDEX	Page 215
2	CLOSING ARGUMENTS:	
3	By Mr. McMaster	2708
4	By Mr. Moore	2751
5	JURY CHARGE:	2793
6	QUESTIONS:	2834 2839
7		2842
8		
9	VERDICT:	2848
10	JURY POLLED:	2849
11	SPENCER HEARING:	2860
12	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES:	
13	BERNIE BOLTE:	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2868
15	BERRY BOLTE:	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2870
17	STEVEN PILL:	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. McMaster	2871
19		
20	SENTENCING HEARING:	2876
21		
22		4
23		
24		
25	INDEX	

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBI	TTS:	
--------------------	------	--

3			MARKED	
	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
4				
5	1	Arrest Warrant	A	232
	2	Arrest Warrant	В	232
6	3	Arrest Warrant	C	232
	4	Amended Arrest Warrant	D	234
7	5	Amended Arrest Warrant	Ē	234
	6	Copy of Driver's License	F	236
8	7	Application	- FZ	257
	8	Photograph	DX	258
9	9	Diagram	H	292
	10	Diagram	J	306
10	11	Registration Documents	AL	307
	12	Photograph	DD	313
11	13	Photograph	DE	313
	14	Photograph	K	322
12	15	Photograph	L	322
	16	Photograph	M	322
13	17	Photograph	N	322
	18	Photograph	0	322
14	19	Photograph	P	326
	20	Photograph	Q	326
15	21	Photograph	R	326
	22	Photograph	S	326
16	23	Photograph	${f T}$	326
	24	Photograph	U	326
17	25	Photo Lineup Instructions	AF	339
	26	Photo Lineup	AG	339
18	27	Photo Lineup	AΗ	339
	28	Photo Lineup Instructions	Z	368
19	29	Photo Lineup	AA 	368
0.0	30	Photo Lineup	AB -	368
20	31	CD	I	392
0.1	32	List of Property	V	393
21	33	Photo Lineup Instructions	AI	396
0.0	34	Photo Lineup	AJ	396
22	35	Photo Lineup	AK	396
2.2	36	Photograph	GA	435
23	37	Photograph	AN	458
24	38	Photograph	AO	458
24				
25		INDEX		
	I			

	I	Ν	D	Ε
--	---	---	---	---

4	PLAINTIEE	' S	EXHIBITS	:

2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3			MARKED	
	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
4				
5	39	Photograph	AP	458
9	40	Photograph	AQ	458
6	41	Aerial Diagram	Ğ	507
J	42	DVD	AT	531
7	43	DVD	AU	531
·	4 4	Photograph	FI	532
8	45	Phone Record	ВJ	558
	46	Diagram	AX	562
9	47	Photograph	AW	672
	48	DISC	GB	672
10	49	Driver's License Photo	AM	785
	50	Photograph	AY	788
11	51	Photograph	AZ	788
	52	Photograph	BA	788
12	53	Photograph	BB	788
	54	Photograph	BC	788
13	55	Photograph	BD	788
	56	Photograph	BE	788
14	57	Photograph	BF	788
	58	Photograph	BG	788
15	59	Photograph	BH	788
	60	DVD	${ t BL}$	841
16	61	DVD	BK	883
	62	Medical Records	FG 	893
17	63	Diagram	BZ	897
1.0	64	Diagram	BM	907
18	65	Photograph	BN	909
19	66	Photograph	ВО	909
ТЭ	67	Photograph	BP	909 909
20	68 69	Photograph Photograph	BQ BR	909
2.0	70	Photograph Photograph	BS	909
21	71	Photograph	BT	909
2. 1.	72	Photograph	BU	909
22	73	Photograph	BV	909
<i></i>	74	Photograph	BW	909
23	75	Photograph	BX	909
	76	Photograph	BY	909
24	' Ŭ		<u> </u>	202
25		INDEX		
	I			

Χ

DT 7\ TNI	ਰਾਜ ਦਾਦਾ	 EVIIIDIMO.	_
PLAIN	TTEE.	 EVITRITO:	:

-	PLAINIIF	E.2 FYHIRII2:			
3				MARKED	
	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	J	FOR ID	RECEIVED
4					
_		m.1 , ,		~ ~	0.00
5	77	Photograph		CC	922
	78	Photograph		CD	922
6	79	Photograph		CE	922
	80	Photograph		CF	922
7	81	Photograph		CG	922
	82	Photograph		CH	922
8	83	Photograph		CI	922
	84	Photograph		CJ	922
9	85	Photograph		CK	922
	86	Photograph		CL	922
10	87	Photograph		CM	922
	88	Photograph		CN	922
11	89	Photograph		CO	922
	90	Photograph		CP	922
12	91	Photograph		CQ	922
	92	Photograph		CR	922
13	93	Photograph		CS	922
	94	Photograph		DC	938
14	95	Photograph		DF	938
	96	Photograph		DG	938
15	97	Photograph		DH	938
	98	Photograph		DI	938
16	99	Photograph		DJ	938
	100	Photograph		DK	938
17	101	Photograph		DL	938
	102	Photograph		DM	938
18	103	Photograph		DN	938
	104	Photograph		DO	938
19	105	Photograph		DP	938
	106	Photograph		DR	938
20	107	Photograph		DS	938
	108	Photograph		DT	938
21	109	Photograph		DÜ	938
	110	Photograph		DV	938
22	111	Photograph		DW	938
	112	Photograph		DY	938
23					
24					
24					
25			INDEX		

PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBITS:

2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3			MARKED	
	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
4				
5	113	Photograph	DZ	938
	114	Photograph	EA	938
6	115	Photograph	EB	938
	116	Photograph	EC	938
7	117	Room Key	FE	948
	118	Room Key Sleeve	GC	952
8	119	Cartridge	EE	958
	120	Cartridge	EG	958
9	121	Magazine	EΗ	958
	122	Cartridges	ΕI	958
10	123	Cartridge	EJ	958
	124	Firearm	ED	964
11	125	Ammunition Box w/ Cartr	idges EN	966
	126	Fingerprint Cards	GD	970
12	127	Fingerprint Cards	GE	970
	128	Cell Phone	BI	1023
13	129	Magazine	${\tt EF}$	1029
	130	Fired Bullet	CT	1033
14	131	Fired Bullet	FA	1035
	132	Fired Bullet	FC	1037
15	133	Fired Bullet	FH	1038
	134	Fired Bullet Jacket	EL	1039
16	135	Jacket Fragment	FB	1043
	136	Jacket Fragment	CU	1045
17	137	Piece of Lead	EM	1048
	138	Fired Cartridge Case	EK	1050
18	139	Fired Cartridge Case	CV	1050
	140	Fired Cartridge Case	CW	1050
19	141	Fired Cartridge Case	CX	1050
	142	Fired Cartridge Case	CY	1050
20	143	Fired Cartridge Case	CZ	1050
0.1	144	Fired Cartridge Case	DA	1050
21	145	Fired Cartridge Case	DB	1050
00	146	Fingerprint Examplars	GF	1063
22	147	Fingerprint Images	GI	1065
23	148	Fingerprint Images	GH	1065
24				
25		INDEX		

DT 7\ TI		10	DVIITOT	·mc -
PLAL	NT' I F'F'	` 5	EXHIBI	15:

2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3			MARKED	
_	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
4				
5	149	Chart	GG	1067
ĭ	150	Photograph	FJ	1110
6	151	Swab	FK	1110
Ŭ	152	Swab	FL	1110
7	153	Fingernail Clippings	FM	1110
<u> </u>	154	Fingernail Clippings	FN	1110
8	155	Photograph	FO	1110
Ĭ	156	Photograph	FP	1110
9	157	Photograph	FQ	1110
	158	Photograph	FR	1110
10	159	Photograph	FS	1110
	160	Photograph	FT	1110
11	161	Photograph	FU	1110
	162	Photograph	FV	1110
12	163	Photograph	FW	1110
	164	Photograph	FX	1110
13	165	DVD	GJ	1149
	166	Buccal Swab	CA	1353
14	167	Buccal Swab	СВ	1353
	168	DNA Card	FD	1353
15	169	Swab	EU	1354
	170	Swab	EW	1354
16	171	Swab	ET	1357
	172	Swab	EV	1357
17	173	Swab	EX	1357
	174	Swab	EY	1357
18	175	Swab	EZ	1357
	176	Swab	EO	1358
19	177	Swab	EP	1358
	178	Swab	ES 	1361
20	179	Swab	ER	1365
0.1	180	Swab	EQ	1366
21	181	Certified Conviction	GK	1851
00	182	Certified Conviction	GL	1851
22	183	Certified Conviction	GM	1851
22	184	Certified Judgment	GN	2305
23				
24				
25		INDEX		

				Page 221
1		INDEX		
2	PLAINTIF	F'S EXHIBITS:		
3			MARKED	
4	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	FOR ID	RECEIVED
5	185 186	Certified Judgment Certified Judgment	GP GO	2305 2305
6	187	Photograph	GQ	2323
7	DEFENDAN	T'S EXHIBITS:		
8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	MARKED FOR ID	RECEIVED
9	MOLIDER	PHOCKILLION	TOIN ID	1/10/11 / 11/1/
10	1 2	Vials of Blood Litigation Package	A B	1444 1477
11	3 4	DVD	I J	2360 2373
12	5	Power-point Presentation Photograph	F	2691
13	6 7	Photograph Photograph	G H	2691 2691
14				
15		* * * *		
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

1.4

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LANNING: Is he listed in the guilt phase? He's listed as a penalty phase witness I believe.

MR. MCMASTER: We intend to call him during the penalty phase also to get into the information about the specific robbery charge.

MR. MOORE: We expected that, we didn't expect him to be coming into the guilt phase. I mean, I expected when the State gave us a witness list and a belated one, I think we just had this one disclosed within the last month, that there would be a penalty phase related to the prior violent felony and probationary status but not the guilt phase. So, he wasn't identified as a guilt phase witness.

THE COURT: Response from the State.

Judge, on November 18th of 2013 MR. MCMASTER: the State filed its request to take judicial notice with respect to each of the particular warrants at issue here. We actually included information about the charges and warrant, those were the actual The State has prepared redacted copies copies. eliminating all the information about the charges, but the Defense has been on notice at least since November that the State intended to introduce all of the warrant information. There's been no objection filed, there's been no motion in limine directed to

it.

I believe as Mr. Brown indicated earlier this is not William's Rule evidence. This is direct evidence of motive. The cases that the State provided to you yesterday in connection with the hearing we had this morning on the proffer, the Griffin case, the Grossman case and the Escobar case all basically address that issue on outstanding arrest warrants and their admissibility in these types of cases.

MR. MOORE: We have a right to rely on -- I mean, the overriding rule is the Williams Rule notice rule and we -- you know, regardless of what they give us and inferences that we can draw from that, in the absence of a Williams Rule notice, then we have no reason to expect them, especially at the guilt phase, to try to introduce that.

What purpose can they make of it if they haven't filed the Williams Rule notice. I mean, that's what we look for and that is the signal that says, well, they're really serious about this and they're going to try to get in because they've given us proper notice, but if they don't give us a notice then they can't get it in, can not introduce it, especially when it's highly prejudice and especially

1 at the guilt phase. I fully expected this at the 2 penalty phase and for that reason that's what I 3 expected it would be but, you know, I think at the quilt face it's improperly noticed, it's as simple as 4 5 that. 6 THE COURT: The objection by the Defense is 7 overruled. Thank you. (Thereupon, the benchside conference was 8 9 concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) 10 MR. MCMASTER: May I proceed, Your Honor? 11 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MCMASTER: 14 0 Good afternoon, sir. 15 Good afternoon. 16 Would you start out by telling the ladies and 17 gentlemen of the jury your name and if you would direct 18 them -- direct your responses directly to them. 19 Α My name is Charles Colon, C-O-L-O-N. 20 0 And how are you employed, sir? 21 I am employed as a high risk specialist officer Α 22 with the Department of Corrections. Are you essentially a probation officer? 23 0 Yes, sir. 24 Α 25

And do you know the defendant, Brandon Lee

Q

1 Bradley?

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

17

18

19

20

- 2 A I do.
- 3 Q Do you see him here in the courtroom today?
- 4 A Yes, I do.
 - Q If you would point to him and describe an article of clothing that he's wearing?
 - A He's sitting at the defense table wearing the dark gray suit with the pink shirt.
 - Q In May of 2010 did you have an occasion to come to determine that Mr. Bradley was on probation for three separate cases with the Florida Department of Corrections?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
 - Q Were you his initial probation officer?
- 14 A I was not.
- 15 Q You have since inherited the files for 16 Mr. Bradley?
 - A I didn't supervise him for a brief period of time, another officer transferred the case to me.
 - Q Who was the original officer?
 - A The original officer was Mr. Tom Mulhall.
- 21 Q As part of the probation Mr. Bradley was on,
 22 was he required to obey all instructions from the
 23 probation office?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q And was he also required to report in on a

1 regular basis?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

20

23

24

25

- 2 A Monthly, yes, sir.
 - Q Did there come a time that Mr. Bradley failed to obey one of your instructions?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q That had to do remaining at the probation office as he was instructed to do?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q And as a result of that failure to obey your instruction, did you request that arrest warrants, and other reason, did you request that arrest warrants be issued for him for violating the terms of his probation on the three cases that were supervising him?
- 14 A Yes, I did.
 - Q What were the three cases that you were supervising him on, what case numbers?
- A I'd have to refer to my file.
- 18 Q If it would refresh your recollection to refer 19 to your file, please do so.
 - A Yes, sir. Case number 2007 --
- MR. MOORE: Your Honor, objection, reading from a document not in evidence.
 - THE COURT: Okay. Officer Colon, if you'll look at those documents and close up your file and then you'll have to testify not from the document.

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, may we approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that doesn't solve the problem. I mean, if he's going to sit there and memorize it, then he's -- he can either refresh his memory, which I doubt that he can do, or he can -- the documents if they can be introduced be put in evidence but it's over our objections. He can't sit there and memorize something if he has no independent recollection of it, that's not refreshing his memory and if that's what the State's trying to do, they haven't made -- they haven't taken the proper steps to do it. First they have to ask him if looking at the documents would refresh his memory and if they can't do that --

THE COURT: They asked him if it would refresh his memory and he said yes so.

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, the State has already requested that the Court take judicial notice of the warrants in any event, I just was trying to lay the record in front of the jury as to what the case numbers were to show him but the problem obviously is the redacted warrants don't give a whole lot of

٠	1	
	2	
tweet t	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
1	1	
1	2	
1	3	
1	4	
1	5	
	6	
1	7	
1	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	

23

24

25

information.

THE COURT: You know, I mean, I don't think
Mr. Moore, from what I heard he objects --

MR. MOORE: Well, he's starting to read from the documents. I mean, they're not in evidence.

THE COURT: He was just going to read the three numbers.

MR. MOORE: What's the relevance of the three numbers? And if he's --

MR. MCMASTER: The redacted -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt you.

MR. MOORE: Yeah, I understand. If he can't -if he doesn't have an independent recollection, then
he can't read from the documents, they're not in
evidence. So, I mean, that's -- that was my
objection.

THE COURT: Okay. I haven't heard him yet if he could look at them and remember them but I don't think --

MR. MOORE: He can't do that, nobody can.

THE COURT: Mr. Moore has an objection -previously had an objection to the documents being
introduced, I overruled that objection. I don't
think he has an objection to them being introduced.

MR. MOORE: Let me look at the documents.

THE COURT: Okay. I think he's given them to you.

MR. MOORE: I've got them in my hand, I haven't had a chance to... Just give me a moment.

THE COURT: Just trying to move the case along.

(Thereupon, a pause was taken in the proceedings)

MR. MOORE: We object to the documents coming in, they're not relevant. We object to this witness reading from the documents that are not in evidence and unless he can refresh his memory, which he hasn't indicated that he can do, we object to him trying to refresh his memory.

THE COURT: Where we're at right now they asked him if reviewing the file would refresh your memory and he said yes. He was looking at the file, you objected. I don't know if he can refresh his memory with looking at the file or not. He said he could so. He hasn't had an opportunity to refresh it and then there was an objection.

MR. MOORE: And also I object to him identifying himself as a high risk probation officer. Where did that come from? That's prejudicial. I move for that to be stricken. I move for a mistrial because of that. He doesn't -- he's a probation

1.5

officer, he doesn't need to identify his client, his probationer, especially Mr. Bradley, as being high risk, that goes to future dangerousness. There's no need for him to identify that. That my be his job title but it's not probative of anything, it's highly prejudicial and so, you know, I'm even reluctant to ask the Court to ask the jury to disregard that because it just accentuates it. So, I move for a mistrial because of that, he didn't need to put that in there.

THE COURT: Response from the State.

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, I didn't know that that was his title, I assumed it was just basically a probation officer. When I spoke to him I advised him to be we were very careful about trying to stay away from what the charges and things were and that essentially most of the allegations of the violations also. The only thing I wanted to show was that warrants were issued for the probation and the defendant would be aware of it because of the requirement for reporting.

THE COURT: Okay. The request for a mistrial is denied.

Okay. If he can -- I know you have an objection but if we could move the case forward. I

mean, I've overruled the objection. As to relevancy I'll overrule the objection and as to your prior objections that you placed on the record --

MR. MOORE: Williams Rule.

THE COURT: The Williams -- that's what it was,

I don't need to go through that. Can we introduce

the --

MR. MCMASTER: The State would move to introduce the exhibits pursuant to the request for judicial notice that we filed.

THE COURT: Okay. Based on the Court's prior ruling, can they step back and he do that so we can move the case along?

MR. MOORE: I understand the Court's ruling, it's over our objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

MR. MCMASTER: Your Honor, at this time pursuant to the State's request for the Court to take judicial notice, the State would move into evidence the Exhibits A, B and C which are the three VOP arrest warrants.

THE COURT: Okay. Based on the Court's ruling at the bench, B will be received -- it's A, B and C?

1 MR. MCMASTER: That's correct. 2 THE COURT: Okay. A will be received as 3 State's Number 1, B will be received as State's Number 2, and C will be received as State's Number 3. 4 5 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 1, 2 and 3 were marked and received in evidence.) 6 BY MR. MCMASTER: 8 Officer Colon, I'm showing you what has now 9 been admitted as State's Exhibits 1, 2 and 3, are they the 10 redacted versions of the three warrants that you requested from the court in the three cases that he was on probation 11 12 for? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 And when were the warrants issued? 0 15 A February 9th, 2011. 16 Did you come to learn that at some point 17 Mr. Bradley had failed to report further for his probation 18 after the warrants were issued? 19 MR. MOORE: Objection, predicate. 20 THE COURT: It calls for a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Yes. 21 22 BY MR. MCMASTER: 23 You were still his supervisor? 0 24 Α Yes. 25 Even though he didn't obey the one day when you

- ordered him to remain, did you continue to report as he 1 2 was required to do? 3 A No, he did not. Did you supplement the affidavit and violation 4 5 with respect to his failure to appear to report to probation? 6 Yes, I did. Did you also subsequently learn that there were 8 additional warrants that were issued for his arrest and 9 10 again amend your application? 11 А Yes. 12 MR. MOORE: Objection, hearsay. 13 THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection, 14 subsequently learn. 15 BY MR. MCMASTER: As of February of 2012, did you learn that --16 or did you amend your affidavit to include additional 17 18 charges? 19 I don't recall the specific date that I amended 20 the warrants, the original one was February 2011. 21 Q Would it refresh your recollection to look at a 22 second amended affidavit? Yes, sir, it would. 23 Α
- 24 MR. MCMASTER: Approach the witness, Judge? 25 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

1 MR. MCMASTER: Thank you. 2 BY MR. MCMASTER: 3 Q Just look at that to yourself and see if it refreshes your recollection as to the date that you 4 5 learned of additional charges. Yes, sir. 6 А And when was it that you learned that there 8 were additional charges in addition to the three cases 9 outstanding warrant? 10 I amended the affidavit January of 2012. Α 11 MR. MCMASTER: State at this point would move 12 into evidence Exhibits D and E, two new warrants for 13 Mr. Bradley's arrest. 14 THE COURT: Response from the Defense. 15 MR. MOORE: Objection, same grounds. 16 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. D will 17 be received as State's Exhibit 4, E will be received 18 as State's Exhibit 5. 19 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 4 and 5 20 were marked and received in evidence.) 21 MR. MCMASTER: May I approach the witness? 22 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 23 BY MR. MCMASTER: 24 Showing you State's Exhibits in evidence 4 and 25 Officer Colon, could you look at those and tell me if

- 1 the case numbers on those warrants match the case
- 2 information that you had with respect to the new charges?
 - A Okay. Can you repeat your question? I'm sorry.
 - Q Yes. I'm just checking if the case numbers on the two new warrants that have just been admitted match the information as to the new charges that you included in your affidavit in January of 2012?
 - A The case number on -- here at the top?
- MR. MCMASTER: May I approach?
- 11 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 12 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 13 BY MR. MCMASTER:

3.

4

5

6

7

8

20

21

22

23

24

- Q With respect to the new allegations that you include in the second amended warrants.
- 16 A Okay.
- 17 Q Same case numbers as the warrants that were 18 issued by the court?
- 19 A Yes, they are.
 - And finally, when you submitted the applications for the warrants, did you include identifying information as to the individual that you were seeking the warrants for, driver's license number, date of birth, that information?
- 25 A On the original warrant. This is -- when I

submitted and there was already an arrest warrant issued, 1 2 I submitted an amended affidavit, not an additional warrant but an amended affidavit since there was already a warrant already active. 4 And the warrant information included the 5 driver's license, number date of birth and that 6 7 information on the second and third pages? 8 Α Yes. 9 MR. MCMASTER: State at this point would move 10 into evidence State's Exhibit F for identification, certified copy of the Florida driver's license of the 11 defendant, Brandon Bradley, with the driver's license 12 13 number and identifying information on it. 14 THE COURT: Response from the Defense. 15 MR. MOORE: Relevance. Objection, relevance. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled. F will be received as State's Exhibit Number 6. 17 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 6 was marked 18 and received in evidence.) 19 20 MR. MCMASTER: No further questions for Officer Colon. 21 THE COURT: Cross examination by the Defense? 22 MR. MOORE: No questions. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Sir, thank you for your 24

testimony, you're free to step down.

25

1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness 3 stand.) THE COURT: Other witnesses on behalf of the 4 5 State. MR. MCMASTER: State calls Robert Marks. 6 7 THE COURT: Sir, if you'll come forward, step 8 up before the clerk to be sworn. 9 THEREUPON, 10 ROBERT MARKS, 11 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 12 upon his oath as follows: 13 THE COURT: Sir, if you'll have a seat in the witness chair. Once seated if you will talk into 14 15 that microphone, adjust that microphone to fit you, 16 it does help us hear your testimony, it also aids in recording your testimony. Mr. McMaster. 17 18 MR. MCMASTER: Thank you. 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. MCMASTER: 21 Good after, Mr. Marks. If you would, please state your full name for the record. 22 23 Α Robert William Marks. 24 And how old are you, Mr. Marks? 25 Forty-two. Α

- 1 Q You're obviously here as a prisoner today, is 2 that correct?
- 3 A Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

- Q And that's because you were convicted in 2013, February of last year, for a count of armed burglary of a conveyance with a firearm, dealing stolen property and possession of a firearm by a convicted felon, is that correct?
- A Yes, sir.
- 10 Q What is the sentence that you received for 11 those violations?
- 12 A Eight years.
- 13 Q And that had to do with a theft of a firearm?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- Q Who did you steal the firearm from?
- 16 A My brother-in-law.
- 17 Q And what's your brother-in-law's name?
- 18 A Jason Seaton.
- 19 Q Where did the theft take place?
- 20 A My house.
- 21 Q And where were you living at the time?
- 22 A Off of Pinewood Road in Melbourne.
- Q And what, if anything, did you do with the firearm after you stole it from Mr. Seaton?
- 25 A I hid it in my garage.

```
How long a period did you leave it in the
1
          Q
2
    garage?
                I'm not sure.
3
                What did you do with it after that?
4
          0
                I couldn't put it back in the car so I sold it.
5
          Α
                And who did you sell it to?
6
          0
7
          Α
                Boogie.
                And who is Boogie?
8
          0
                I suppose it's Brandon.
9
          Α
                MR. MOORE:
                            Objection.
10
11
                THE COURT:
                           Object.
12
                MR. MCMASTER:
                                I don't want you to suppose.
                MR. MOORE: Objection, speculation.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
14
     BY MR. MCMASTER:
15
                You knew an individual named Boogie?
16
          0
                That's it.
17
          Α
18
                MR. PIROLO: Can we approach?
19
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
                (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out
20
     of the hearing of the jury as follows:)
21
                MR. PIROLO: Court sustained the speculation
22
23
          objection, I move to strike the answer as well.
24
                THE COURT: Response from the State.
                MR. PIROLO: That's what I trying to get in.
25
```

1 MR. MCMASTER: I think he stopped in midstream, 2 I don't think it makes a difference. MR. MOORE: I heard it. He said I suppose it's 3. Bradley, speculation. 4 5 THE COURT: I heard I suppose it's Bradley. I'll instruct the jury to disregard the answer. 6 MR. MCMASTER: That's fine. 8 (Thereupon, the benchside conference was 9 concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) 10 Okay. Ladies and gentlemen of the THE COURT: 11 jury, the answer to the last question which was 12 sustained by the Court is stricken, it shall not be 13 considered, it shall be disregarded. Okay. 14 Mr. McMaster. 15 BY MR. MCMASTER: 16 Mr. Marks, you knew an individual by -- that 17 you referred to as Boogie, is that correct? 18 Α Yes, sir. How long had you known Boogie? 19 20 Not that long, about a year. I met him a Α 21 couple of times throughout the year. 22 And when you went to sell him the firearm, where did you do that at? 2.3 I drove down to the end of Eau Gallie. 24 Α

And met him there?

```
1 A Yes.
```

- Q Do you recall what the area of Eau Gallie was that you met him?
- 4 | A Behind Last Call.
- 5 Q That's just off of US1 and Eau Gallie
- 6 | Boulevard?

- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q And can you describe the person that you knew 9 as Boogie?
- 10 A Not really, sir, I was pretty drunk. I mean, I

 11 seen a picture that they showed me and that's the person

 12 that -- I mean, I don't know the weight of him, I don't

 13 know the, you know, I was...
- Q Did you know anything about the gun itself? I mean, are you familiar --
- 16 A No, sir.
- 17 Q -- with firearms?
- 18 A No, sir, I'm not.
- 19 Q You were drunk when the stole the gun?
- 20 A Yes, sir, I was.
- Q Where was it that you took it from?
- 22 A The glove box out of his car.
- 23 Q And do you recall what color the gun was --
- 24 A Black.
- 25 Q -- any details about it?

- 1 A Black.
- 2 Q Was it the kind that had a cylinder to it that
- 3 the resolver goes around --
- 4 A No, sir.
- 5 Q -- or was it a semiautomatic type pistol?
- 6 A It had a clip to it.
- 7 Q A clip to it.
- 8 And the individual that you referred to as
- 9 | Boogie, are you able to identify him today?
- 10 A He does not look like Boogie.
- 11 Q You're referring to someone here in the
- 12 | courtroom?
- 13 A Yes, sir, you asked me that earlier.
- 14 Q And you are unable to identify anyone here in
- 15 | court as being that person that you knew as Boogie?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Because he looks different today --
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q -- or the person that you're looking at today
- 20 | is different?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- 22 \ Q Was Boogie a black male?
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- 24 Q And about how tall was he?
- 25 A Five five, five six.

- 1 | Q And heavy set, medium build?
- 2 A Medium build.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q Anything at all about the description of him at the time that you knew him that you can tell us?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Now, you were a suspect by -- it's a brother-in-law, Mr. Seaton is your brother-in-law?
 - A Yes, sir, I'm married to his sister.
- Q Okay. And you were the suspect at least that your brother-in-law suspected as having taken the weapon, is that correct?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- Q And you were interviewed by the police and by your brother-in-law and sister about this?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q And you initially denied that you took the gun?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q You at that point had actually already sold it 19 to Boogie?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- Q Did there come a time after the March 6th,
 22 2012, murder of Deputy Barbara Pill that you were again
 23 interviewed about the gun itself?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q Do you recall who it was that interviewed you?

- 1 A Craig Carson.
 - Q An agent with the Brevard County Sheriff's Office.
 - A Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q At the time that you were interviewed, were you asked about how it is you were able to get in touch with Boogie?
 - A Yes, sir.
- 9 Q And how was it that you normally got in touch 10 with Boogie?
 - A I told him I had a phone number which I'm not sure if I did or not, it happened a little while ago and I've been incarcerated from then.
- 14 Q Yes, sir, I understand it's been a couple of years since then.
 - You did have an opportunity earlier today to review the video recorded statement that you made on March 9th of 2012 to Agent Carson, did you not?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q And in there was the number that you gave to Agent Carson audible and visible to you when you gave the interview?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q What was the number that you gave to them?

 MR. PIROLO: Objection, Judge, hearsay, not in

evidence.

2

THE COURT: Let's have a bench conference.

3

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out

4

of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

5

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Pirolo, make your

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

objection.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, the objection is hearsay and it's something that's not in evidence. morning during the proffer he was unable to recall the phone number. Now, clearly he's either memorized the phone number or he's obviously watched it enough times to remember the phone number. It's hearsay, he citing it from the video or the audio, whichever one he --

> It's just not in evidence. MR. MOORE:

MR. PIROLO: -- was able to view and that video or audio is not in evidence.

MR. MOORE: It's not a refreshing of his memory either because before he did that he was asked about the video and he said he may have had a number but he doesn't recall. So, his memory isn't refreshed. What the State wants him to testify to is strictly what he just heard on a video which is not in evidence of something which he has no memory of independent of the video which he memorized.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Master. 2 MR. MCMASTER: 3. 4 5 recollection? 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 THE COURT: 17 18 sustain the objection. 19

Judge, we had the statement played for him to see if it refreshed his recollection (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Did he say that that refreshed his

MR. MCMASTER: I didn't ask him that, I asked him if he remembered -- I was asking him directly if he remembered what the phone number was.

MR. MOORE: He was asked about the phone number before they even got into the video. So, I don't know whether it helped him or not. So, how's it going to refresh a memory of something he doesn't even know existed. We object to this witness parroting what he just saw on a videotape.

Okay. As the question's framed at this time without lack of predicate, I'm going to

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) BY MR. MCMASTER:

Mr. Marks, do you recall today what the phone number was that you gave or that you contacted this Boogie at?

Not at all, sir. Α

20

21

22

23

24

```
Does the number (914)866 --
1
          Q
                MR. PIROLO: Objection, leading.
2
3.
                THE COURT: Okay sustained.
                MR. MCMASTER: Just asking him if it sounds
4
5
          familiar.
                THE WITNESS: Sir, I've --
6
                THE COURT: Sustained.
8
                MR. MCMASTER: Fair enough.
9
    BY MR. MCMASTER:
10
                Mr. Marks, during the interview with Agent
          Q
     Carson, were you shown a photograph of an individual?
11
                Yes, I was, sir.
12
                And was the individual that you identified the
13
          Q
14
     person you knew as Boogie?
15
                Yes, sir.
          Α
16
                MR. MCMASTER: No further questions.
17
                THE COURT: Okay. Cross examination by the
18
          defense.
19
                MR. PIROLO: No questions, Your Honor.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Marks, thank you for
          your testimony, you're free to step down.
21
22
                (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness
23
     stand.)
24
                THE COURT: Okay. Other witnesses by the
25
          State.
```

1	MR. MCMASTER: State would call James Seaton.
2	I believe he's in the witness center
3	(unintelligible).
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	(Thereupon, a pause was taken in the
6	proceedings.)
7	MR. MCMASTER: I was going to take him next
8	because he was coming out of town, Judge, but if
9	I'm not sure what the delay is but we can go with
10	Agent Carson, he's another short witness.
11	THE COURT: Okay. We'll bring in Agent Carson.
12	MR. MCMASTER: He should be just inside.
13	THE COURT: Tell them to run up those back
14	stairs.
15	MR. MCMASTER: Witness manager claimed that
16	Mr. Seaton was arrived and was on his way up.
17	THE COURT: We'll take whoever walks through
18	the door.
19	MR. MCMASTER: Works for me.
20	THE COURT: You just have to tell me who it is.
21	MR. MCMASTER: It's Mr. Seaton.
22	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Seaton. Sir, if you'll
23	come forward, step up before the clerk to be sworn.
24	THEREUPON,
25	JASON SEATON,

having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 1 2 upon his oath as follows: 3 THE COURT: Sir, if you'll be seated in the witness chair. Sir, once seated that chair does kind 4 5 of roll around, if you'll scoot that chair up, do 6 adjust that microphone, do talk into that microphone, 7 it helps us hear your testimony it also aids in recording your testimony. Okay. Mr. McMaster. 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. MCMASTER: 11 Good afternoon, sir. If you would please state 12 your full name for the record. 13 Α My name is Jason Randall Seaton. And how do you spell the last name, sir? 14 15 S-E-A-T-O-N. Ά 16 Mr. Seaton, do you know an individual by the 0 name of Robert marks? 17 18 Α Yes, I do. 19 How do you know Mr. Marks? 0 20 He's my brother-in-law. Α 21 And how long have you known him? Q 22 Α I would say about six years. Where do you reside? 23 0 24 Α I'm sorry?

Where do you live, what area?

25

Q

And where was your car at the time?

Showing you what has been marked for

24

25

BY MR. MCMASTER:

identification as State's Exhibit F2, would you look at that and just read it to yourself and tell me if you can

3 identify it?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

1.6

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Yeah, this is the application that I filled out at Shoot Straight in Casselberry when I bought the firearm.

- Q And does your signature in fact appear on the second page?
 - A Yes, it does.
 - Q And does it indicate the date of the purchase?
- 11 A 7/22/11.
 - Q And does the form accurately reflect the information with respect to the weapon that you bought?
 - A I'm sorry, my hearing is very bad.
- 15 Q I'm sorry, sir.

Does the form accurately reflect the information regarding the firearm that you bought there in Casselberry?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q On the third page in particular does it describe the make, the model, serial number and caliber?
 - A Yes, Glock model 27.
 - MR. MOORE: Objection, reading from a document not in evidence.
 - MR. MCMASTER: Without reading.

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
2
    BY MR. MCMASTER:
         O Does it accurately reflect the information
3
    regarding the weapon that you purchased?
4
               Yes, it does.
5
                The one that was subsequently stolen here in
6
7
    Melbourne?
                Yes, it does.
8
                MR. MCMASTER: State would move Exhibit F2 as
          its next exhibit.
10
                THE COURT: With all due respect, I think it's
11
12
          FZ. Can we check that?
                MR. MCMASTER: That may well be. It looks like
13
          a 2 to me but it's a Z to Sherry. I'll go with
14
15
          Sherry's.
                THE COURT: Looks like a Z now.
16
                MR. MOORE: Your Honor, if I may?
17
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
18
                       VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
19
20
     BY MR. MOORE:
                Mr. Seaton, you say that document accurately
21
     reflects the information describing the firearm, is that
22
23
     right?
                Yes.
24
          Α
                Do you know whether that is in fact the serial
25
          Q.
```

- 1 | number for the firearm? Do you know that that is
- 2 | accurately -- that is the same serial number for the
- 3 | firearm that was taken from your glove box?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q You do?
- 6 A I know the serial numbers of all my firearms.
- Q Do you recall the serial number to that
 particular firearm right off the top of your head? Do you
 remember that?
- 10 A I know that is the exact --
- 11 Q No, that's not my question. My question is can 12 you -- in your memory do you know the serial number of the 13 firearm that was stolen from your glove box that you
- 15 A Yes, that is the serial number --
- 16 Q Do you know it? I'm saying do you know that,
 17 do you know that number?
- 18 A Do I have it memorized?
- 19 0 Yes.
- 20 A No, I do not.

testified about?

- 21 Q That's what I'm asking.
- 22 A No, I do not.
- MR. MOORE: Can we approach?
- 24 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 25 | (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out

of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

_

MR. MOORE: First, he hasn't laid a proper predicate, he doesn't know whether that fact accurately reflects the identifying information related to the firearm. He doesn't know the number which who would expect him to. And then number -- well, that's my objection to this being introduced.

MR. MCMASTER: Mr. Moore expects him to remember the firearm serial --

MR. MOORE: You know, what can I say, you know, that's not my problem.

THE COURT: Response from the State.

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, that is the form he filled out to get the firearm when he purchased it, that's his signature and the date that he bought the weapon. When I asked him if he checked the serial number on the paperwork against the actual firearm he got (unintelligible) what he testified to and it should be sufficient to authenticate the document.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection's --

MR. PIROLO: Judge, it's also -- I'm sorry. It's also irrelevant and it's hearsay.

MR. MOORE: Well, the next step would be before it goes in it has to be shown to be relevant, it's not until the firearm is introduced just like --

1 |

THE COURT: When it's what?

2

MR. MOORE: Until the firearm is introduced

it's not relevant. It's just like a drug test. I

3 4

mean, the State can lay the predicate but until they

5

can tie it all up which is at the time the drugs are

6

tested, then all of the documents, all of the -- and

7

chain of custody, everything is not admissible until

8

it becomes relevant which is the time of the testing.

9

Now, similarly with this, until the firearm's

10

introduced with that serial number, that document's

11

not relevant. So, it would be premature to introduce

12

it assuming they can get pass this point.

13

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, under their theory no

14

evidence could be admitted until it's all been

15

identified and it's all together in one bunch.

Certainly this is relevant at this point.

16

understand that the State may have to link it up but

1718

-

the next exhibit I'm going to show to him is a

19

photograph of the weapon taken from the vehicle with

20

that serial number on it.

21

inadmissible, that's just a basic rule of law and

MR. MOORE: Anything that's not relevant is

2223

until the firearm comes in -- what if they don't

24

introduce the firearm, how relevant is that? It's

25

not, it's just a piece of paper. So, until the

firearm is introduced, then that piece of paper is 1 2 not relevant. THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled. 3 You can renew the objection in the event they don't 4 5 introduce the firearm. (Thereupon, the benchside conference was 6 7 concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) Okay. State's Exhibit FZ will be 8 THE COURT: received as State's Exhibit Number 7. 9 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 7 was marked 10 and received in evidence.) 11 12 CONTINUED DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 BY MR. MCMASTER: 14 Mr. Seaton, at the time that you purchased the 15 weapon, did you check the paperwork against the weapon itself to make sure it all matched? 16 17 Α Yes, I did. 18 And showing you what has now been admitted as 19 State's Exhibit 7 in evidence, can you tell us what the 20 serial number is on the weapon that you purchased in 21 Casselberry in July of 2011? 22 Α RFX856. 23 MR. MCMASTER: May I approach the witness, 24 Judge?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

BY MR. MCMASTER:

1

2

3.

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q Showing you what has been marked as State's Exhibit DX for identification, can you identify that?
 - A Yes, that's my pistol.
- Q And is that an accurate photograph displaying the serial number of your pistol also?
- A Yes, it is.
- MR. MCMASTER: State would move Exhibit DX was it?
- 10 THE COURT: DX. Response from the Defense.
- MR. MOORE: Relevance.
- THE COURT: Okay. Based on the Court's prior
 ruling, DX will be received as State's Exhibit Number

 8.
 - (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 8 was marked and received in evidence.)
 - MR. MCMASTER: State requests permission to publish Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 7 to the jury.
 - THE COURT: Okay. You may publish them.

 Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, what I'll ask you to do so it goes faster is if you'll look at one photograph or one exhibit, pass it to the next and then look at the second one so we can -- other people can be looking at the exhibits at the same time.
 - Yeah, do -- if they want to compare, I'll give

```
1
         them that opportunity.
2
                (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 7 and 8
    were published to the jury.)
3
    BY MR. MCMASTER:
4
5
                Mr. Seaton, did you come to learn that in fact
    Robert Marks had stolen your weapon?
6
7
         А
                Yes, sir.
                And he's currently serving a prison term for
8
    his actions in connection with that?
9
10
         А
                Yes.
11
                MR. MCMASTER: Thank you, sir. Nothing
12
          further.
13
                THE COURT: Okay. Cross examination by the
14
          Defense.
15
                MR. MOORE: No questions.
16
                THE COURT: Okay. Sir, thank you for your
          testimony, you're free to step down.
17
18
                THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
                (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness
20
     stand.)
21
                MR. MCMASTER: State calls Agent Craig Carson.
                THE COURT: Agent Carson?
22
23
                MR. MCMASTER: Yes.
24
                THE COURT: Sir, if you'll step up to the clerk
25
          to be sworn.
```

1 THEREUPON, 2 AGENT CRAIG CARSON, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 3. 4 upon his oath as follows: 5 THE COURT: Sir, if you'll be seated in the 6 witness chair. Once seated, if you'll scoot that 7 chair forward. Do adjust that microphone, do talk 8 into that microphone. Okay. Mr. McMaster. 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. MCMASTER: 10 11 Good afternoon, sir. If you would, please 12 state your name for the record. 13 My name is Craig Carson. Α 14 And how are you employed? 0 15 With the Brevard County Sheriff's Office as an 16 agent. And how long have you been with Brevard County 17 0 Sheriff's Office? 18 19 I've been with the sheriff's office for ten 20 years. 21 In March of 2012 did you have an occasion to Q

become involved in the investigation into the homicide of

Deputy Barbara Pill of the Brevard County Sheriff's

25 I did. Α

Office?

22

23

- 1 Q What part were you assigned to investigate?
 - A I work for an ATF sheriff's office Task Force, we did our investigation on the recovered firearm.
 - Q And did you investigate the firearm that was removed from the vehicle that Mr. Brandon Bradley and Andria Kerchner were removed from?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q Were you ultimately able to determine the owner of the firearm?
- 10 A I did.

3...

4

5

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

- 11 Q And did you -- without saying what it was that
 12 you talked to him about, did you interview the owner,
- 13 Mr. Jason Seaton?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q Based on the information that you received from Mr. Seaton as well as the information that Mr. Seaton had originally provided to the sheriff's office when he reported the weapon stolen, did you have an occasion to do a follow up interview with any individuals?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- Q Who did you speak to?
- 22 A I spoke with Mr. Robert Marks.
- 23 Q And when did you speak to Mr. Marks?
- A That day would have been March 8th, 2012.
- 25 Q So, two days after the murder of Deputy Pill?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- Q During the conversation that you had with, or interview that you had with Mr. Marks, was Mr. Marks under
- 4 | arrest at that time?

- 5 A No, he was not.
 - O And where did the interview take place?
- 7 A At his residence in Melbourne.
 - Q And who all was present?
- 9 A Myself and Special Agent Kevin McCann with the 10 ATF.
- 11 Q Did Mr. Marks indicate to you that he in fact 12 had sold the weapon to an individual?
- 13 A Yes, he did.
- 14 Q And did he identify the individual by name?
- A At first he identified him as Boogie.
- And did he indicate whether he knew the individual's full name or not?
- 18 A Not at that time he did not.
- 19 Q Did he give you any other information as to how 20 you might be able to identify who Boogie was?
- 21 A Yes, he did.
- Q What did he do? What did he say?
- A He advised --
- MR. LANNING: Objection.
- THE COURT: Calls for a yes or no. Did he give

1 you any other information? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 3 BY MR. MCMASTER: 4 Q What was the information that he gave you? 5 MR. LANNING: Objection, hearsay. Okay. Bench conference. 6 THE COURT: (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out 7 of the hearing of the jury as follows:) 8 9 MR. LANNING: Judge, what information he gave 10 him is hearsay. 11 THE COURT: I'm going to hear a response from 12 the State. The State's not seeking to 13 MR. MCMASTER: introduce the statement for its truth, I believe that 14 the way that he identified him was that Mr. Marks 15 16 told him it was the guy that's all over the news about the shooting of the deputy, based on that Agent 17 Carson pulled up a news photo from what was being run 18 in the papers at the time which he thinks was a photo 19 20 that the sheriff's office had published. THE COURT: So, what does that have to do with 21 22 the phone number? 23 MR. MCMASTER: It has nothing to do with the 24 phone number.

THE COURT: I thought you were going to ask

him -- maybe I misunderstood. I thought the next one was what phone number did he give you.

MR. MCMASTER: No. No, this is how he got the photograph.

MR. MOORE: How is that not hearsay? It's an out of court statement by a declarant offered for the truth that it's in the media which of course this is, it has been for just about daily for the last two years, that's hearsay.

MR. MCMASTER: It's a statement of identification which is an exception to the hearsay.

MR. MOORE: No.

MR. MCMASTER: It's not hearsay at all.

MR. MOORE: It's I saw the person in the newspaper, that's hearsay. That doesn't -- it's not an identification, not of this person right here, not of the defendant, not of anybody, just an out of court declarant saying I saw something in the newspaper and that's what it's being offered for. It's being offered for its truth value. Its hearsay. There's no exception to the hearsay rule for that.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

1 BY MR. MCMASTER: 2 0 3... 4 5 А 6 Q 7 8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Based on the information that Mr. Marks gave you in the interview about the individual Boogie, did you obtain the photograph of an individual?

Yes, I did.

Who was the individual that you obtained the photograph?

MR. LANNING: Objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.

MR. MOORE: Can we approach on this?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

THE COURT: Ask him.

MR. MOORE: Who was the individual in the picture, that's his opinion. Now, unless they have laid a proper --

THE COURT: He obtained -- he went and got a picture, who was the individual in the picture, it's what he did.

MR. MOORE: Well, but it's the who that was in the picture. It's his opinion about who was in the picture that we're objecting to unless they can establish a predicate for that. Either he took the picture of the person and it's a fair and accurate

representation of the person in the picture or he's familiar enough with the person in the picture to say, well, I know that person and I can identify him. Those are the only two ways that he can express that opinion. There's no other way. He just can't -- you know, what if it would have been handed to him by somebody else how said that Brandon Bradley.

So, unless they can establish his familiarity with the person in the picture sufficiently enough so that he can make an identification based upon that familiarity or he took the picture himself and can say that's a fair and accurate representation, then he can answer that question. They have to lay a predicate first.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not sure a predicate was laid so, as to who the picture was.

MR. MCMASTER: I'll ask him where he got the photograph and who (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Okay. So, I'll sustain the objection.

MR. MOORE: Well, you can't ask him who it was of, that's what we're objecting to.

THE COURT: Well, if they lay a predicate. He can say what he did as a result of what he was told even if what he did was go find a picture of Mr.

Bradley.

6 7

8 9

10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

MR. MOORE: Well, he can't say this is a picture of Mr. Bradley unless one of those two predicates are laid that I just outlined and unless they do that he cannot give that opinion.

MR. MCMASTER: Judge, they use jail photos all the time.

THE COURT: Well, if you say I went and got a jail photo and -- of a certain individual and --

MR. MOORE: That's his opinion about it. If he says --

MR. MCMASTER: It goes to the weight.

MR. MOORE: No, no, it doesn't, it goes to the admissibility. If he can say I was there when the picture was taken or I'm familiar --

> THE COURT: I'm not going to require that.

No, of course. MR. MOORE:

THE COURT: He doesn't have to be there when the --

MR. MOORE: No, no, that's one way, that's not this way. Or I know the person in the picture, I'm familiar with him and I would say that is the person I know in the picture and he hasn't done that.

THE COURT: I mean, there's official records that say who the pictures are. I would accept that.

```
1
          I mean, I'm not --
2
                MR. MOORE: But they're not seeking to
         introduce those, Your Honor.
3
                THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to sustain it on
4
5
          lack of predicate.
                (Thereupon, the benchside conference was
6
7
    concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)
8
    BY MR. MCMASTER:
                Agent Carson, where did you get the photograph
9
          0
10
     that you showed to Mr. Marks?
                It was a photograph provided to the media from
11
12
     law enforcement.
                And who was the photograph of?
13
          Q
14
                MR. MOORE: Objection. Objection, predicate.
15
                THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection at this
16
         point.
                MR. MCMASTER: Approach the witness?
17
18
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
     BY MR. MCMASTER:
19
20
                Showing you what has been admitted as State's
21
     Exhibit 6 in evidence. Is that a photograph of the
     individual whose photograph you obtained on March 8th,
22
23
     2012, and showed to Mr. Marks?
24
                It's a photograph, I can't be a hundred percent
```

sure if that's the exact photograph that was shown.

- 1 Q No, I'm not asking if that's the exact photo.
 - A Okay.

Q I'm asking you if the individual that's depicted in that exhibit is the same individual you pulled up your photograph of?

A Yes, sir.

MR. MOORE: Same objection, Your Honor, lack of predicate. Can we approach?

THE COURT: You may.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

MR. MOORE: He has to have a basis of comparison. He can't -- just an opinion by -- this is for a jury determination. They're trying to establish identity. They can't have a witness come and just say I've looked at two pictures and I think they're the same person unless he's so familiar with the person in the picture he can say, yeah, I know him, I know him on sight anywhere, that's a picture of him there, that's a picture of him there and they're not doing that.

THE COURT: He can say that that was --

MR. MOORE: They're skipping those steps.

THE COURT: -- the same picture that he -- that that's the individual, I look at this picture and --

MR. MOORE: It's not the same picture, he just said that, he's not sure.

THE COURT: No, he can say that's the same individual. He can compare pictures, that's --

MR. MOORE: No, he can't do that, Your Honor. An officer cannot make -- nobody can, no person can take two pictures unless they have sufficient familiarity with it, that's just an opinion for the jury to make. That's their decision to make, not this witness.

MR. MCMASTER: He's testified that the picture he pulled up that the showed to Mr. Marks was a photograph of Brandon Bradley and that was publicized by the sheriff's department by someone (unintelligible) press release and that's why he specifically pulled it up as Brandon Bradley. This is official evidence in the record already that this person is Brandon Bradley. He's saying it's the same person.

MR. MOORE: He's skipping a whole lot of steps. How does he know that that is a picture of Brandon Bradley. He just can't -- what's he taking somebody else's word for it? That's hearsay.

THE COURT: Well, this has already come into evidence.

1 MR. MOORE: That's fine. THE COURT: That this is a picture of Brandon 2 3 Bradley. That's fine, but he can't take two 4 MR. MOORE: 5 pictures and compare them unless he's got a basis for comparing them unless he's sufficiently familiar and 6 7 they're skipping those steps. THE COURT: Okay. At this time I'll going to 8 9 overrule the objection. (Thereupon, the benchside conference was 10 11 concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) 12 BY MR. MCMASTER: 13 Agent Carson, I understand that may not be the Q exact same photograph that you used but it's the same 14 individual we're talking about? 15 16 Α Yes, it is. 17 Where did you get your photograph? I got it off an Internet website, when you 18 19 Googled Barbara Pill it came up with the picture of 20 Mr. Bradley. And was Mr. Marks able --21 22 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, that's is exactly 23 (unintelligible), we object. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Overruled.

1 BY MR. MCMASTER: 2 And was Mr. Marks able to identify for you in your interview on March 8th of 2012 an individual in the 3... photograph as being the person Boogie that he had sold the 4 5 weapon to? 6 А Yes, he did. MR. MCMASTER: No further questions. 7 THE COURT: Okay. Cross examination. We'll 8 9 give him just a moment. Cross examination by the Defense. 10 11 MR. LANNING: No questions. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Agent Carson, thank you for 13 your testimony, you're free to step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you, ma'am. 14 (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness 15 16 stand.) 17 MR. MCMASTER: Can we approach the bench? 18 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 19 Okav. Other witnesses on behalf of the 20 Defense? I mean on behalf of the State, sorry about 21 that. 22 MR. MCMASTER: That's what I was going to 23 approach about, Judge. THE COURT: Other witnesses on behalf of the 24

25

State? Oh.

1 MR. MCMASTER: May we approach? 2 THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out 3. of the hearing of the jury as follows:) 4 I didn't know that that's what that 5 THE COURT: was in reference to. I thought it was because I said Defense instead of State. 8 MR. MCMASTER: We got through them all but I thought that there was going to be cross examination 9 on each of them. We don't have any additional 10 11 witnesses here this afternoon. I don't think there's 12 anybody (unintelligible). We do have a long list of 13 hotel employees that are going to be here early in 14 the morning. 15 THE COURT: What time did you tell them to be 16 here? 17 I think they're going to be here MR. MCMASTER: between 8:00 and 8:30. 18 19 THE COURT: Okay. Then we'll start at 8:30 20 tomorrow morning. 21 MR. BROWN: Judge, the first witness we had 22 flown in so we're going to tend to call him first. 23 expect he'll be here at 8:30 but. 24 THE COURT: If not. 25 MR. BROWN: I'm a little concerned if we're

running a few minutes late.

3.

1.7

THE COURT: If he's running a few minutes late or we're running a few minutes late?

MR. BROWN: Well, if he is. I don't want to delay the court.

THE COURT: So, what are you saying?

MR. BROWN: Perhaps 8:45?

THE COURT: Well, by the time they -- if they get here at 8:30, by the time they get up it's usually 8:45. Okay. Okay.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Ladies and gentlemen, at this time we're going to recess for the evening. I'm going to ask you to be back here at 8:30 in the morning. During this recess you must continue to abide by the rules governing your service as a jury. Do not discuss this case among yourselves or with anyone else. Avoid reading newspaper headlines and/or articles relating to this trial or it's participants. Avoid seeing or hearing television or radio or internet comments about this trial and do not conduct any research yourself regarding this case or any of its participants. Report downstairs at 8:30 to jury assembly room. As soon as everyone's

here we'll bring you up and we'll get started. 1 So, court will be in recess until 8:30 tomorrow morning. 2 3 Thank you. Put your notebooks on your seat. Thank 4 you. 5 (Thereupon, the jury was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had 6 7 as follows:) THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated. 9 matters that we need to address before we recess on 10 behalf of the State? MR. MCMASTER: No, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Any matters we need to address 12 before we recess on behalf of the Defense? 13 14 MR. MOORE: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Court will be in recess 16 until 8:30 tomorrow morning. Thank you. (Thereupon, court was in recess for the day, 17 3/18/2014. Thereafter, court was reconvened on 3/19/2014 18 19 and the proceedings were had as follows:) 2.0 THE COURT: Please be seated. We can bring out 21 Mr. Bradley. MR. MOORE: Judge, before we get started this 22 morning, I need to approach. 23 24 THE COURT: Okay. I just want Mr. Bradley out 25 here.

2

3...

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy.)

THE COURT: Okay. Let's go ahead and have a bench conference.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the audience as follows:)

Your Honor, I've given the Court a MR. MOORE: copy of a Fifth District Court of Appeal case, Ruffin v. State, 549 So.2d 250 from 1989 and this relates to the testimony yesterday of Agent Carson and he was -over our objection he was allowed to testify that in looking at a driver's license picture of Brandon Bradley and comparing it to a picture which wasn't disclosed or introduced of what he said was a picture of Mr. Bradley which he went and found on the Internet on a website which is a Deputy Pill web page and to compare the two and give his opinion that is a picture of the same guy and the Ruffin case says that a police officer can't do that, no witness can but especially a police officer because -- unless the witness the officer was a witness to a crime, he's an expert in identification, or he has some special familiarity with that person in the picture and the State didn't establish any of that. So, you know, that is the basis -- the case law basis for the

objection that I made yesterday and lays it out and we move to strike his testimony and have the jury disregard it. It's an essential link in the firearm, stolen firearm which the theft, theft of that firearm, Mr. Marks said in his testimony that he gave -- sold it to somebody named Boogie and could not identify Mr. Bradley. So, the State is using that to link the firearm through Mr. Marks and Agent Carson to the defendant.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the State.

Judge, the driver's license MR. MCMASTER: photograph is in evidence as is the additional photograph of Brandon Bradley. Agent Carson testified that he pulled up on social media, he Googled essentially Deputy Pill's situation and took one of the photographs off of there indicating that it is Brandon Bradley. He's indicated that he is aware that they are both the same individuals and he showed that photograph to the witness who identified the photograph in the social media as being that of Brandon Bradley. To me it goes to the weight. It's for the jury to make the determination ultimately whether or not it is the same individual. That Agent Carson is participating in the investigation, he's familiar with the defendant, Brandon Bradley, and is

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

able to make a comparison between the two photographs and the photograph that was shown to Mr. Marks is one that came right off of the Internet based on his information about who the guy was.

Well, it shouldn't even be weighed MR. MOORE: by the jury because it shouldn't be in front of the It's black or white, either the State has laid jury. that predicate, which the Fifth DCA Ruffin case lays out, or they haven't and they didn't and so the jury shouldn't even be considering this even if he did get it off the Internet because we all know anything on the Internet's got to be true, right. And that -- by the way, the picture was never disclosed to us and it was not -- certainly not introduced. So, what we're left with is the opinion of Agent Carson that those two pictures depict the same person and the Ruffin case is right on point, it says you can't do that unless that predicate's laid and it was not.

MR. MCMASTER: The problem is the picture was never generated as a hard copy, he pulled it up on the computer, showed to Mr. Marks during the interview and then Mr. Marks confirmed that the photograph on the Internet that is displayed is -- I think he said it was one of the photographs issued by the sheriff's office as the initial press release

2

4

3

5

8

9

7

10

11 12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

24

type situation was in fact the individual that he knew as Boogie. So, we didn't have a physical photograph to introduce to the jury, we just have the testimony of Agent Carson to testify about that.

I thought about this last night. You know, normally when photo lineups are prepared, the officer preparing them just takes jail photos or driver's license photos and prepares a six pack and that officer who prepares the six pack is not physically present when any of those photos are taken. He may not have any particular knowledge about the particular individual but he's allowed to rely on the official photographs of this person as being that particular individual. They show these lineups to witnesses on a regular basis. The witness has identified a particular photograph and the officer testifies this is who the person is. If Defense Counsel wants to argue to the jury, well, the officer who said that doesn't really know because he wasn't there, let them argue that. That goes to the weight, not the admissibility.

MR. MOORE: This is not a lineup, this is -- and it also deals with a picture that wasn't even introduced into evidence nor disclosed. Now, I understand the reasons why but there's no exception

in the Ruffin case for the logistical problems that the State has and --

So, you know, that distinction is -- you know, clearly mug shots as long as they're properly authenticate and introduced into evidence can be the basis of a police officer's testimony that it was shown to a witness who then made a selection. That's under 8012(c), but this is not that situation. This is a photograph which may or may not have been Mr. Bradley from an unofficial web site, a web page for the deputy which is the basis of it which we've never seen and so this is -- the Ruffin case is right on point. It's not a mug shot, it should have excluded the officer's testimony. The jury shouldn't be considering this at all, should not have been introduced for their consideration under Ruffin.

MR. BROWN: Judge, the Ruffin case is a little bit different, the facts and circumstances. It's a videotape drug buy and it's clear in the videotaped drug buy the person's coming in not having been a width to the offense. Had he been a witness to the offense the opinion says he could have testified as to who it was. Well, the officer who testified yesterday, Agent Carson, was a witness to the identification. That's what he's a witness to and he

was a direct witness to it. The Ruffin case and the whole issue with the video buys is unless we can establish the person's familiarity with the defendant, we cannot come in and simply watch the same videotape that the jury's going to watch and make the identification.

In fact, the videotape cases go a step farther in that an officer can't identify himself as an officer if he's the one we're going to use to make the ID and say he's familiar with the defendant because that would imply some prior contact, but in effect he's simply watching what the jury watches and gives his opinion and we have to establish a predicate. This is different in that this is the actual witness who's showing the photograph to the person making the identification from the photograph.

MR. MOORE: It is not the actual witness. The actual witness was Mr. Marks. Mr. Marks could not make the ID. So, we have a witness one removed who -- a witness, Mr. Marks, unable to -- who was unable to make the ID.

THE COURT: Okay. But Mr. Marks -- the reason why he went and got the photo of Mr. Brandon was as a result of -- Mr. Bradley was as a result of what he was told by Mr. Marks. So, it wasn't totally

3...

arbitrary that this photo came up. That's the reason why he went to get the photo.

MR. MOORE: That's not the point. I mean, he could have all the legitimate or illegitimate reason in the world for getting that picture, but the bottom line is this officer expressed an opinion about a photograph which he was not -- he's not a witness to that transaction involving Boogie --

MR. BROWN: He was a witness --

MR. MOORE: Excuse me. The police officer was not a witness nor a victim which is the traditional witness who testifies in looking at a photograph. The only thing he witnessed was Mr. Marks identifying a photograph which is not the Ruffin case. The officer cannot give an opinion about the identity of a person in the photograph unless the Ruffin standard is met.

MR. BROWN: The Ruffin standard is the person is not a witness to the crime for what they're using it for. Agent Carson was a witness to what we were using. That's the difference between that case and Ruffin. Ruffin is somebody totally unrelated to the case, had nothing to do with the drug buy comes in, makes the identification by viewing the videotape. Okay.

2

3 4

5

6

8

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

25

The Ruffin case says if the eyewitness is there and observed the transaction, they can come and make the identification. That's what all the cases stand for. You can come in and make an identification when you observe it. All Agent Carson did was come in, he's an eyewitness to the second witnesses, the photograph identification, Mr. Carson was an eyewitness to that identification.

MR. MOORE: Can we read the Ruffin case and follow the Ruffin case? It says where the -- where the police officer can give an opinion from a -about the identity in a picture is number one. the police officers -- where they can't do it that When the police officers are not an eyewitness to the crime. Okay. The crime was -- or the transaction was the sale of the gun. Mr. Marks was a witness of that. He couldn't identify it. Agent Carson was not a witness to that. The police officer did not have any special familiarity with the defendant, they didn't establish that. The police officer was not qualified as any type of expert in identification, they didn't establish that. Ruffin is not met. I mean, they can give all these convoluted explanations but they're not logical and they don't apply to this factual situation.

MR. BROWN: I disagree with Defense Counsel with all due respect, he's taking the stretch that when they say the third officer were allowed to testify over objection that mere opinion Ruffin was the man in the videotape. That's the same tape that's played to the jury. The witnesses who testified in the Ruffin case had nothing to do with what they were making their identification off.

MR. MOORE: Exactly right, he was not present.

MR. BROWN: The difference here is Agent Carson was an eyewitness to the identification. That's what he's testified to was the photograph that he showed to the witness he made the identification. He's an eyewitness to the event he's testified to.

MR. MOORE: No.

MR. BROWN: Ruffin is not that.

MR. MOORE: He is not the eyewitness which is the whole purpose of this transaction, this whole series of witnesses, Ruffin and Carson. He was not a width to the gun changing hands and to Boogie's hands and so it's one step removed. That is not Ruffin. The police was not there when that happened, he can't testify about it.

THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled.

I have something if you'll stay up here. What

have you done with the jury information sheets? 1 Do 2 you still have them? 3 MR. MOORE: Sitting on my desk, mine are. THE COURT: Do you have any objections to 4 5 giving them to the clerk? 6 MR. PIROLO: I have notes on them. 7 MR. LANNING: I do as to mine (unintelligible). MR. PIROLO: Yeah, mine are all --8 9 THE COURT: Well, do you need those notes 10 because they would be destroyed. MR. LANNING: I will need the list for 11 12 appellate purposes. 13 MR. MOORE: Can we hang on to them until the end of the case and then we'll return them. 14 15 MR. MCMASTER: We don't have any problem with 16 having the Court issue an order that they're not to 17 be disposed of. 18 THE COURT: I thought I already issued that 19 order with all due respect, but we got a call from 20 the Stat's office yesterday --21 I heard it. MR. MCMASTER: 22 THE COURT: -- making that request and then my 23 person asked me and I'm like is that even in dispute. 24 They asked for the names of the jurors and then they 25 asked for some demographical information.

3.

MR. BROWN: The way it was explained to us is we got a call from the clerk. Out people has said that the clerks were going to -- they requested it, they were going to release it unless we had an issue with that and our person told them that we don't think you should, you need to contact the Judge before you do that.

THE COURT: Okay. Because I thought it was coming from your office, I didn't know it was coming from the clerk's office.

MR. BROWN: No, no, not from us.

THE COURT: I'll make sure they know not to release anything because I said nothing is to be released without an order of the court. I mean, that's the purpose -- it's, you know. If I had said their names on the record it would be public record but I didn't say their names, I only said their numbers. So, at this point it's -- the only thing that's public record is the numbers.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, as an officer of the court,
I can tell you I'm not going to disclose the
questionaries to anyone. I've got a lot of notes on
every single page of --

THE COURT: I understand that it may -- I was just asking that question. If you want to keep that

and everyone is with the understanding that that information is not public record, that information is not to be disseminated, then I'm okay with that but, you know, that means with all due respect is it possible to get a -- is Miss Brook --

MR. PIROLO: Butler.

THE COURT: Butler.

MR. MOORE: Dr. Butler.

THE COURT: Maybe Dr. Butler could give you, whatever information she has could go back to the attorneys and if any of your investigators, if it's in the possession of the attorneys and then, you know, if it comes to a point where we have to address it by court order, we'll do that.

MR. PIROLO: That's fine.

THE COURT: Okay. But everyone is understanding that that information is not to be --

MR. MOORE: Oh, yes, yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: To go beyond you.

MR. PIROLO: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Any other matters that we need to address on behalf of the State?

No, Your Honor. 1 MR. BROWN: THE COURT: Other matters on behalf of the 2 Defense? 3 4 MR. MOORE: No, Your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Okay. It looks like -- is the jury 6 up? 7 THE COURT DEPUTY: They are. THE COURT: It looks like we're ready to bring 8 9 the jury in. 10 THE COURT DEPUTY: Yes, ma'am. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. (Thereupon, the jury was escorted into the 12 courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had 13 as follows:) 14 15 THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning, 16 ladies and gentlemen of the jury. Has anyone read or been exposed to reading newspaper headlines and/or 17 18 articles relating to this trial or its participants? THE JURY PANEL: 19 No. 20 THE COURT: Has anyone seen or heard 21 television, radio or Internet comments about this trial? 22 THE JURY PANEL: 23 No. THE COURT: Has anyone conducted or been 24 25 exposed to any research regarding any matters

concerning this case? 1 2 THE JURY PANEL: No. THE COURT: And have you discussed this case 3 4 among yourselves or with anyone else or allowed 5 anyone to discuss it in your presence? THE JURY PANEL: No. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 8 Okay. Other witnesses on behalf of the State. 9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the State would call 10 Christopher Montesano. THE COURT: Sir, if you'll come forward, step 11 12 up to the clerk to be sworn. 13 THEREUPON, CHRISTOPHER MONTESANO, 14 15 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 16 upon his oath as follows: 17 THE COURT: Sir, please be seated in the 18 witness chair. Sir, once seated, if you'll scoot that chair up. Do adjust that microphone, do talk 19 into that microphone, it aids in us hearing your 20 21 testimony and it also aids in recording your 22 testimony. Okay. Mr. Brown. MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. 23

24

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BROWN:

- 3 Q Sir, would you please state your name and spell
- 4 | your last name?
- 5 A Christopher Montesano, that's
- $6 \mid M-O-N-T-E-S-A-N-O$.
- 7 Q Mr. Montesano, what state do you live in?
- 8 A I live in Ohio.
- 9 Q And I want to bring your attention back March
- 10 6th, 2012, were you in the State of Florida at that time?
- 11 A Yes, sir, I was.
- 12 Q And what were you down here for?
- 13 A Tried to move down here actually.
- 14 Q Okay. And at that date and time, March 6th,
- 15 | 2012, where were you staying at?
- 16 A We were staying at the EconoLodge right off of
- 17 | Route 95 in Brevard County.
- 18 | Q And when you say we, who were you staying with?
- 19 A My fiancee.
- 20 Q And on that particular morning of March 6th,
- 21 | were you checking out of a particular room?
- 22 A Yes, we were.
- Q What were you doing?
- 24 A Basically we were cleaning out our room
- 25 | emptying all our trash out, loading up our belongings into

- 1 | our vehicle.
- 2 Q And do you recall what room you were in?
- 3 A I do not exactly remember the room number, I
- 4 | know I was on the first floor.
- And the layout of the hotel itself, the motel, the front portion, back portion?
 - A In the back portion.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the
- 9 witness?

- 10 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 11 BY MR. BROWN:
- 12 Q I'm going to show you what's been marked as
 13 Exhibit H for identification, do you recognize this?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 | O And what is it?
- 16 A That's the Econo lodge.
- 17 Q And is that the area where you were staying?
- 18 A Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Does this fairly and accurately depict how it 20 existed when you were there on March 6th, 2012?
- 21 A Yes, sir.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time the State
 would move to admit what's marked as Exhibit H for
 identification into evidence.
- THE COURT: Response from the Defense?

MR. PIROLO: No objection, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Okay. H will be received as 2 State's Exhibit Number 7. 3 MR. BROWN: Judge, we're on Number 9. 4 5 THE COURT: Did I miss? Oh, you're right, we're on Number 9. Sorry about that. State's 6 7 Exhibit Number 9. (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 9 was marked 8 9 and received in evidence.) 10 MR. BROWN: Can I ask that the easel be brought 11 up, please? I'd like to publish this at this time to 12 the jury. 13 THE COURT: Okay. MR. BROWN: I think the best spot may be 14 perhaps down by where that spare chair is to be close 15 16 enough where they can see it. 17 THE COURT: Okay. If he wants to move it over there, we can move it over there. If you'll turn it 18 19 this way a little bit so the Defense can see it too. MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I have the witness 20 21 step down, please? 22 THE COURT: The witness may step down. 23 (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness 24 stand.) MR. PIROLO: With the Court's permission, may I 25

1 move around the? 2 THE COURT: Yes, you may. BY MR. BROWN: 3. If you can just stand to the side of it please. 4 Can you point to the jury where approximately you were 5 6 staying in the hotel? Our room was this one here. And you indicated which floor were you on? 8 0 9 Α The first floor. And do you recall where your car was parked at? 10 Q My car was parked right here. 11 And was there -- this area that kind of juts 12 Q 13 out from the building, what is that? That's the stairwell that goes up to the second 14 Α 15 floor. And was there any car parked between your car 16 and that stairwell? 17 Yeah, it was the SUV. 18 Okay. And when you were there, did you notice 19 anything unusual happening somewhere between 10:00 and 20 11:00 o'clock? 21 Yeah, I noticed that that vehicle was being 22 loaded with furniture that belonged to the hotel room. 23

Okay. And did you see -- first, did you see

what people -- what, if any, people were loading anything

24

25

1 | into that vehicle?

2

4

5

6

8

- A I saw the defendant loading furniture into the vehicle, in the rear of the vehicle.
- Q And you indicate the defendant, can you please points him out and describe an article of clothing that he's wearing?
- A A jacket sitting.
 - Q And white or black?
- A Black.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let the record reflect the witness has identified the defendant.
- 12 Q Did you see anybody else carrying stuff out to 13 that vehicle as well?
- 14 A I saw a female as well.
- MR. MOORE: I can't hear, little louder please.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Female as well.
- 17 BY MR. BROWN:
- Q Can you give the jury a description of that
- 19 | female?
- 20 A I really can't, sir.
- 21 0 Was she white or black?
- 22 A She was white.
- 23 Q And did you happen to notice what room or what 24 area they were -- the area their room was?
- 25 A I believe it was this one here or one of the

```
ones next to one of the rooms but it was on the second
1
2
    floor.
         O Okay. And it was a room close to the
3
    stairwell?
5
         Α
               Yes.
               And when you observed them carrying items out,
6
    what items did you observe them carrying out?
7
                Basically furniture. I can't remember exactly
    what kind of furniture it was. I knew it didn't belong,
     you know, that it belonged there, we had the same stuff in
10
11
     our room.
                MR. MOORE: Your Honor --
12
                THE WITNESS: Paintings off the wall, stuff
13
          like that.
14
                MR. MOORE: -- I can't hear.
15
16
                THE COURT: Can he be seated?
17
                MR. BROWN: Yes.
18
                THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
                THE COURT: Then he'll be picked up on the
19
2.0
          microphone.
                MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21
                (Thereupon, the witness resumed the witness
22
23
     stand.)
     BY MR. BROWN:
24
```

Let me reask that question to you, sir.

1 items did you see these individuals carrying out?

A Basically the furniture that was in the room, painting off the wall, you know, microwave, you know, stand, stuff like that.

Q How were you able to identify the furniture as -- in your own mind as knowing that it came from the room?

A Because I was staying in one of the rooms, I know what furniture belonged in that room.

Q Did it look identical to the furniture you had in your room?

A Yes, it did.

Q And did you observe these individuals one trip, multiple trips?

A I believe it was just one trip because I -- we were in the middle of packing our stuff and, you know, I seen that employees there were on their way to try and stop these people from taking --

MR. PIROLO: Objection, nonresponsive.

THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.

BY MR. BROWN:

2

3...

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And after you observed the two individuals carrying things down to the SUV, what did you then next observe?

A Nothing, I closed my curtain and went back to

1 | my business.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q Did you see anybody confront either of these two individuals?
 - A Yeah, I did, they --
 - Q Tell the jury about that.
- A The maintenance guy was down there trying to stop them from leaving with the stuff, you know.
- Q Was there any other hotel employees in the area?
- A I believe there were two upstairs near the room but I don't know for sure, I couldn't see up there, I was in my room at that point.
- Q And once you saw that started to occur, what did you do?
- A I closed my curtain and went back to my business.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I have one moment?
- THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 19 (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the
- 20 proceedings.)
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions of this witness.
- THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the Defense.
- MR. PIROLO: Yes, Your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. PIROLO:

- 3 Q Mr. Montesano, can you tell the members of the
- 4 jury whether or not you've been convicted of a felony or a
- 5 | crime of dishonesty?
- 6 A Yes, I have.
- 7 | Q How many times?
- 8 A One time.
- 9 Q For what?
- 10 A It was for --
- 11 Q Let me back up for a moment. I'll back up.
- 12 You've been convicted only one time in your
- 13 | life?
- 14 A Yeah.
- 15 Q You sure about that?
- 16 A Well, it depends what you're asking me.
- 17 Q Okay. My question --
- 18 A What kind of conviction are we speaking of
- 19 here?
- 20 A felony or a crime of dishonesty.
- 21 A I have one felony.
- 22 You sure it's not a crime of dishonesty as
- 23 | well?
- 24 A I don't believe so but I'm not exactly sure
- 25 what you're talking about. I have committed crimes in my

- 1 | past, yes, I have, and I also did my time for my crimes.
- Q Mr. Montesano, you said earlier you live in the 3 State of Ohio, correct?
- 4 A Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

19

20

- Q And isn't it true that in the State of Ohio on May 7th, 2001, you were convicted for a crime of dishonesty, receiving stolen property?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And that's in addition to the felony that you were convicted of as well, correct?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q Okay. Thank you, sir.
 - You indicated earlier you saw two individuals carrying furniture?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q All right. Did you have a good eye on them?
- 17 A What do you mean did I have a good eye on them?
- 18 Q Were you looking at them?
 - A Yeah, of course, I was parked right beside them cleaning out my hotel room and loading my belongings into my vehicle.
- Q Would it be a fair statement that the male that
 you saw, the way he appeared was like he was out of this
 world?
- 25 A Huh?

- 1 | O Would that be a fair statement?
- 2 A No, I don't understand what you're saying.
- 3 Q All right. Do you remember -- well, first of
- 4 | all, you didn't call the police on March 6th, correct?
- 5 A No, I did not.
- 6 Q When saw this happened you didn't call the 7 police?
- 8 A No, I did not.
- 9 Q Actually they contacted you by phone March 10 29th, correct?
- 11 A Something like that, yes.
- Q And they told you to go see a detective in Ohio, right?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And you and your fiancee Stephannie
 Bartoli were inside the interview room together with
 Sergeant Munick I believe, the detective?
- A Munick? Yes, sir.
- 19 Q Munick. Okay.
- In fact, both of you were in that room,
- 21 | correct?
- 22 A Yes, sir.
- Q Okay. And you don't recall a conversation

 coming up where you indicated the male seemed to be not in
- 25 | this world?

```
Page 301
                I don't believe I said anything of the sort.
1
          Α
                All right. Was that Miss Bartoli that said
2
          Q
 3.
     that?
                I don't know what she said truthfully, I know
4
          Α
     what I said.
5
                All right.
 6
          Q.
                And I don't believe I said anything like that,
7
          Α
8
     no.
                You were sitting right next to her, correct?
9
          Q
10
          Α
                Yes.
11
                In the same room?
          Q.
12
          Α
                Okay.
13
                You were there, you tell us.
          0
                I don't know, that's what the statement's for,
14
          Α
     that's why that was written down and recorded.
15
16
          Q
                Right.
17
                I don't know exactly what she said versus what
     I said, this was over a year ago, sir.
18
19
          Q
                Okay.
                MR. PIROLO: Can I have a moment, Your Honor?
20
21
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
22
                (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the
23
     proceedings.)
                MR. PIROLO: Judge, I don't have anything else.
24
```

THE COURT: Okay. Redirect by the State.

MR. BROWN: Briefly. 1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. BROWN: Mr. Montesano, the defendant you observed, did 5 you see him go up and down the staircase? Yes, sir. 6 Α 7 Did he have any trouble going up and down the staircase? 8 9 Α No, sir. Did he have any trouble coming down the 10 0 staircase carrying items? 11 No, sir. 12 Α MR. BROWN: Thank you. No further questions. 13 THE COURT: Recross. 14 MR. PIROLO: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Sir, thank you for your 16 17 testimony, you're free to step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 18 (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness 19 20 stand.) THE COURT: Okay. Other witnesses on behalf of 21 the State. 22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the State would next 23 call Andrew Jordan. 24 THE COURT: Sir, if you'll come forward to the 25

clerk to be sworn. 1 2 THEREUPON, ANDREW JORDAN, 3 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 4 5 upon his oath as follows: THE COURT: Sir, if you'll have a seat. Once 6 seated if you'll scoot that chair up. Do talk into 7 that microphone. Do adjust that microphone if you 8 9 need to, if you need to, but do talk into the microphone. Thank you, sir. 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 13 BY MR. BROWN: Sir, would you please state your name and spell 14 15 your last name for the jury? 16 Α Andrew Jordan, J-O-R-D-A-N. And Mr. Jordan, how are you employed? 17 0 I work as a maintenance at the EconoLodge. 18 Α And how long have you worked there? 19 Q It will be four years now, right close. 20 Α And is that location at 4455 West New Haven 21 0 Boulevard --22 23 А Yes. -- Melbourne? 24 0 And is that location within Brevard County, 25

State of Florida?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

- A Yes.
- Q And can you tell the jury that location, what type of business is it?
 - A It's a hotel, you know what I mean.
- Q And is there anything unusual about the setup of the hotel as far as the building?
- A Yeah, it's -- it's one franchise but it's actually two franchise. One's personally owned and one's the EconoLodge franchise. It's actually two separate organizations in one.
- Q And what are the two names that are used for the franchises?
 - A The one is EconoLodge and the other one is -- I can't remember the right name for it but it's -Mr. Malik's the one that owns it.
 - Q Okay. Would it be the York Inn?
 - A Well, yeah, there you go.
 - Q Okay. Is that what the --
- 20 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And as far as the EconoLodge versus the York Inn, is there any order to the rooms? Is one section the Econo, one section the York Inn?
 - A Yes, there's two full buildings is the EconoLodge and two full buildings is the York Inn.

And where are they in relationship to each Q 2 other? The York is the first building when you pull in 3 and then the one right beside it and then in the back 4 there's two back there in the opposite position but 5 6 they're at the back. 7 And what are the buildings in the back? 8 Α Yeah. 9 Which hotel are they technically? 0 The one in the back is the York Inn and the one 10 Α 11 up front is the Budget, or the EconoLodge. MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the 12 13 witness? 14 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 15 BY MR. BROWN: I'm going to show you what's been marked as 16 17 Exhibit J, do you recognize that document? 18 A Yes. 19 What is it? 0 That's our floor plan of all the buildings. 20 Α Does that fairly and accurately depict the 21 0 22 floor plan --23 Α Yeah. -- with the hotel room numbers? 24 0

25

Um-hmm.

Α

	Page 306
1	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time I would
2	ask to admit Exhibit J for identification into
3	evidence.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense?
5	MR. PIROLO: No objection, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. J will be received as
7	State's Exhibit Number 10.
8	(Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 10 was
9	marked and received in evidence.)
10	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time I'd also
11	move to admit what's been marked as Exhibit AL.
12	THE COURT: What is it marked, AL?
13	MR. BROWN: AL.
14	MR. PIROLO: May we approach?
15	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
16	(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out
17	of the hearing of the jury as follows:)
18	THE COURT: Let me see it.
19	MR. PIROLO: Judge, we're going to object to
20	that being hearsay. The custodian of records is not
21	present to properly authenticate the documents that
22	are in there. Mr. Jordan is not going to have
23	personal knowledge of the contents of the documents
24	that are enclosed there. He's the maintenance man,
25	he's not doesn't work behind the desk and in

charge of registering guests and printing up the 1 paperwork and so forth. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Response from State. 3 MR. MCMASTER: Judge, the State filed a notice 4 of intent to rely on business records certification 5 pursuant to the statute, that's authorize by the statute to do it. They've not filed any objection or 7 motion to strike of any nature. The business records 8 certification is filed by proper records custodian 9 and it complies with the rule. 1.0 11 THE COURT: Okay. The objection by the Defense is overruled. 12 13 (Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) 14 THE COURT: Okay. AL will be received as 15 16 State's Exhibit Number 11. 17 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 11 was marked and received in evidence.) 18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the 19 20 witness? THE COURT: Yes, you may. 21 22 BY MR. BROWN: I'm going to show you what has been admitted as 23

Exhibit 11, do you recognize that document, or those

24

25

documents?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And what are those documents?
- 3 A That's where they signed in into the room.
- Q And does that indicate a particular room number and a particular guest name?
- 6 A Yes.

- 7 \ Q And what guest name and room number is there?
- 8 A It's room 268 and I don't know.
 - Q Would the name be Andria Kerchner?
- 10 A Yeah, Andria Kerchner.
- Q And does this indicate the original check in
- 12 date and original scheduled check out date?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 O What's the check in date?
- 15 A 3/4/12.
- Q Okay. And does the remaining paperwork
- 17 indicate that they stayed an extra day?
- 18 A I'm pretty sure it does. Yes.
- 19 Q Now, I'm going to refer you to Exhibit 10.
- 20 A Okay.
- 21 Q Does that indicate on there where room 268 is?
- 22 A Yes, right here.
- Q And as far as the front or back portion of the hotel, where would that be at?
- 25 A Of that building it would be the front of the

```
Page 309
1
    building.
               Okay. Is that building in the front or the
2
         0
    back of the hotel?
3_
                In the back.
4
                MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I have the witness
5
          step down?
6
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
7
                (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness
8
9
    stand.)
10
    BY MR. BROWN:
                I want you to look at Exhibit Number 9 and as
11
    you step over there if you can speak up at this point.
12
13
                Okay.
         Α
                Do you recognize the area that Exhibit 9
14
          Q
15
    depicts?
                Yes, it's the back part of the York Inn.
16
          Α
17
                Can you point out to the jury where room 268
    would be?
18
                Right about right there, it's off the board.
19
          Α
                Go ahead and have a seat.
20
          Q
21
                (Thereupon, the witness resumed the witness
22
     stand.)
     BY MR. BROWN:
23
                Mr. Jordan, were you working on the morning of
24
          0
```

March 6th, 2012?

A Yes.

Q And were you working at the location we've been discussing at the EconoLodge, York Inn?

3_

A Yes.

Q And sometime approaching 10:30 towards 11:00 o'clock, did anything unusual occur in the parking lot area?

A Well, they -- Andria approached me to get into her room and I said okay. So, I walked across and went up the steps and I looked down and I seen this picture sitting there and I'm like that's one of ours. So, I just grabbed it and walked upstairs with her and went to open the door and she jumped in front of me, you know, and I just okay and I walked on over to like the second room over and I put the picture in there. Well, that just flew a flag to me so we just sat there and watched, you know what I mean, I went back to what I was doing but we just watched and, you know, went from there.

Q And then what was the next thing that occurred?

A That the maids called and said --

MR. PIROLO: Objection, hearsay.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q Without going into what the maids told you, did they make contact with you?

A The maids did, yeah.

And as a result of your contact, did you go 1 0 2 back to the area near room 268? 3 Yes. And when you went back to that area, did you go 4 to the parking lot area up to the room or where did you 5 6 qo? I went back to the room to knock on the door 7 Α and tell them to, you know, they got to go, but then as I 8 was heading up there I seen all this stuff in their 9 10 vehicle. 11 0 Okay. And can you tell the jury, where was the 12 vehicle? Directly below the room. 13 Α Can you step over to the picture and please 14 15 point it out again? (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness 16 17 stand.) Right here against the corner of the steps. 18 Α (Thereupon, the witness resumed the witness 19 20 stand.) 21 BY MR. BROWN: And do you recall how it was parked in that 22 0 23 location? Backed in. 24 Α

And you indicated you saw things in the

25

Q

parked at the EconoLodge on March 6th, 2012?

Yes.

Α

24

	Page 313
1	Q And is this the vehicle you observed items
2	within?
3	A Yes.
4	MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time the State
5	would move to admit what's been marked as Exhibits DD
6	and DE for identification into evidence.
7	THE COURT: Okay. Response from the State
8	from the Defense.
9	MR. PIROLO: No objection, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Okay. DD will be State's Number 12
11	and DE will be State's Number 13.
12	(Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 12 and 13
13	were marked and received in evidence.)
14	BY MR. BROWN:
15	Q Mr. Jordan, can you tell the jury what you were
16	able to see to the best of your recollection inside of the
17	vehicle?
18	A I seen the pillow, there was the bedspreads. I
19	could see those. There was a drawer from one of the
20	armoires that was in the back of it, and I think the AC
21	cover was in the vehicle.
22	Q Now, did you see anything outside of the
23	vehicle?
24	A Yes.
25	Q What did you observe outside of the vehicle?

There was a nightstand, one of the other 1 Α pictures was there, and a pillow was there. 2 And at this point what did you do? 3 Well, by that time the defendant there was on 4 5 his -- already in the vehicle and I stepped --When you say the defendant was in the vehicle, 6 Q 7 can you please point out who you are referring to and describe an article of clothing that he's wearing? 8 He's got a gray shirt on over there and gray 9 suit, Mr. Bradley. 10 And was this person male or female? 11 Q 12 Α Male. 13 White or black? 0 Black. 14 Α MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let the record reflect 15 16 the witness has identified the defendant. 17 BY MR. BROWN: Where did you see the defendant at? 18 Q In the car behind the steering wheel. 19 Α In the driver's seat? 20 Q 21 Α Yes, sir. And at that point was anybody else in the 22 0 vehicle? 23 No, it was just him at the moment. 2.4 Α

Okay. Did you see a second person come to the

25

Q

- 1 | vehicle and get in?
 - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q And can you tell the jury what you saw in that regard?
 - A Well, it was every name under the book.
 - Q Well, tell the jury what you saw --
- 7 A Yeah.
- 8 Q -- as far as the second person?
 - A She come down around from -- down the steps and around the back and she was using, you know, and get in the vehicle and she was screaming and hollering and.
- 12 Q Where did she get into?
- 13 A The passengers side.
- 14 Q And can you give the jury a description of this 15 female, white or black?
- 16 A She was white.
 - Q And is this the same person, this female the same one that you had previously escorted up to room 268?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Now, once both were in the vehicle, at this point had any other hotel -- were any other hotel employees there?
 - A Yes.
- Q And can you tell the jury what other hotel employees were there?

- 1 A Vanessa and Tammy.
- 2 And do you recall where they were located at?
- 3 A Behind the vehicle.
- 4 Q And where did you go to?
 - A I went to the front.
 - Q What was the point of that?
 - A Well, you know, I tried hollering through the windshield towards him, you know, just take the stuff out of the car and get out of here and he just like never respond to me.
- 11 Q Was he looking at you?
- 12 A Oh, yeah.

6

7

8

9

10

21

- Q Were you yelling --
- 14 A I mean --
- 15 Q -- in a loud voice?
- A Oh, yes. Yes.
- Q And do you recall whether the passenger window was up or down?
- 19 A No, I couldn't tell you that, my eyes were 20 trained on him.
 - Q And how far away were you from him?
- 22 A I was about two and a half foot from the front 23 of the truck.
 - Q And tell the jury then what was happening.
- A Well, after, you know, it seemed like forever

but it wasn't, it -- I asked him three or four times, you know, get out of the truck, get the stuff out and leave and by that time Mr. Malik was standing beside me on the left side and I said come on buddy or I'm going to call 911, one or the other, and he just stared at me, never moved, never nothing and --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Q As far as standing in front of the truck, can you tell the jury were you in the middle, more towards one side or the other?

A I was about maybe eight to twelve inches from the center on the left -- or on the passengers side of the hood.

Q And where you were located at, was there any possible way the truck could have went -- moved forward without hitting you?

A Not without hitting me or the wall because he was like caddy whompus in there a little bit.

- O How close was the vehicle to the wall?
- A Maybe eight inches front end.
- Q And when we're talking the wall, was that the wall to the stairwell?

A Yeah, that's the stone pillar going up that holds the roof of the stairwell.

Q And so you indicated Mr. Malik had made it there and you were indicating about calling 911?

1 A Yes.

3

4

5

9

10

11

23

- 2 Q What happened?
 - I just hit 911 and handed the phone to Mr. Malik and I kept my eye on him because I didn't, you know, didn't what

Well, he wouldn't apply to anything I asked so

- 6 was going to happen.
- 7 Q And did there come a time when the car was 8 started?
 - A Yes, he started it up and then the next thing I know he started moving forward and I slammed my hands down on the hood and throwed myself sideways.
- 12 Q Which direction did you throw yourself to?
- 13 A To the left.
- 14 Q And did you leave your feet?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q Did you fall to the ground?
- 17 A No, I caught myself before I hit the ground.
- 18 Q So, basically was it a jumping action?
- 19 A It was more or less like a jump, you know, and 20 then move to the left at the same time.
- 21 Q And while you were doing this, what was the 22 car, the SUV doing?
 - A It was coming forward.
- 24 Q And why did you jump?
- A Because I was feared for my life, you know what

I mean. I didn't know what he was going to do, you know,

I was getting the heck out of the road.

- Q And did the vehicle make contact with you?
- A Yes.

Q Can you tell the jury where that contact was, what happened?

A In my right ribs, right side on the ribs, it just, you know, come across my... I got the heck out of there, you know what I mean.

- Q Was it a hard contact, a brushing contact?
- A Yeah, I would say more brush, it wasn't hard, you know what I mean, but it was enough to, you know, I didn't want to have to do it again.
- Q And did you ever seek medical treatment for that?
 - A No. No, it didn't even leave a mark so.
- Q Now, as you jump out of the way and the car brushes you, the truck brushes you, what then happens?

Mell, next the -- he got away, you know what I mean, he started out around and as he was making a turn to the -- it would be his left, he hit the corner of the pillar and that's when the taillight went out on the driver's side of the truck and then he got maybe twenty-five, thirty feet away and it stalled and then he fired it back up and then he was gone.

- Q And what direction did he leave?
- A Towards 192 and that's the last I seen him,
 heading towards the front.
- Q And looking at the map, the diagram, can you go back and?
- 6 (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness 7 stand.)
- 8 A He was parked here and shut off here and then 9 he just went straight down the road.
- 10 Q And at a certain point did you lose sight of 11 him?
- 12 A Oh, yeah, after you get up there so far you
 13 can't see, you know, if he wasn't north, south, east,
 14 west, you don't know.
- (Thereupon, the witness resumed the witness stand.)
- 17 BY MR. BROWN:

- 18 Q And did the police arrive at the location?
- 19 A Yes, just a few moments later.
- 20 And did you make contact with that officer --
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q -- and additional officers that arrived?
- 23 | A Yes.
- Q Mr. Jordan, while you were there with the police, did you go back into room 268?

- 1 When they got there, yes. Α And did you have a chance to look around and 2 Q observe the condition of the room? Yeah. They did most of the walking around in 4 Α the room but I was there with them. 5 Okay. And can you tell the jury what was the 6 condition of the room? 7 8 Α 9 0 10 Α 11 12
 - It was pretty tore up, you know.
 - Were items missing from the room?
 - Yes, like I said, the bed linen --
 - MR. PIROLO: Objection, nonresponsive.
 - THE COURT: Sustained.

13 BY MR. BROWN:

- Give the jury a description of the room as far 0 as what was there, the condition, items that may have been missing.
- The bed linen was missing, the sheets and pillows, the AC cover was gone, a couple of the drawers in the armoire was gone, the one door on the armoire was broke off laying there, one of the box springs was -looked like he took a knife to it and sliced it all up.
- MR. PIROLO: Your Honor, may I approach the 22 23 witness?
 - THE COURT: Yes, you may.

25

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 BY MR. BROWN:
- 2 | Q I'm going to show you what's marked as Exhibits
- $3 \mid K$, L, M, N and O.
- 4 A Um-hmm.
 - Q Do you recognize these exhibits?
- 6 A Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 7 Q And what do these depict?
 - A Everything that was supposed to be in the room and hanging on the walls and everything else.
 - Q And do these exhibits fairly and accurately depict the condition of this location as it existed on March 6th, 2012?
 - A Right.
 - MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time the State would move these exhibits marked for identification into evidence.
 - THE COURT: Okay. Response from the Defense?
 - MR. PIROLO: No objection, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: Okay. K will be State's Number 14,
 L will be State's Number 15, M will be State's Number
 16, N will be State's Number 17, O will be State's
 Number 18.
 - (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 14 through 18 were marked and received in evidence.)

- 1 | BY MR. BROWN:
- 2 | Q Mr. Jordan, first let me start with Exhibit
- Number 14.

11

13

- 4 A Okay.
- 5 Q First, what area of the hotel is depicted in 6 this picture?
- 7 A That is right at the bottom of the steps of 8 where the room is directly above them.
 - Q Okay. And in relationship to where the car, the SUV was backed into the parking spot?
 - A Right, right behind it.
- 12 Q So, this would have been at the tail north?
 - A Yes, that would be at the back.
- 14 Q And what is depicted in this exhibit?
- A You got a pillow, a nightstand, there's a drawer out of one of the armoires upstairs.
- Q And are these items that are in this exhibit, should they be -- are they hotel property?
 - A Yes.
- 20 Q And are they normally kept in the room?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And were these items missing from room 268 when you went up there?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q Next want to show you Exhibit Number 15, what

- 1 | is depicted there?
- 2 A That is the pictures that was on the wall.
- Q And again is this in the outside parking lot
- 4 area?

9

10

- 5 A Yes.
- Q Is this the same area as depicted in Exhibit 7 14?
 - A Yes. Yeah, they moved it from -- the officer moved it from back here, there was a garbage can that was sitting right beside it and he moved it over here to where he could take a picture of it.
- 12 Q I'm going to show you now Exhibit 16, what's in that?
- 14 A Yeah, the same pictures you got here.
- 15 Q And then Exhibit 17.
- 16 A Yes, that was the pillow, the picture and the armoire drawer.
- 18 Q And were all these items that were outside of the hotel room?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q All in the same location --
- 22 A Yeah, within --
- 23 Q -- in the parking lot?
- 24 A Yeah, right there in that area.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time I'd move

- 1 to publish these to the jury.
- THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 3 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 14 through
- 4 | 18 were published to the jury.)
- 5 BY MR. BROWN:

7

8

10

12

13

14

- Q Sir, I now want to show you what is marked as Exhibits U, P, Q, R, S and T, do you recognize these exhibits?
 - A Yes. That's the room door.
 - Q And what area do these exhibits depict?
- 11 A 268.
 - Q And do these exhibits fairly and accurately depict the room as it existed when you observed it March 6th, 2012?
- 15 A Yes.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time the State would move these exhibits marked for identification into evidence.
 - THE COURT: Response from the Defense?
- MR. PIROLO: No objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Okay. P will be received as

 22 State's Number 19, Q will be received as State's

 23 Number 20, R will be received as State's 21, S will

 24 be received as State's 22, T will be received as

 25 State's 23, U will be received as State's 24.

(Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 19 through

Were marked and received in evidence.)

BY MR. BROWN:

O Mr. Jordan, first looking at Exhibit 24, what

Q Mr. Jordan, first looking at Exhibit 24, what does that depict?

A That's the door to the room, 268.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Now want to show you Exhibit Number 19.

A That's the AC, the front's off of it.

Q And is there anything else unusual about the condition of the AC when you observed it?

A The thermostat housing was pulled out of the grates. That's about it that I can see.

Q Was the AC unit in the same location? Is that how it's mounted in the --

A Yes, that's the way it's mounted in there, it just slides in there.

Q Okay. And is it slid all the way in as far as you know?

A Yes. No, that's about right where it's supposed to be.

Q But you indicate that there are parts removed?

A Yeah, that -- they go right there in the front of the radiator.

Q Do those parts, do they have to be physically taken off?

A I guess if you jerked one of them hard enough they'd fall off, you know what I mean, the front.

O Next want to show you Exhibit Number 20.

A Okay.

O What area is depicted there?

A That's the armoire where the door and the drawer's missing out of it, the bottom drawer's missing, the TV was unhooked, the cable was gone.

What about Exhibit 21?

A That's one of the drawers, and you can see the door from the armoire again.

How about Exhibit 22?

A That's where they're showing the bed where all the linen and stuff, pillows and all that was gone. The lamp was all bent up.

O And Exhibit 23?

A Yeah, this is showing a view of the pictures, the AC, beds, armoire and my feet.

Q Okay. Now, you indicated one of the box springs --

A Yeah, this box spring right here, you can see this is the pieces of linen that goes over the springs and stuff, when the cops got there we just laid it back down because where it was laying like on the side so that they could take picture of everything. Yeah, this blue.

1 Is it the one that I'm pointing to with my Q 2 finger? Yes, the box spring's underneath, just looks 3 like they took a knife or something to it and just chopped 4 5 it all up. 6 Q And also I want to show you Exhibit Number 18. Right, that's the pictures that's in the corner 7 Α 8 there. And are those -- normally are they kept on the 9 wall? 10 Yeah, they're mounted on the walls, two above 11 one each bed and one on the bathroom wall. 12 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time I'd move 13 to publish these photographs to the jury. 14 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 15 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 19 through 16 17 24 were published to the jury.) BY MR. BROWN: 18 I want to show you a set of photographs, first 19 starting with what's marked as Exhibit DI, do you 20 recognize any of the items in that exhibit? 21 Yeah, there's two pillows. 22 Α 23 And the two pillows that are in that exhibit, where did they come from? 24

From the room.

25

Α

Q Also want to show you what's marked as Exhibit

2 DP.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Did you say DI?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: This shows you the comforters.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q And do you recognize as where those comforters come from?

A Yeah, they come from out of a room.

Q Were both the pillows and the comforters that you observed in these two photographs, were they missing from room 268 when you observed it?

A Yes.

Q Next want to show you what's marked as Exhibit DS, do you recognize what's depicted?

A Yeah, that's one of our card covers.

Q Is that given to each guest when they check in?

A Yes.

Q Next show you what is marked as Exhibit DW.

A Okay.

Q Do you recognize that?

 $\mathsf{A} \qquad \mathsf{Yes.}$

Q What items are depicted in there?

A That's the pillows, the comforters and the AC

MR. BROWN: Yes.

- 1 BY MR. BROWN:
- 2 | Q Was that item also missing from room 268?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q And I also want to show you Exhibit DJ.
 - A Yeah, the garbage can and ice bucket.
- 6 Q And were those items also missing from room
- 7 | 268?

17

- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Show you what's been marked as Exhibit V as in 10 Victor, do you recognize that exhibit?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 O And what is that?
- 13 A That is a list of everything that was missing 14 and what we found afterwards.
- 15 Q And did you prepare that list or assist in 16 preparing this?
 - A I wrote everything down and the owner which is Mr. Malik, he's the one that put the price tags to it.
- 19 Q And on that particular list one of the items 20 listed is the AC unit?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 O And why did you include that item?
- 23 A Because of the front being broke off of it and, 24 you know, to replace the front it's almost just as cheap,
- 25 you know.

Are you familiar with the cost for replacing 1 2 the AC unit? Yeah. And how are you familiar with that? 0 4 Because I just had to by fifteen of them. 5 Α And at the time back in March 6th of 2012, are 6 0 you familiar with the cost to replace it? 7 Α Yes. 8 And what was the cost at that point in time to 9 10 replace it? At that time --11 MR. PIROLO: Objection, relevance. 12 13 THE COURT: Overruled. THE WITNESS: At that time it was Four Hundred 14 and Fifty Dollars because of it has to have heat and 15 That's one of the mandatory things that they 16 17 have to have. BY MR. BROWN: 18 Mr. Jordan, while you, while you were with the 19 police on March 6th, 2012, did they at a certain point 20 show you any sets of what are called photo lineups? 21 22 Yes. А And when they showed those to you, were you 23 Q separated from the other witnesses? 24

Yes, there was nobody but me and two officers.

25

Α

```
And did the officers make any indication to you
1
         Q
    of any particular photo you ought to pick out?
2
         A No, they just -- they gave me a line up like
3
    six or eight different pictures and said pick him out if
4
5
    he's here.
                MR. PIROLO: Objection, hearsay.
6
7
                THE COURT: Okay. Sustained.
                MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the
8
9
         witness?
10
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
    BY MR. BROWN:
11
                I'm going to show you what first is marked as
12
     Exhibit AF, do you recognize that exhibit?
13
14
                Yes.
          Α
                And how do you recognize it?
15
          Q
16
          Α
                My signature.
17
                And can you tell the jury what does this
          Q
     depict, what is it?
18
                Let's see. It's a photo lineup witness, you
19
     know, and it's just where I agreed to everything.
20
                Were these instructions that the officer went
21
          0
22
     over with you?
                Yes, every one of them.
23
          Α
                Where each one is initialed, is that your
24
```

initials?

1 A
2
3 WC

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time State would move Exhibit AF for identification into evidence.

THE COURT: Response?

Yes.

MR. PIROLO: May we approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

MR. PIROLO: Judge, while we're at it, we can maybe take a look at the other exhibits as well.

We're going to object to all of these exhibits.

First of all, for AF, it is -- the proper authentication has not been laid yet. It's got a -- actually the logos for Brevard County Sheriff's Department all over that, it's hearsay.

THE COURT: It's got what?

MR. PIROLO: The logos from --

MR. MOORE: At the top.

MR. PIROLO: The top from the Brevard County
Sheriff's Office, it's complete hearsay, Judge
there's no -- again, proper predicate hasn't been
laid for what that is. That's got to come from the
Brevard County Sheriff's Office but it's hearsay and
it's irrelevant. I mean, he can testify that I spoke

to law enforcement officers and I made an identification. He already identified Mr. Bradley earlier so. It's cumulative as well.

As to AG, AG, Mr. Bradley's photograph, number five there, he is clearly wearing jail attire. I know it's come up during probation officer Colon's testimony that Mr. Bradley is in the system, but it's unfairly prejudicial. It shows Mr. Bradley's mug shot prior to March 6th, 2012, which clearly tells the jurors that they've got his picture on file. It's hearsay and, Judge, it's irrelevant, it's cumulative. He's already identified Mr. Bradley in the courtroom. I can see if somehow the door is open that we attack his ability to observe and identify Mr. Bradley at the time, that may then be relevant but it's not relevant now and it goes to bolster the witness's testimony. He's identified him here and trying to bolster that hey, he did it back then to.

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the State.

MR. BROWN: Judge, first as far as the relevance, it clearly is relevant. It's an identification made shortly after the incident, it was made the day of the incident by this witness which I don't think there's any authority to limit us just to an in court ID if the witnesses are able to

make it. So, it certainly is more than relevant.

It's not hearsay. By definition it's not hearsay

because it's an identification, recent identification

made.

1.3

2.4

As far as the first document, Judge, he's identified the document, he signed it, he's the one that initialed it and for him going through the instructions to him on how to make that identification. So, he's not only on initialed, he signed it at the bottom, that's the document that they showed to him that he read. So, he's the person to authenticate it.

The fact that it's got the sheriff's logo on it has no bearing. We're not using it to show the truth of the matter asserted that it's a Brevard County Sheriff's Office form but it's the form that he signed. It's similar to a Miranda form. So, it certainly is relevant.

MR. MOORE: That begs the question what is it, you know, who says that's a picture of Mr. Bradley. I mean, there are steps that can be taken to establish that that is a photo lineup including the picture of Mr. Bradley and whatever the Court rules, if the Court allows it in evidence, anything related to the sheriff's department needs to come out of it

because then that implies a mug shot which is strictly forbidden.

5 it

MR. BROWN: Judge, the claim that it's a mug shot picture, the Court gets a chance to observe it, it's in black and white for a reason, it clearly is not identified --

THE COURT: I can't tell it's a mug shot.

MR. PIROLO: Your Honor, with all due respect, you can tell -- those are all mug shots.

THE COURT: I mean, maybe they are, maybe they're not.

MR. MOORE: It's the language at the top.

THE COURT: I mean, I'm not sure the general public would know that. What did you say Mr. Moore?

MR. MOORE: Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt the Court, but it's the language at the top of the form in the Court's right hand with that sheriff's emblem on it and that's the paperwork that goes with the instruction that goes along with the photographs, the lineup. So, the top of it can be blocked out without prejudicing the State. I mean, what they want is — and we're not conceding that should even be in evidence at this point until they establish what that is, you know, the lineup and that

Mr. Bradley's in it. It's irrelevant. So what if he

picks a picture out, that doesn't mean anything. It doesn't mean it's Mr. Bradley. I mean, they can do that if they choose but they haven't taken steps to do that.

MR. BROWN: There's no prejudice at all. It's a sheriff's form, he's already testified that the deputy showed this to him. So, the idea that a sheriff form is not going to have the sheriff logo on it is kind of crazy, there's zero prejudice to that. It's relevant because it's the form and it should not be — just blocking things out with no basis (unintelligible). It also (unintelligible), a form's a form, there's zero prejudice the fact that it's a sheriff logo on there. I'm mean, we've already talked about the sheriff meeting with him, taking the photos, going through the other information with him.

THE COURT: Okay. As far as AF, I don't see that that's hearsay. I don't think that -- I mean, the logos are not prejudicial. And the objection as to AG and AH are overruled.

I can't -- my screen is down so you all have to get me off bench conference. Go to jury trial.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you. My screen

```
is fixed now. They just fixed it.
1
                            Judge, we'd move these to come in
                MR. BROWN:
2
          as the next numbered State exhibits.
3
                THE COURT: You have to say what they are again
4
5
          just for the record.
                MR. BROWN: AF, AG and AH.
6
                THE COURT: Okay. AF will be State's Number
7
          25, AG will be State's Number 26, AH will be State's
8
         Number 27.
9
                (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 25, 26 and
10
11
     27 were marked and received in evidence.)
12
     BY MR. BROWN:
                Mr. Jordan, Exhibit Number 25, did the deputy
13
     go over those instructions with you?
14
                Yes.
15
16
                Did you understand them before you made any
     type of identification?
17
18
          Α
                Yes.
                I want to show you now Exhibit 26, it's a two
19
     page, do you recognize first the photographs?
20
21
          Α
                Yes.
                And there's circle with a date and initial?
22
          0
23
                Yes.
          Α
                Is that -- did you do that?
24
          0
```

I did that.

25

Α

- Q And who is the person that you identified?
 - A Mr. Bradley.

MR. MOORE: Objection. Can we approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may. I can't go to bench conference, the screen's down. So, go to bench conference, please.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOORE: How does he know that that's
Brandon Bradley. That's his opinion from looking at
that picture. What he can say is I picked a photo
out as the guy who I have been talking about but he
can't say that is a picture of Brandon Bradley
because he doesn't know that. That picture hasn't
been introduced, it hasn't authenticated which was
the basis for our objection for it coming in in the
first place.

MR. BROWN: He's already identified the defendant as Brandon Bradley.

THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection as to form of the question. You asked him is that Brandon Bradley, you can ask him is that the same person you identified here in court. You can't ask him if that's Brandon Bradley because he wouldn't

know that. So, I'll sustain the objection. Okay. Hold on.

3 (Thereupon, the benchside conference was

4 | concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: All right. Now it's not working.

I pushed the button and it's not working. Go to jury trial. Thank you.

BY MR. BROWN:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

20

Q Mr. Jordan, looking at Exhibit 26, the person you selected in Exhibit 5, who is that person as far as what you saw him do?

12 A That -- this picture here is Mr. Bradley over 13 there.

- Q Is that the person you observed --
- 15 A Behind --
- 16 Q -- behind the wheel?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Is that the person you earlier identified as 19 the defendant?
 - A Yes.
- 21 Q And the second page, do you recognize that?
- 22 A Yeah.
- O What is that?
- 24 A That's my signature where I said I, you know, 25 that's who I seen.

- Q Is that also the exhibit that you picked out photograph number five?
 - A Right. Right.
 - Q Now, the police also show you what's marked as Exhibit 27?
 - $\mathsf{A} \qquad \mathsf{Yes.}$

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q And when the deputy showed this to you, did you make an identification?
 - A Yes, I did, but I told him then that I wasn't absolutely positively sure at that moment because I had my eyes trained on him, not her.
- 12 Q And is Exhibit 27 a series of photographs --
- 13 A Right.
- 14 Q -- of females?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And is the back page also your identification?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q And where you circled and marked, is that your 19 handwriting where --
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q -- it says eighty percent?
- 22 A Yes.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time the State would move publish these to the jury.
- THE COURT: Yes, you May.

```
Page 343
                (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 25, 26 and
1
2
    27 were published to the jury.)
                MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further
3
          questions of this witness at this time.
4
                THE COURT: Okay. Cross examination by the
5
6
          Defense.
7
                MR. PIROLO:
                             Thank you, Your Honor.
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
8
    BY MR. PIROLO:
9
10
                God morning, Mr. Jordan, how are you?
          Q
11
          Α
                Good. Yourself?
12
                Good.
          0
13
                Mr. Jordan, it's fair to say that you never saw
    Mr. Bradley carrying any of the items from the motel room
14
     to the SUV, correct?
15
16
                No, sir, I never did.
17
                Nonetheless, when you a nightstand in the
          Q
     parking lot, it's very unusual?
18
                Yes, sir.
19
          Α
                In fact --
20
          Q
                Nightstand, photos, you know, it's not supposed
21
          Α
22
     to be there.
                Right. And it's very unusual?
23
          0
                Yes.
24
          Α
                Okay. You indicated earlier that you were --
25
          Q
```

your eyes were fixated on Mr. Bradley when he was behind the wheel, correct?

A Right.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

- Q And you were pretty close to him in fact?
- 5 A Closer than me and you.
 - Q Right. I think you said earlier that you were about what, eight inches, maybe a foot away?
 - A Eight to a foot away from the front of the truck.
 - Q Okay. Now, in your lifetime I'm sure you have seen people that you could tell just the way they acted or looked that they were under the influence of something, right?
- 14 A Yeah, as much as I deal with the public, yes, I
 15 would say yeah.
 - Q You're constantly around people?
- A All day long. All day long.
 - Q And you've seen people who -- you could tell their faculties have been altered because of whether it's alcohol or other substances, right?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And Mr. Bradley appeared to you to be high, right?
- A He was something, let's just put it that way.

25 I don't know what it was but he was something.

- 1 Q In fact, he was giving you a blank stare?
 - A Totally like as if I wasn't even there.
 - Q And you were looking at him and it was like he wasn't there? He was there but he wasn't there?
 - A Yeah. Well, nobody else was there but me and him and I'm watching.
 - Q Right. What I'm saying is when the two of you, when you're looking at him, it's like he wasn't there?
 - A Yeah.
 - Q And you indicated earlier you had I guess talked or tried to tell him three or four times to get the things out --
- 13 A Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

21

22

23

24

- Q -- and he never responded to you?
- 15 A Never responded, he just stared me right in the 16 face.
 - Q Just sat there behind the wheel, right?
- 18 A Yeah.
- Q Was it like he was sleeping with his eyes open, could you say that?
 - A Well, I guess you could say that that's about what it looked like but he just walked down the steps and sat down in the truck, so.
 - Q Right. I mean, I wasn't trying to get out that he was actually sleeping behind the wheel, but he looked

- 1 | like someone sleeping just they had their eyes open?
 - A Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q And I want to get to remember you said earlier that the car, the SUV brushed you?
 - A Yes.
- Q Would it be fair to say that the time the car was moving, probably at most it's going three miles an hour at most when it brushes you?
 - A At most, yeah.
 - Q And you would -- you have children, correct?
- 11 A Oh, yes.
- 12 Q You have young daughters?
- A Um-hmm.
 - Q And would it be a fair statement that your young daughters back two years ago, 2012, they kicked you harder than that car --
- 17 A Oh, yeah.
- 18 Q -- brushed you?
 - A Well, yeah. I mean, like I said, that's why I didn't go get anything done with it because, you know what I mean, I've been hit harder than that, you know, but it's just the idea he did it, you know.
 - Q And you indicated earlier I guess the car drove against the pillar?
 - A Right, he had to either run me over to his

MR. PIROLO: Judge, I don't have anything else,
thank you. Thank you, Mr. Jordan.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

	Tage 54
1	THE COURT: Okay. Redirect by the State.
2	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
3	BY MR. BROWN:
4	Q Mr. Jordan, did you see the defendant come down
5	the stairs and walk to the truck?
6	A Yeah, I seen him coming down and he was when
7	I got eyes on him eye to eye, he was at the back heading
8	to the door of the vehicle.
9	Q Did he appear to have any difficulty walking?
10	A No, sir.
11	Q And did he know the way to get out of the
12	parking lot?
13	A Yeah, it didn't take him long once he started
14	it up the second time.
15	Q Knew which was the way to 192?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q And he knew enough to get out of there because
18	you had called 911, right?
19	A Oh, yeah, you know what I mean, that was my
20	statement, I called 911 and handed it to Mr. Malik and he
21	talked to the dispatch.
22	MR. BROWN: Thank you. No further questions.
23	THE COURT: Okay. Recross by the Defense.

ı	
1	RECROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. PIROLO:
3	Q Mr. Jordan, from where the car how the car
4	was positioned you said it was backed in.
5	A Yes.
6	Q The car was already pointed at where it needed
7	to go to exit, correct?
8	A Right.
9	MR. PIROLO: All right. Nothing else, Your
10	Honor.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Sir, thank you for your
12	testimony, you're free to step down.
13	THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.
14	(Thereupon the witness exited the witness
15	stand.)
16	THE COURT: Okay. At this time we are going to
17	take a fifteen minute break. During this break
18	I'll ask you to be back at 10:30 and during this
19	break you must the jurors must continue to abide
20	by the rules governing their service as a juror.
21	Okay. Court will be in recess for fifteen minutes.

(Thereupon the, jury was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. You may be seated and we'll

be in recess for fifteen minutes as well. Thank you. 1 2 (Thereupon, a short recess was taken in the 3 proceedings.) THE COURT: Okay. We can bring out 4 5 Mr. Bradley. (Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the 6 7 courtroom by the court deputy.) THE COURT: Okay. Our jurors ready? 8 THE COURT DEPUTY: They're ready. 9 THE COURT: Okay. That's good. 10 11 (Thereupon, the jury was escorted into the 12 courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had 1.3 as follows:) THE COURT: Please be seated. Okay. Other 14 witnesses on behalf of the State. 15 MR. BROWN: State would call Vanessa McNerney. 16 THE COURT: Ma'am, if you'll step up before the 17 clerk to be sworn. 18 19 THEREUPON, 20 VANESSA MCNERNEY, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 21 upon her oath as follows: 22 THE COURT: Ma'am, please be seated in the 23 2.4 witness chair. Once seated if you'll scoot your chair forward. Do adjust that microphone, do talk 25

The EconoLodge and the York Inn.

24

25

names?

Α

```
1
                And I want to bring your attention to March
          Q
     6th, 2012, in the timeframe between 10:00 and 11:00
2
     o'clock, did anything occur that was unusual that day?
 3
                What do you mean? I don't understand.
 4
                Did anything on March, 6th, 2012, happen that
5
          Q
6
    was out of the ordinary?
7
          Α
                No, sir.
                On that day were you back taking care of the
8
          0
9
     rooms?
10
          Α
                Yes, sir.
11
                Were you in the back section?
          Q
                Yes, sir.
12
          Α
                The room 268, was that part of your duties?
13
          0
14
                Yes.
          Α
                And do you recall, did you go to that room to
15
          0
16
     check to clean it?
17
          Α
                Yes.
                And what time is check out?
18
          0
                It's 11:00 o'clock.
19
          Α
                And when you went to the room in relationship
20
          Q
     to 11:00 o'clock, do you remember approximately what time
21
22
     it was?
                About 10:35, maybe 10:40.
23
          Α
                And when you went to room 268, what happened?
```

Mr. Bradley opened the door, I asked if they

24

25

0

Α

- 1 were staying over or checking out and he said to come back 2 in thirty minutes, that they were checking out that day. 3 And at that point did you see anything that struck you as unusual? 4 5 The nightstand was sitting by the door. Q Where would the nightstand normally be? Up against the wall in between the two beds. 7 Α And at that point did you walk away from the 8 0 9 room? 10 Yes, sir. Α 11 And did there come a time where you ended up 0 12 going back to that area of the hotel? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 And was that approaching 11:00 o'clock? 15 Α Yes. 16 And when you went back there, did you see 17 anything unusual in the parking lot? Mr. Bradley was standing behind his truck with 18 Α 19 the nightstand behind the truck. 20 And I'm going to show you what's Exhibit 9. Q 21 MR. BROWN: If I may stand next to the witness. 2.2 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 23 BY MR. BROWN: 24 And can you point out the area that you saw
- Mr. Bradley standing next to a truck? 25

- 1 A (Witness indicates).
- 2 Q What is this area right here?
- 3 A That's a staircase that goes up to the second
- 4 floor.
- 5 Q And the truck you indicated, was it parked in
- 6 this area?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q And was it backed in?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q Where did you see the nightstand at?
- 11 A Right behind the truck.
- 12 Q Was this the same nightstand that you saw out
- of place in the room half hour earlier?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- 15 Q Now, the individual that you saw standing next
- 16 to the nightstand, can you give the jury a description of
- 17 | what he looked like?
- 18 A A younger black male.
- 19 Q Do you see him here in the courtroom today?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Could you please point him out and describe an 22 article of clothing that he's wearing?
- A He's over there in the gray suit coat.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let the record reflect
- 25 the witness has identified the defendant.

BY MR. BROWN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q When you saw him there, what did you see next?
 What happened next?
 - A My friend -- my coworker, Tammy Brown, walked up to him and asked him what he was doing and I was still walking up there so I didn't really hear the conversation and then he got in the truck.
 - Q When you say he got in the truck, where did he get into the truck at?
 - A To the driver's side.
- 11 O Behind the wheel?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Did you ever see a second person come down to 14 the truck?
- 15 A Eventually, yes.
- 16 Q And where did the second person get into the 17 truck at?
- 18 A The passengers side.
- 19 Q And do you recall -- what was the description 20 of the second person?
- 21 A Younger white female.
- Q Now, at this time could you see -- did you walk up to the truck?
- 24 A I was standing behind the truck.
- Q Did you see anything inside the truck?

Where did you see Andrew go? 1 Q 2 He was standing in front of his truck. Α 3 And do you know what, if anything, was he 4 doing? 5 Α Telling him that we need our property back. MR. PIROLO: Objection, hearsay. 6 Okay. Sustained. 7 THE COURT: MR. BROWN: Judge, may I approach on it? 8 9 THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out 10 11 of the hearing of the jury as follows:) Judge, first, our position is it's 12 MR. BROWN: 13 not hearsay because we're not using it to prove the truth of the matter asserted. Second, if it is it 14 15 certainly is a spontaneous statement or excited 16 utterance. It's certainly spontaneous because it 17 occurred during the commission of a felony. 18 Certainly I don't think that's been preplanned, no forethought so. The simple fact that it's said, 19 20 we're not using it to prove the truth of the matter 21 and either if the Court views it as hearsay then it 22 clearly is a spontaneous statement. If it's not the truth of the matter 23 THE COURT: 24 asserted, what are you offering it to prove?

MR. BROWN:

The simple fact that it's said to

the defendant and then what's his reaction, what does he do.

3

MR. MOORE: I missed what her -- I'm sorry, I missed what her statement was.

4 5

MR. BROWN: Pardon me?

6

7

MR. MOORE: What was the -- what was the question asked? I missed it.

8

9

MR. BROWN: The question was what was
Mr. Jordan doing and she said he was standing in
front of the truck telling him we want our stuff
back. If it is hearsay it certainly qualifies as a
hearsay exception under either excited utterance or
spontaneous statement.

11

12

13

14

10

MR. MOORE: Only if the predicate's laid and they haven't done that. I mean, they would have to

15

have a description about it when this was occurring,

16 17

who -- whether -- and the demeanor of the declarant,

18

I mean, if they're going to try to get it in under

19

that exception. You know, they're just, just

20

skipping these steps, Your Honor. I mean, they got

21

to lay a predicate, they just can't say this happened

22

during the commission of a crime so it's an excited

23

MR. BROWN: It certainly does work that way,

25

24

she said that's what he said. This happened

utterance, doesn't work that way.

3_

spontaneously, he's in front of the truck telling him that. I think clearly it's a spontaneous statement.

THE COURT: A spontaneous statement normally is oh, my god, he's going to hit me, that's what spontaneous is.

MR. BROWN: No, that would be excited utterance.

MR. MOORE: It's like the cars are going to crash, you know, I'm watching it, the cars are going to crash but to just stand there and say I want my stuff back, that's not an excited utterance.

MR. BROWN: You use that level of something that happened, an excited utterance you can actually say that some period of time after the fact.

Spontaneous statement is a statement that simply occurs during the actions. That's the whole thing being spontaneous.

MR. MOORE: It's being offered for the truth value regardless of what Mr. Brown says. They want their stuff back, that proves theft.

THE COURT: It is a hearsay statement, the predicate hasn't been laid. So, I'll sustain the objection.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

- 1 BY MR. BROWN: 2 Miss McNerney, without going into what Q Mr. Jordan said, where was he located at? 3 In front of the truck. Α 4 5 And in his position could the truck leave 6 without him getting out of the way? What do you mean? 7 Α Was he in a position to block the truck from 0 8 leaving? 9 Yeah, he was right in front of it. 10 Α And at that time was the male in the driver's 11 0 12 seat? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 And what period of time elapsed before the 15 female came down? At least ten minutes. 16 17 Q And where -- during the time that Mr. Jordan is in front of the vehicle, where are you standing? 18 19 Behind. Α And are you behind closer to the stairwell, 20 behind to the other side of the truck? 21 Closer to the stairwell. 2.2 Α
 - - And did there come a time when the truck 0 started to move forward?
- 25 Yes, sir. Α

- Q When that happened, what, if anything, did you
- 2 see Mr. Jordan do?

8

9

- A I think -- I'm not sure, I think he moved out of the way.
- Q Were you in a position where you were at to be able to see him?
- 7 A Not a hundred percent, no.
 - Q And from your vantage point throughout the process, were you able to get a good look at both the black male and the white female?
- 11 A Yes, sir.
- 12 Q And was 911 called?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q Did that occur while this was happening?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And did the police arrive?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q And when the police arrived, did they
 19 eventually talk to you and show you a set of photographs?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Now, Miss McNerney, when the female came down 22 and went to get into the truck, what if anything happened 23 at that point?
- 24 A The maintenance man was saying that we needed 25 our --

MR. PIROLO: Objection, hearsay.

2

MR. BROWN: It's the same argument.

3

THE COURT: Let's have a bench conference.

4

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the jury as follows:)

5

MR. BROWN: Judge, my position is there's way

6 7

that this does not meet the definition of spontaneous

8

statement. It's things being said as it is

9

occurring. That's the whole essence of the

10

spontaneous statement.

11

MR. MOORE: Spontaneous statement's describing

12

the event, not just some random event, you know, that

13

expresses his state of mind about what he wants. I mean, you know, that's the disconnect. If somebody

1415

says I see cars are about to crash, they're

16

describing the event, that's what makes it a

17

spontaneous utterance. If he says wow, you know,

18

Mr. Bradley's sitting in the car, we want our stuff

19

back, that's not a spontaneous statement. I mean, it

20

has nothing to do with the event, it's a statement of

21

his intent of wanting his stuff back which is an

22

element the State has to prove to prove a theft or

23

24

robbery.

THE COURT: Spontaneous statement does not have

25

to describe the event, it has to be made at the time

or immediately following the event.

MR. MOORE: A startling event.

THE COURT: Pardon me?

MR. MOORE: A startling event.

THE COURT: It doesn't -- I have case law

from -- that's Ruff v. State from -- it's a Fourth

DCA 2013. I mean, for the purposes of the exception,

a spontaneous statement must be made at the time of

or immediately following the declarant's observation

of the event, or condition described and the

statement must be made without the declarant first

engaging in reflective thought. So, I'm going to

overrule the objection.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)
BY MR. BROWN:

Q Miss McNerney, what was Mr. Jordan saying at the front of the vehicle?

A He was telling them we needed our property back for the hotel.

Q And during this time did the white female come down and get into the vehicle?

A Yes, sir.

Q And once she made it into the vehicle, what if anything did she do or say?

1 She threw out sheets, a pillow and I think a Α picture from the wall. 2 3 Q And were you in a position still to see into the vehicle? 4 5 Α Yes. And did she remove all of the items from the 6 Q 7 hotel items that were in the vehicle or just some? 8 Α Just some. 9 Q And at a certain point you indicated the 10 vehicle began to leave? 11 Yes, sir. Α Can you tell the jury when the vehicle went to 12 13 leave what happened? 14 He hit the side of the building as he was Α 15 leaving. 16 You say side of the building, is that the 17 stairwell? Yes, sir. 18 Α And then where did the vehicle go after leaving 19 20 the stairwell? 21 Α Out of the parking lot towards 192. 22 Now, the hotel itself, is it on 192? 0 23 Yes, sir. Α

And where's the exit to leave the hotel?

All the way in the front. Α

24

25

```
Page 365
1
                And again looking at Exhibit Number 9, which
          Q
     direction did the vehicle take off in?
2
 3
                This way.
                And when you go up this way, does that lead
4
5
     directly to the exit?
6
          Α
                Yes, sir.
7
                Do you have to make any turns in the parking
     lot in order to get to the exit?
8
9
         Α
                No.
10
          Q
                How far were you able to see the vehicle?
11
          Α
                Probably right in this area right here.
12
                And that's when you lost sight?
          Q
13
          Α
                Yes, sir.
14
                Did you ever see the vehicle again after it
          0
15
     left your line of view?
16
          Α
                No, sir.
17
                MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the
18
          witness?
19
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
20
     BY MR. BROWN:
21
                I want to show you what's marked as Exhibits Z,
          Q
22
     AA and AB, do you recognize those exhibits?
```

On Exhibit Z, is that your signature?

23

24

25

Α

Α

Yes, sir.

Yes.

- 1 Q Are those your initials?
- 2 A Yes, sir.
 - Q And also on Exhibits AA and AB, is that your
- 4 | handwriting?

- 5 A Yes.
- Q And on the back page is that also your
- 7 | signature?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Are those also your signatures?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Now, did you meet with the representatives from
- 12 | the sheriff's office there at the hotel on March 6th,
- 13 2012?
- 14 A Yes, sir.
- Q And did there come a time where they showed you these exhibits there?
- 17 | A Yes.
- 18 Q And when they showed those to you, did they
 19 separate you away from everybody else?
- 20 A Yes, one by one.
- 21 Q And what did they tell you, do you recall, as 22 far as directions concerning looking at those photographs?
- 23 A I'm not sure, I don't recall.
- Q Did they go over those instructions with you on
- 25 the first exhibit?

- A Yes, I'm sure.
 - Q Did you read those and initial?
- 3 A Yes.

- Q And did you understand those?
- 5 A Yes.
 - Q And did you follow those instructions when you looked at those photographic exhibits?
 - A Yes.
 - MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time the State would move what's marked as Exhibits Z, AA and AB for identification into evidence.
 - THE COURT: Response from the Defense.
 - MR. PIROLO: May we approach, Your Honor?
 - THE COURT: Yes, you may exhibit.
 - (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out of the hearing of the jury as follows:)
 - MR. PIROLO: Judge, as to Exhibit Z, we object, hearsay, it's completely irrelevant. And the next exhibits, AA and AB, the two lineups, same objection as earlier. First, improper predicate and authentication of those exhibits. The lineups has not been established yet and it also goes to bolstering the witness's credibility. She's already identified Mr. Bradley in the courtroom, now this just goes to bolster that hey, you know, she's

keeping with her story. I'm not going to attack her 1 2 on her ability to observe Mr. Bradley that she saw 3 somebody else, that's clearly not the angle that 4 we're taking. She's already identified him in the 5 courtroom and that should be sufficient. THE COURT: Okay. This is the same --6 7 MR. PIROLO: Yes. THE COURT: -- argument as before. So, based 8 9 on the Court's prior ruling, the objection's 10 overruled. (Thereupon, the benchside conference was 11 concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) 12 13 THE COURT: Okay. With regard to Z, Z will be State's Exhibit Number 28, AA will be State's Exhibit 14 15 29, AB will be State's Exhibit 30. 16 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 28, 29 and 17 30 were marked and received in evidence.) 18 BY MR. BROWN: Miss McNerney, Exhibit 29, which photograph did 19 20 you pick out? 21 Α This one. And the photograph you picked out, is that the 22 individual that you saw at the back of the truck where the 23 nightstand was as well as the driver of the vehicle? 24

25

Α

Yes, sir.

1 And is that also the same individual that 0 2 answered the door when you went up to room 268 3 approximately one half hour earlier? Yes, sir. 4 Α 5 And Exhibit 30, the white female you picked 6 out, is that the white female that you observed getting 7 into the passengers side? 8 Α Yes. And that handed back some of the items? 9 0 10 Α Yes. 11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time I would 12 ask to publish these to the jury. 13 THE COURT: Yes, you may. (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 28,m 29 and 14 15 30 were published to the jury.) Your Honor, I have no further 16 MR. BROWN: 17 questions of this witness at this time. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Cross examination by the 19 Defense. 2.0 MR. PIROLO: Yes, Your Honor, thank you. 21 CROSS EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. PIROLO: 23 Good morning, Miss McNerney. 0 24 Good morning. Α 25 Miss McNerney, you never saw Mr. Bradley

- 1 placing anything inside the SUV from the motel room,
- 2 | correct?
- 3 A No, sir.
- Q And I know you said the nightstand was outside but you never saw him place it there or move it around,
- 6 correct?
- 7 A Correct.
- 8 Q Earlier you had said you went to room 268 and 9 knocked on the door?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q And Mr. Bradley answered the door?
- 12 A Yes, sir.
- 13 Q Now, I take it you were very close to him,
 14 right, distance wise?
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- 16 Q A lot closer than you and I are, right?
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q So, you were able to -- as you were talking to 19 him you were able to look him in the eyes, correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q His eyes appeared glassy at the time?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Very glassy?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And I take it from life experiences and the

place that you work you've encountered a lot of people?

- A Yes, sir.
- Q Fair statement?

And a lot of people that you've encountered you've been able to be around people that are under the influence of whatever it is, alcohol and/or other drugs, right?

A Yes.

Q All right. And it's pretty clear to you that Mr. Bradley was high, was he not?

11 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

12 Q And would you say he and the female were acting weird?

14 A Yes.

Q At some point Mr. Bradley gets inside the SUV and gets behind the wheel, he sits in the driver's seat right?

A Yes, sir.

Q And I think earlier you said it took about ten minutes for the white female to meet up with him in the car?

22 A Yes.

Q And during those ten minutes he continued to sit in that driver's seat, correct?

A Yes, sir.

That's how the white SUV left, right?

24

25

Q

Α

Right.

correct?

Α

No.

24

25

Α

Yes, sir.

Thank you.

1 MR. BROWN: Nothing further, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay recross by the Defense. 2 3 MR. PIROLO: Nothing else. 4 THE COURT: Ma'am, thank you for your 5 testimony, you're free to step down. THE WITNESS: Thank you. 6 7 (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness stand.) 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Other witnesses by the 10 State. MR. BROWN: State would call Tammy Brown. 11 12 THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, if you'll come 13 forward, step up before the clerk to be sworn. 14 THEREUPON, 15 TAMMY BROWN, 16 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 17 upon her oath as follows: THE COURT: Ma'am, please be seated in the 18 witness chair. Once seated if you'll scoot that 19 20 chair forward. Do adjust that microphone, do talk 21 into that microphone, it helps us hear your 22 testimony, it also aids in recording your testimony. 23 Okay. Mr. Brown. 24 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. BROWN:

- 3 Q Ma'am, could you please state your name and
- 4 | spell your last name?
- 5 A Tammy Brown, B-R-O-W-N.
- 6 Q And Miss Brown, how are you employed?
- 7 A I'm not employed right now.
- 8 Q Okay. Back on March 6th, 2012, how were you
- 9 employed?
- 10 A At EconoLodge.
- 11 Q And what were your duties there?
- 12 A Housekeeping.
- 13 Q And did you work there with a Vanessa McNerney?
- 14 A Yes.
- 15 Q Andrew Jordan?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And do you know a person by the name of
- 18 Mr. Malik?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q Okay. Who is he?
- 21 A The owner.
- 22 Q Now, on that date, did you make it to the back
- 23 | area of the hotel near room 268?
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q And when you were there in the parking lot

area, did you see anything unusual somewhere timeframe
1 10:30 to 11:00 o'clock?

A Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Q And can you tell the jury what you saw?

A I was walking around -- I was in the back and walked around to the front of the back building and I was with Vanessa and the gentleman was getting into the truck and the nightstand was behind the truck and I went up and approached the gentleman and asked him --

- Q Let me stop you for a little bit. Where was the truck parked at?
 - A In front of -- basically in front of 268.
 - Q Was it right next to the stairwell?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q And when we say truck, was it a white SUV?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And do you recall how it was parked in that 18 spot?
- 19 A It was backed in.
- 20 And what did you see behind the truck?
- 21 A The little nightstand.
- 22 Q And did you recognize the nightstand?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 O And how did you recognize it or what was it?
- 25 A It was a two drawer brown nightstand.

1 And is it normally kept -- are those nightstands the ones that are in the rooms of the motel? 2 3 Yes. And at that point or shortly after that, did 4 you have an opportunity to look into the vehicle SUV? 5 6 I just looked through the windows. And were you able to see or recognize anything 7 Q inside of the SUV? 8 9 Yes. Α And what were you able to see? 10 11 I recognized the comforter that was on the bed А and it looked like there was stuff underneath the 12 13 comforter. Was that covering other items? 14 15 Yes. 16 Now, at that point did you -- you indicated you 17 saw a black male? 18 Yes. Α And where did you first see him at? 19 0 He was getting into the vehicle. 20 Α Okay. Was he already at the door, was he in 21 Q 22 the back walking up, what did you see? 23 Walking up to the driver's side door. Α And when he got up to the driver's side door, 24

what did he do?

downstairs?

He was on the left front side of the vehicle.

25

Α

Α

The girl.

And do you recall what she gave back? 1 Q I'm not real sure on that. 2 Α Was it all the property that you could see in 3 the vehicle or was there just some of it? 4 5 Α Just some of it. And did there come a time when the vehicle 6 0 7 left? Α Yes. 8 And did you see what, if anything, Mr. Jordan 9 Q 10 did as the vehicle start to go forward? No, I was in the back of the vehicle, I 11 A 12 couldn't see in the front. 13 And afterwards did you have an opportunity to make it back up to room 268? 14 15 Α No. 16 And during the time there, did you have an 17 opportunity to have a good look at the black male? 18 Α No, I didn't. MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I have a moment? 19 20 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 21 (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the 22 proceedings.) MR. BROWN: No further questions, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Cross examination by the 24

25

Defense.

1 CROSS EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. LANNING: Good morning. Your contact with the white female, she was messed up, correct? 4 She looked like she was. 5 Okay. And you never actually even saw the face 6 7 of the black male, right? 8 Not really. When you were speaking to him he was turned 9 Q away from you walking away, right? 10 11 А Yes. And when you questioned him about this 12 13 nightstand he said it's mine, right? Yes. 14 Α 15 You never saw him or her carrying any items down from the room, right? 16 17 Α No. And when the vehicle pulled away, it pulled 18 away really slowly, right? 19 20 Yes. А 21 Did you see it hit the pillar? Q It hit the side of the building, yes. 22 Α 23 Q I'm sorry? 24 Α It hit the side of the building, the stairway. 25 MR. LANNING: May I have a moment?

THE COURT: Yes, you may. 2 (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the 3 proceedings.) MR. LANNING: Nothing further. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Redirect by the State. 5 6 MR. BROWN: Yes. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. BROWN: 9 When you spoke to the black male and he indicated it's mine, was that direct response to your 10 11 question. 12 Yeah. Yes. Α Did you have any trouble understanding him? 13 0 No. 14 Α 15 Thank you. Q MR. BROWN: Nothing further. 16 17 RECROSS EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. LANNING: Would you agree that it was a rather odd 19 response to your question considering the nightstand in a 20 hotel parking lot in the middle of the (unintelligible)? 21 22 Α Yes. Little odd, right? 23 Q 24 Α Um-hmm. 25 MR. LANNING: Thank you.

	rage 300
1	THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, thank you for your
2	testimony, you're free to step down.
3	(Thereupon, the witness exited the witness
4	stand.)
5	THE COURT: Other witnesses on behalf of the
6	State.
7	MR. BROWN: State would call Mohammad Malik.
8	THE COURT: Sir, if you'll step up before the
9	clerk to be sworn.
10	THEREUPON,
11	MOHAMMAD MALIK,
12	having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified
13	upon his oath as follows:
14	THE COURT: Okay. Sir, if you'll be seated in
15	the witness chair. That chair. Once seated, if
16	you'll scoot your chair up. Do adjust that
17	microphone, do talk into that microphone, it helps us
18	hear your testimony, it also aids in recording your
19	testimony. Okay. Mr. Brown.
20	MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	DIRECT EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. BROWN:
23	Q Sir, would you please state your name and spell
24	you first and last name?
25	A Mohammad Huesain Malik. Mohammad is

- 1 M-O-H-A-M-M-A-D. Malik is M-A-L-I-K.
- 2 Q And Mr. Malik, I understand you're feeling ill 3 today?
- 4 A Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q I appreciate your being here.
- Can you tell the jury how are you employed, what do you do?
- A I own and manage my motel named EconoLodge at US 192 close to I95.
- Q And you indicated it's the EconoLodge, is there also a portion of the motel called the York Inn?
- A Yeah, it has two buildings, one I call EconoLodge, the other I call York Inn.
 - Q Now, Mr. Malik, I want to bring your attention back to March 6th, 2012. On that day approaching the 11:00 o'clock hour, were you called to go back to an area in the parking lot near room 268?
 - A Yes, sir, my employees -- I was at the front desk and my employees called me, they told me I need to come over there so I went over there.
- Q And when you went back there, did you observe a white SUV type vehicle?
 - A Yes.
 - Q And were other hotel employees there at the vehicle when you made it there?

1 Yes, all my housekeepers was working that area, Α they were there too, and my maintenance man was there too. 2 3 Okay. Was that Andrew Jordan? Yes, sir, that's my maintenance man. 4 5 And when you made it back to the vehicle, were you able to see inside of the vehicle? 6 Yes, sir, the vehicle was next to the, next to 7 Α the stairwell and I was able to observe two individuals. 8 What items, if anything, did you see and 9 Okay. 10 recognize inside the vehicle? 11 On the, on the back side all the linen we put 12 in a room plus the small furniture like end table and all 13 of the small tables and all the other like pillow, 14 pillowcases, bags, bedspreads and everything, I saw all 15 that. 16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach the 17 witness? 18 THE COURT: Yes, you may. BY MR. BROWN: 19 20 I show you Exhibits 12 and 13, does that look 21 like the vehicle that you saw back in the parking lot? 22 Α Yes, sir. 23 Does that look like some of your items in the 24 back?

25

Α

Yes.

	23.90
1	Q Now, Mr. Malik, you indicated you saw two
2	individuals?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Were these two guests?
5	A Yeah, they were guests in room I think 268.
6	Q Can you describe to the jury what these two
7	individuals looked like, male, female, white, black?
8	A The male guy was black and the female was
9	white.
10	Q And were they young, old?
11	A Young, not old.
12	Q Now, at the time when you went back there to
13	what occurred, what if anything did you see Mr. Andrew
14	Jordan doing?
15	A Mr. Andrew was over there on the side of the
16	truck and on the like east side and he was trying to I
17	think convince the guy to not take the stuff, but when
18	Andrew came in front of the truck he zoomed
19	MR. PIROLO: Objection, Your Honor,
20	nonresponsive.
21	THE COURT: Next question, please.
22	BY MR. BROWN:
23	Q Did there come a time when you saw Mr. Jordan
24	go in front of the vehicle?
25	A Yes.

- 1 Q And when Mr. Jordan was in front of the 2 vehicle, did there come a time you saw the truck move?
 - A Yes, he tried --
- When you saw the truck move, can you tell the jury what happened, what did Mr. Jordan do?
 - A He very, very quickly, you know, he came away from the front towards me where I was standing over near the side.
 - Q And as the truck was leaving and Mr. Jordan was doing that movement, did you see -- was Mr. Jordan hit by the vehicle?
- 12 A Not very hard, he pushed him out and very 13 badly.
 - Q But contact was made between the vehicle and Mr. Jordan?
- 16 A Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

- Q Now, during this time did you call 911?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q And have you had an opportunity to listen to 20 your 911 phone call?
- 21 A Yes.
- Q And was that an exact copy of the conversation you had with the 911 operator?
- 24 A Yes.
- MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time I have

I mean

Exhibit I which is a copy of the 911, I would move to 1 2 admit this exhibit into evidence. THE COURT: Response from the Defense. 3 4 MR. PIROLO: Can we approach? 5 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 6 (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out 7 of the hearing of the jury as follows:) MR. PIROLO: Judge, I'm going to object as it's 8 9 hearsay and it's irrelevant, there's no relevancy at this point. We understand he phoned 911, we're not 10 11 going to say he didn't call 911, I think it adds an 12 emotional appeal to the jury and its probative value 13 is substantially outweighed by the unfair prejudice. 14 MR. MOORE: Also hearsay. 15 THE COURT: Response from the Defense. 16 from the State. 17 MR. BROWN: Judge, it's certainly relevant, 18 it's his reactions to what's happening, it's his 19 information to the police and furthermore this is 20 where he gives the tag number to the police that 21 22 Deputy Pill. 23 24 the license plate to --

25

ultimately leads to the stop and eventual murder of MR. PIROLO: It's hearsay if he's reading from THE COURT: The relevance is overruled.

Address the hearsay.

MR. BROWN: Which portion of the hearsay? I don't understand -- the fact that he saw the tag number? That's not hearsay.

MR. PIROLO: Everything's hearsay.

MR. BROWN: It's an observation that he made.

MR. PIROLO: Right, it's going to prove the truth of the matter asserted, the license plate. He's standing there, he's reading something and he's saying what he read, it's hearsay. It's going to prove what the tag number was.

MR. BROWN: Correct, the 911 phone call spontaneous statement. As he's seeing the tag he's giving it to the police, it's clearly a spontaneous statement.

THE COURT: I'll overrule the objection.

MR. BROWN: Judge, we're going to ask -- Mr. Malik's actually very sick so I'm going to try to get him out of here other than breaking and setting up the equipment. So, we're going to ask to publish this after.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. State's Exhibit I will be

```
1
          received as Number 31.
2
                (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 31 was
3
    marked and received in evidence.)
    BY MR. BROWN:
4
5
                Mr. Malik, did you work with Mr. Jordan to come
6
     up with a list of items that were damaged, missing and/or
7
     recovered?
          Α
                Yes.
8
9
                MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I approach?
10
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
11
    BY MR. BROWN:
12
                Showing you what's been marked as Exhibit V, do
13
     you recognize that list?
14
          A
                Yes.
15
                And is that the list that yourself and
16
    Mr. Jordan came up with?
17
          Ά
                Yes.
18
                And the values that are on the list, did you
     ascertain those values?
19
20
          Α
                Yes.
21
          Q
                You're the owner of the property?
22
          Α
                Yes.
23
                And those are all your items?
          0
24
          Α
                Yes.
25
                And do those items represent the fair market
          Q
```

1 value of the value of the items that were missing or 2 damaged as March 6th, 2012? 3 Yes. MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this time the State 4 5 would move Exhibit V marked for identification into 6 evidence. 7 THE COURT: Response from the Defense. 8 MR. PIROLO: No, Your Honor, no objection. THE COURT: Okay. V will be received as 9 State's Exhibit Number 32. 10 11 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Number 32 was 12 marked and received in evidence.) 13 BY MR. BROWN: And Mr. Malik, did the police arrive at your 14 Q 15 motel that day? 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 And did you ultimately over a period of time 18 speak and meet with various representatives from the sheriff's office? 19 20 Α Yes. Did there come a time where they showed you a 21 Q 22 photo pack, a list -- a package of photographs? 23 Α Yes. 24 And ask you to -- from those photographs were

you able to make any identifications from those?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q And when they showed those to you, did they
- 3 | separate you from all the other witnesses?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I can approach?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 7 BY MR. BROWN:
- 8 Q First I want to show you what is marked as
 9 Exhibit AI and AJ and AK.
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q And do you recognize these exhibits?
- 12 A Yes.
- Q Are these the ones that the sheriff's office que to you and looked over with you?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And on Exhibit AI, are those your initials?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Is that your signature?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 And on Exhibits AJ and AK, the photographs
- 21 marked?
- 22 A Yes.
- Q Is that marking that you did with the date and
- 24 initials?
- 25 A Yes.

1 And on the back page, is that again your 2 handwriting and your signatures? Yes. Α Did you do those on March 6th, 2012? 4 Q 5 Α Yes. 6 Your Honor, at this time the State 7 would move these three exhibits marked for identification into evidence. 8 9 THE COURT: Response from the Defense. 10 MR. PIROLO: May we approach? 11 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 12 (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out 13 of the hearing of the jury as follows:) 14 If I can see what the numbers are. MR. PIROLO: 15 Judge, AI, again it's hearsay. And as to AJ and AK, 16 those are the photographic lineups, they haven't been 17 properly authenticated, the predicate hasn't been 18 laid as to the manner of the photographs obtained. 19 And Judge, it's cumulative. These will be the third 20 set of lineups we've got in here. 21 We get the point, they've been able to identify 22 the people at the motel. We've never ever arqued 23 identity or identity being an issue. All the cross

examinations never gone to identity as being an issue

that it's some other man, not Mr. Bradley.

24

Mr. Malik's been able like the other witnesses be 2 able to identify Mr. Bradley with no problem in the 3 courtroom. So, it's cumulative. We get it. And it keeps showing the jurors mug shot after mug shot 4 5 after mug shot of Mr. Bradley. 6 MR. BROWN: Mr. Malik actually hasn't made the 7 in court identification. I haven't asked him, so. 8 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to overrule the 9 objection. Okay. Thank you. 10 (Thereupon, the benchside conference was 11 concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:) 12 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I mean AI will be 13 State's Exhibit Number 33, AJ will be State's Exhibit 14 Number 34, AK will be State's Exhibit Number 35. 15 (Thereupon, State's Exhibit Numbers 33, 34 and 35 were marked and received in evidence.) 16 17 BY MR. BROWN: 18 Mr. Malik, I'm first showing you Exhibit 33, are these the instructions that the sheriff's office went 19 20 over with you? 21 Α Yes. 22 And did you understand those and follow those 23 instructions in making the identification? 24 Yes, sir. Α

Showing you Exhibit 34, the individual you

25

Q

Page 397 1 selected in picture five? 2 Α Yes. 3 Who was that individual? Α Bradley --4 5 Well, is that the individual that you saw behind the driver's wheel of the white SUV? 6 7 Α Yes. I want to show you Exhibit 35, you indicated 8 9 picture two? 10 Α Yes. 11 And is that the white female that you observed 12 in the passengers seat in the white SUV? 13 A Yes. 14 And the individual you've been discussing Q. 15 today, the black male, do you see him here in the 16 courtroom today? 17 Α Yes. 18 Could you please point him out and describe an 19 article of clothing that he's wearing? 20 He's right there, he don't have a tie, his 21 shirt is not white but maybe off white shirt. 22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let the record reflect 23 the witness has identified the defendant. 24 May I have one moment?

25 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

```
1
                (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the
2
    proceedings.)
 3
                MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further
          questions.
4
                            Okay. Questions by the Defense.
5
                THE COURT:
6
                MR. PIROLO: Yes, Your Honor, thank you.
7
                         CROSS EXAMINATION
8
    BY MR. PIROLO:
9
                Good morning, sir. How are you?
10
                Pretty good.
11
                You came to the car after Mr. Bradley was
12
     already inside the car, right?
13
          Α
                That was not car, sir, it was a truck.
14
          Q
                       The SUV, right?
                Okay.
15
          Α
                Yeah.
16
                All right. He was already inside the SUV,
17
     correct?
18
          A
                Yes.
19
                So, you never saw him walking inside the car,
20
    or inside the truck?
21
                You don't walk inside the truck, sir.
22
                Well, walk into the truck, you never saw him
23
    walking into the truck, correct?
24
          Α
                You mean getting into --
25
                Right.
          Q
```

- 1 A -- the driver's seat, no, sir.
- 2 Q Okay. And you didn't see him with any items
- 3 | from the motel room in his hands, right, in his actual
- 4 hands?

- 5 A His hand was on the wheel not --
- Q Right. He wasn't holding anything from the motel room, correct?
 - A Sir, I saw him in the front seat of the truck, his hands were on the wheel and he don't have anything in his hands.
- 11 Q Okay. Very good.
- And you indicated earlier when the SUV started to move out, it brushed you say Mr. Jordan, correct?
- 14 A Yes.
- Q Okay. And at the time you would say the car was going almost at zero velocity?
- 17 A Sir, you are stationary at zero velocity.
- 18 Q Right. That's why you say it brushed Mr.
- 19 Jordan as the car left, as the truck left?
- 20 A Sir, he zoomed.
- Q Okay. Well -- so, you're saying he zoomed past?
- 23 A Zooming, he started from zero and went to sixty
 24 in maybe forty-five seconds.
- Q Okay. But -- All right. And the car moved to

```
1
     the left, correct?
 2
                It was facing north and it went straight.
          Α
 3
                Right, straight at him?
          Α
 4
                Yeah.
                To the left and out, right, towards 192, right?
5
          Q
 6
          Α
                Not 192, 1 -- yeah, 192, I'm sorry, yeah.
                MR. PIROLO: Okay. Judge, can I have one
7
8
          moment, please?
9
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
10
                (Thereupon, a pause was taken in the
11
    proceedings.)
                MR. PIROLO: I don't have anything else.
12
13
         more questions.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. Redirect by the State.
15
                MR. BROWN:
                           No. No, Your Honor.
16
                THE COURT: Okay. Sir, thank you for your
17
          testimony, you're free to step down.
18
                (Thereupon, the witness exited the witness
19
     stand.)
20
                MR. BROWN: May we approach?
21
                THE COURT: Yes, you may.
22
                (Thereupon, a benchside conference was had out
23
     of the hearing of the jury as follows:)
24
25
                     (CONTINUED TO VOLUME III)
```