

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

5:00 PM

The Board of County Commissioners of Brevard County, Florida, met in regular session on November 1, 2018 at 5:00 PM in the Government Center Commission Room, Building C, 2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way, Viera, Florida.

ITEM A. CALL TO ORDER

Attendee Name	Title	Status	Arrived
Rita Pritchett	Chair	Present	
Jim Barfield	Commissioner District 2	Present	
John Tobia	Commissioner District 3	Present	
Curt Smith	Commissioner District 4	Remote	
Kristine Isnardi	Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5	Present	

ZONING STATEMENT

The Board of County Commissioners acts as a Quasi-Judicial body when it hears requests for rezonings and Conditional Use Permits. Applicants must provide competent substantial evidence establishing facts, or expert witness testimony showing that the request meets the Zoning Code and the Comprehensive Plan criteria. Opponents must also testify as to facts, or provide expert testimony; whether they like, or dislike, a request is not competent evidence. The Board must then decide whether the evidence demonstrates consistency and compatibility with the Comprehensive Plan and the existing rules in the Zoning Ordinance, property adjacent to the property to be rezoned, and the actual development of the surrounding area. The Board cannot consider speculation, non-expert opinion testimony, or poll the audience by asking those in favor or opposed to stand up or raise their hands. If a Commissioner has had communications regarding a rezoning or Conditional Use Permit request before the Board, the Commissioner must disclose the subject of the communication and the identity of the person, group, or entity, with whom the communication took place before the Board, takes action on the request. Likewise, if a Commissioner has made a site visit, inspections, or investigation, the Commissioner must disclose that fact before the Board, takes action on the request. Each applicant is allowed a total of 15 minutes to present their request unless the time is extended by a majority vote of the Board. The applicant may reserve any portion of the 15 minutes of rebuttal. Other speakers are allowed five minutes to speak. Speakers may not pass their time to someone else in order to give that person more time to speak.

ITEM B., MOMENT OF SILENCE

Chair Pritchett called for a moment of silence.

ITEM C., PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Commissioner Isnardi led the assembly in the Pledge of Allegiance.

ITEM E.1., RESOLUTION, RE: PUERTO RICAN HERITAGE MONTH

Commissioner Barfield read aloud, and the Board adopted Resolution No. 18-177, recognizing November 2018 as Puerto Rican Heritage Month.

A representative expressed her appreciation for the Resolution.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
SECONDER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM H.1., PUBLIC HEARING, RE: ROBERT ALLEN BAKER AND RUTH NOVELENA BAKER REQUESTS A CHANGE OF ZONING CLASSIFICATION FROM RR-1 AND BU TO AU (18PZ00096)

Chair Pritchett called for a public hearing to consider a request by Robert Allen Baker and Ruth Novelena Baker for a change of Zoning classification from RR-1 and GU to AU on 3.37 acres, located on the north side of Camp Road, approximately 0.21 mile east of Grissom Parkway at 940 Amazing Grace Lane, Cocoa.

Erin Sterk, Interim Planning and Zoning Manager, stated this is a proposal by Robert Allen Baker and Ruth Novelena Baker request a change of Zoning classification from RR-1 and GU to AU on a property 3.37 acres in size located on the north side of Camp Road, just east of Grissom Parkway.

Chair Pritchett stated she believes this is appropriate for the area and it is a good fit.

There being no comments or objections, the Board approved the change of Zoning classification from RR-1 and GU to AU on 3.37 acres located on the north side of Camp Road, approximately 0.21 mile east of Grissom Parkway at 940 Amazing Grace Lane, Cocoa.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
SECONDER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM H.2., PUBLIC HEARING, RE: MB INTER-COASTAL ESTATES (MEL SCOTT) REQUESTS A CHANGE OF ZONING CLASSIFICATION FROM SR TO RU-1-13 WITH A BINDING DEVELOPMENT PLAN (18PZ00097)

Chair Pritchett called for a public hearing to consider a request by MB Coastal Estates for a change of Zoning classification from SR to RU-1-13 with a Binding Development Plan (BDP) on 1.5 acres, located on the west side of Highway A1A, at 4200 and 4204 Highway A1A, Melbourne Beach.

Erin Sterk, Interim Planning and Zoning Manager, stated this is a proposal by MB Inter-Coastal Estates, represented by Mel Scott, requesting a change of Zoning classification from SR to RU-1-13 with a BDP; and the representative is in the audience.

November 1, 2018

Mel Scott stated they simply want to rezone this property to take advantage of a skinnier lot width, not changing the density, two lots to two lots which will enable them to reconfigure the property to take better advantage of the ocean and river views.

Commissioner Tobia stated he thinks this fits; there is even a BDP that will change to one road instead of two; he thinks the applicant has gone above and beyond; and he is in full support of it.

There being no further comments or objections, the Board approved the change of Zoning classification from SR to RU-1-13 with a BDP limiting the 1.5 acre property located on the west side of Highway A1A to two lots and limiting the access to one ingress and egress driveway.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	John Tobia, Commissioner District 3
SECONDER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM H.3., PUBLIC HEARING, RE: HAPPY LANDINGS HOMES, INC. (KEVIN LEE) REQUESTS AN AMENDMENT TO AN EXISTING BDP, IN AN IN(H) ZONING CLASSIFICATION (18PZ00088)

Chair Pritchett called for public hearing to consider a request by Happy Landings Homes, Inc. for an amendment to an existing Binding Development Plan (BDP) in an IN(H) Zoning classification on 5.33 acres, located on the southwest corner of U.S. Highway 1 and Otter Creek Lane, on the east side of Old Dixie Highway.

Erin Sterk, Interim Planning and Zoning Manager, stated this is a proposal by Happy Landings Homes, Inc., represented by Kevin Lee, requesting an amendment to an existing BDP in an IN(H) Zoning classification; there are some issues with the Public Notice on this Item, therefore staff is requesting that it be tabled until December 6, 2018.

There being no further comments, the Board tabled the request by Happy Landings Homes, Inc. for an amendment to an existing BDP in an IN(H) Zoning classification until December 6, 2018.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
SECONDER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM H.4., PUBLIC HEARING, RE: W.K.&R. GROVES, INC. (CHAD GENONI) REQUESTS A CHANGE OF ZONING CLASSIFICATION FROM AU TO SR WITH A BINDING DEVELOPMENT PLAN (17PZ00158)

Chair Pritchett called for public hearing to consider a request by W.K. & R. Groves, Inc. to change the Zoning classification from AU to SR with a BDP on 110.97 acres located on the north side of East Crisafulli Road, approximately 320 feet west of Broad Acres Street.

Erin Sterk, Interim Planning and Zoning Manager, stated this is a proposal by W.K. & R. Groves Inc., represented by Chad Genoni, for a change of Zoning classification from AU to SR with a

November 1, 2018

BDP; this is in District 2; and it has similar noticing issues so staff is requesting it be tabled to December 6, 2018.

Commissioner Barfield inquired why staff is having these lacks of proper notices; and what this is caused from.

Ms. Sterk explained this particular application is fairly unusual in that the proposal was amended in recognition of the installation of the sewer line proposal; because of that process it was very unusual for her department; the sign was not re-hung and re-posted appropriately; therefore, to cover every procedure staff is requesting it to go back through the process.

There being no further comments, the Board tabled the request for a change of Zoning classification from AU to SR with a BDP on 110.97 acres to December 6, 2018.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
SECONDER:	John Tobia, Commissioner District 3
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM H.5., PUBLIC HEARING, RE: LTM OF FLORIDA HOLDING, LLC (KIM REZANKA) REQUESTS A CHANGE OF ZONING CLASSIFICATION FROM GU TO RU-2-12 (18PZ00086)

Chair Pritchett called for public hearing to consider a request by LTM of Florida Holding, LLC, for a change of Zoning classification from GU to RU-2-12 on 2.90 acres, located at the north end of Dixie Highway, approximately 0.22 mile west of U.S. Highway 1.

Erin Sterk, Interim Planning and Zoning Manager, stated this proposal by LTM of Florida Holding, LLC, represented by Kim Rezanka, requesting a change of Zoning classification from GU to RU-2-12 in District 4; and this Item has been automatically tabled to the January 7, 2019, Planning and Zoning meeting, and to the February 7, 2019, Board of County Commissioners meeting, at the request of the applicant.

Eden Bentley, County Attorney, stated there needs to be a motion that the Item came before the Board and the record is clear.

There being no further comments, the Board tabled the request by LTM of Florida Holding, LLC for a change of Zoning classification from GU to RU-2-12 to the February 7, 2019, Board meeting.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
SECONDER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

November 1, 2018

ITEM H.6., PUBLIC HEARING, RE: VININGS PALM BAY INVESTMENT, LLC (TOM CABRERIZO/BRUCE MOIA) REQUESTS A SMALL SCALE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT FROM RES 15 TO CC (18PZ00060)

Chair Pritchett called for public hearing to consider a request by Vinings Palm Bay Investment, LLC for a Small Scale Comprehensive Plan Amendment changing the Future Land Use designation from Residential 15 (RES 15) to Community Commercial (CC) on 3.43 +/- acres, located on the east side of N. Wickham Road, approximately 340 feet south of Jordan Blass Drive.

Erin Sterk, Planning and Zoning Manager, stated Items VI and VII are companion requests; Vinings Palm Bay Investment, LLC, represented by Tom Cabrerizo and Bruce Moia, is requesting a Small Scale Comprehensive Plan amendment from RES 15 to CC; the property is 3.43 acres in size and located on the east side of Wickham Road; and the companion rezoning request on the same property is proposing to go from RU-2-15 to BU-1 and a replacement of the BDP.

Commissioner Smith commented he is quite happy with the way this has come about.

Bruce Moia stated as far as he knows they have addressed every comment that was made; he is hoping the fourth time is a charm; and that he will get his approval to move forward.

Chair Pritchett stated she thinks it is with her.

There being no further comments, the Board adopted Ordinance No. 18-25, amending Article III, Chapter 62, of the Code of Ordinances of Brevard County, entitled "The 1988 Comprehensive Plan", setting forth the fourth Small Scale Plan amendment of 2018, 18S.04, to the Future Land Use map of the Comprehensive Plan; amending Section 62-501 entitled Contents of the Plan; specifically amending Section 62-501, Part XVI(E), entitled the Future Land Use Map Appendix; and provisions which require amendment to maintain internal consistency with these amendments; providing legal status; providing a severability clause; and providing for an effective date.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
SECONDER:	Curt Smith, Commissioner District 4
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM H.7., PUBLIC HEARING, RE: VININGS PALM BAY INVESTMENT, LLC (TOM CABRERIZO/BRUCE MOIA) REQUESTS A CHANGE OF ZONING CLASSIFICATION FROM FR-2-15 TO BU-1, AND REPLACEMENT OF AN EXISTING BDP (18PZ00059)

Chair Pritchett called for public hearing to consider a request by Vinings Palm Bay Investment, LLC for a change of Zoning classification from Medium Density Multi-Family Residential (RU-2-15) to General Retail Commercial (BU-1), and replacement of an existing Binding Development Plan (BDP) on 3.43 +/- acres, located on the east side of N. Wickham Road, approximately 340 feet south of Jordan Blass Drive.

There being no comments or objections, the Board approved the change of Zoning classification from RE-2 to BU-1 and replacement of the existing BDP on 3.43 +/- acres, located on the east side of N. Wickham Road, approximately 340 feet south of Jordan Blass Drive.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
SECONDER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM I.1., APPEAL, RE: TRANSPORTATION IMPACT FEE EXEMPTION BY BANANA RIVERFRONT, LLC

Tad Calkins, Planning and Development Director, stated this is a request for consideration of the authorization of impact fee refund to the Banana Riverfront, LLC, for the demolition of 1,292 square feet of an accessory building for the Squid Lips Restaurant; this refund would be granted if it were completed within six months of the date of the Board's approval; this Item came before the Board on May 8, where they were actually appealing the impact fee ordinance; since then they have modified their request to ask for a refund \$22,689 that were paid as part of the building permits that were issued for the original development; and if the Board has any questions he would be happy to answer them.

Kim Rezanka, Attorney for Cantwell and Goldman, stated she is representing Banana Riverfront LLC; they appreciate staff working with her and her client; site plan number two has been approved; the temporary Certificate of Occupancy (CO) was actually issued before the appeal was filed, therefore her client paid all the impact fees back in February, 2018, which is different in the staff report; and other than that they are happy with staff's recommendation and Mr. Underhill is moving forward on this. She went on to say he is about 10 months away from finishing up Site Plan No. 2; he is back open after the power outage last week; and she asked the Board to approve staff's recommendation. She noted she is going to submit something for the record to prove the impact fees were paid back in February.

Commissioner Barfield asked what the status is on this because it has been a long drawn out case with different issues.

Mr. Calkins explained on August 20, staff approved the second Site Plan; the Board authorized in the settlement that it would allow for two separate Site Plans, one addressing the deck and all the life safety improvements associated with it so the restaurant could open and have people utilize the deck; the second site plan was approved in August and contained the infrastructure, parking, and stormwater to support that expansion; and the Settlement Agreement gives him basically 12 months to complete the construction, which leaves 10 months left. He continue he believes there was a bond requirement that the County receive if by today; and they had not received it, but he believes it was being turned in today, he will have to ask Ms. Rezanka about it.

Commissioner Barfield asked if the Board is voting today to give them the impact fee exemption when and if they demolish these buildings.

Mr. Calkins responded that is correct. He noted basically they need to pull a permit for the demolition; and they would have to complete the demolition, complete the site plan construction, and whatever it indicated would take place in the area of the demolition.

Commissioner Barfield asked if it is normal procedure to do that and then come to the Board to get the exemption.

November 1, 2018

John Denninghoff, Assistant County Manager, explained in the site plan process the applicant, in phase one, did not identify the building being demolished; the impact fees were then assessed based on what was shown in the site plan; since then he has modified the site plan to show the demolition of one of the buildings that was already on the site; when he was appealing the impact fees previously, it came out that he was planning to demolish the building; had he shown that in the first site plan, staff would have assessed the impact fees as they would be paid at this point in time; therefore, in effect he will pay the lower impact fee which he would have paid had he shown the demolition in the original site plan. He noted what staff is asking him to do is complete the demolition as though it was part of phase one and when it is complete, assuming the Board approves it, he would be refunded that portion which he would not have been expected to pay had he done it in phase one; he explained he will pay the amount he was supposed to pay, but he will not have an extended or projected period of time of having the benefit of that building for an undefined period of time, either; they are protecting both the impact fee process, the site plan process, and also trying to be cooperative with the applicant in giving him every benefit of the doubt.

Chair Pritchett stated she remembers when this was heard last time, the net between how much the applicant had paid; he negotiated at the last meeting to demolish this building; and if the Board approves this, then he just needs to make sure the building is demolished within six months and everything is good.

Ms. Rezanka stated if the Board approves this then the County can refund the money once the demolition is complete and signed off of site plan two improvements. She noted he will not get the money back unless he finishes everything in Site Plan No. 2.

Chair Pritchett commented that is good, that is what the Board alluded to.

The Board authorized an impact fee refund of \$22,689 to Banana Riverfront, LLC for demolition of a 1,292 square foot accessory building at the Squid Lips restaurant in Cocoa Beach, if completed within six months from the date of approval.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
SECONDER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM J.1., ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, RE: NORTH MERRITT ISLAND SMALL AREA STUDY (SAS)

Erin Sterk, Interim Planning and Zoning Manager, stated this is requesting the Board's acknowledgement of the North Merritt Island Small Area Study (SAS); they have a planner who will make a presentation and the Citizen Committee's Chair who would like to say a few words; and she will also introduce the item.

Darlene Hunt stated she is a 41-year resident of North Merritt Island and she owns 23 acres where they grow all kinds of things, citrus, mangos, papayas, jack fruit, and all kinds of stuff; she is just trying to emphasize the agricultural nature of the area; she thanked Commissioner Barfield for appointing the committee to study and recommend how the future of North Merritt Island should proceed; and she complimented the County staff and all the different Departments that made informational presentations and answered the committees questions which resulted in the Comprehensive document being presented tonight. She went on to say Ms. Sterk and

November 1, 2018

John Denninghoff, Assistant County Manager, were both a great help to them. She stated a number of changes occurred during the last four years and one of the reasons this study has taken so much time was due to personnel changes and shortages; and she noted the County has an excellent staff now and the committee is really grateful for their service. She continued two significant issues were present when the study began but they have already been decided and passed; one was a proposed cargo rail through North Merritt Island and another was the status of the Savannah's Golf Course, so that should show how far back the study goes; as for the study itself, staff made sure all meetings were announced in advance and opened to the general public; they were completely open in the direction their recommendations were taking; one recommendation now, which seems to be most controversial, has to do with the density of the agricultural properties; and the intent was not to make any changes to the use of any properties, the proposal was to maintain the one residential unit per 2.5 acres which is specified for agricultural properties, and merely changes the land use map to reflect that density. She advised the trend they have been seeing during the study period has been a rapid movement to increase their allowed density through rezoning requests; with exception of the Harvey Groves parcel, they have not actually seen development but rather zoning density changes; this trend has all the appearance of trying to get under the wire before this fact-filled, science-based, expert recommendation study was officially adopted and implemented; the other trend is the increased flooding on North Merritt Island; she stated they are all grateful to the County for its efforts to help alleviate flooding and the recently approved funding for a Comprehensive Modeling and mapping of the island; she noted the land elevation on this barrier island is only a half foot to about 10 feet above sea level; and the largest undeveloped portions are about three to four feet above sea level. She stated she trust that each parcel that is developed will be given very careful attention to the affect that it is going to have on the adjacent land owners and this rural barrier island for many, many years. She requested the Board's support for this well-prepared study and that it authorize staff to begin implementation of the recommendations of the North Merritt Island SAS.

Cheryl Campbell, Planning and Development, stated the North Merritt Island SAS was a process of gathering and analyzing data presenting the information to the citizens committee members at regularly held public meetings; the committee then made recommendations identifying and prioritizing the top three most important issues within this area; the study area is down by Kennedy Space Center to the north and east, the Barge Canal to the south, and the Indian River Lagoon (IRL) to the west; the study consist of five categories; the data in these sections were provided by multiple departments and State agencies; and the following are the 13 recommendations prioritizing the top three as Nos. 7, requesting significant improvement to be made to the current Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) stormwater model for North Merritt Island. She advised on October 21, 2018, the Board authorized an upgrade of FEMA flood model for North Merritt Island; in addition to recommendation No. 7, No. 8 is requesting a special area of stormwater concerns be created to require development to meet specific stormwater standards tailored for addressing the drainage challenges and efficiencies within the SAS; once the FEMA flood model is complete and the data is analyzed, the Board may wish to consider a special area of stormwater concerns for this study area; and recommendation No. 3 is requesting a future land use change on all properties 2.5 acres or greater with agricultural zoning to be amended from a future land use designation of residential 1 (RES-1) to RES-1-2.5. She noted once the FEMA flood model is complete and the data is analyzed the Board may wish to consider further analysis of which properties are appropriate for the amendment. She went on to say this slide shows the 10 remaining recommendations from the study; the highlighted recommendations are the ones currently being worked on; and if the Board has any questions about the recommendations or if it wants any more information staff would be happy to help.

Kim Rezanka, Attorney with Law Firm of Cantwell and Goldman, stated this SAS has been a long process; staff and all those involved have taken a lot of time and energy to put into this; her

November 1, 2018

entire reason for following along was waiting for the evidence to support recommendation No. 3; at one of the meeting, she thinks it was in April, she had asked staff and was told they were still working on it; it has been her position and her client's position that this has not come to fruition; there is lots of evidence and there is flooding; there have also been redevelopment trends in North Merritt Island that were completely ignored in this study; and the packet she provided to LPA, and has been provided to the Board, shows there have been eight rezonings in North Merritt Island since 2004. She noted only one has been started to be built, but that is the trend. She continued the reason is because that is not what those on the committee wanted to address; their whole purpose was to limit density; what Bruce Moia said at the LPA was reducing density is not necessarily going to reduce flooding; she agrees there are studies, there are problems, something needs to be done; and the compensatory storage, however that study needs to be done before this recommendation is adopted. She apologized to Commissioner Smith because she has a package for the Board. She went on to say the SAS is very important in all perspectives because it is a planning tool; there is nothing in the Comprehensive Plan, there is nothing in the Land Development Regulations, in Florida Statutes, or Florida Administrative Code that allows for SAS, it is simply something generated by planners as a tool; and that tool will be used for North Merritt Island until the end of time just like when they did the first rezoning with Egrets Landing with the 1992 SAS that was used against her client because it is of record and on the County's website even though it was never formally adopted. She mentioned that is of concern to her and why she believes it should not be formally adopted; and it needs more information or something needs to be done with recommendation No. 3. She advised the first documents in the packet are from the County's website; it shows the SASs; the SASs on the website are definitely used by opponents and those in favor of development or non-development; and she asked the Board to be very careful when adopting or recommending something that will be used in the future; even if the County never does future land use amendments, it will still be used on those 2,400 acres; and the next documents in the packet are from the website as well, and it shows when the SAS were adopted. She noted some were approved; she believes all of them at some point were approved, but she does not have all the minutes to show that; she had old Agenda Reports pulled, and there has been a change; but in 2008 there was a formal adoption by the County Commission of the East Merritt Island SAS. She advised the prior ones do not show an adoption, they show review of the recommendations; the November 2005, minutes show where the Commission approved the recommendations of the North Courtenay Parkway Study, but in March of 2005, the County Commission was only asked to consider; when that was presented to the Board, it was given five options, this Board has not really been given options because staff has not done that, but in the Minutes it shows staff did that; and she has all of those. She went on to say it has been stated over and over again that the homeowners association represents 8,000 people, so she pulled from their website that they have 400 members; at all of the meetings she has attended for the SAS, there were never more than 10 people in the audience; although it may have been publicly noticed she does not know where it was publicly noticed because she only knew about it because she asked staff; when she tried to find the minutes listed on Commissioner Barfield's website, some were very hard to find; and she also has in the packet she provided the only reference in the Florida Statutes to SAS which is 369.322 dealing with Wekiva Study Area. She announced she was provided a letter by Stuart Buchanan, who used to be with the County and started this SAS, and it was his opinion looking through all of this that the LPA should have voted on this, and they did not, so he believes it should go back to the LPA. She went on to say she provided a list options because there are many; the Board could adopt it in full and move to recommend all of them; she has provided six options; personally she would like to see the LPA be able to make a recommendation on it because they were not given that opportunity; they wanted to and they felt their hands were tied; and she asked the Board to essentially look at recommendation No. 3 and not adopt it, perhaps defer it, at the very least the property of her client with the 300 acres be attached as an appendix to the SAS if the Board is to adopt it, and that they be excluded from the SAS if adopted, and she will address the future land use if and when the time comes.

November 1, 2018

Robert Delano stated his remarks, although short, are very heartfelt about this SAS; he is appealing to the Board's intellect and better judgment; he is asking the Board to please scrutinize the study very carefully; global warming, wetland destruction, human hazardous waste are all addressed in this study and are not to be trifled with; he has been coming to North Merritt Island and is a recent resident for a little more than a year; he has been coming to this area for more than 40 years to partake in the most wonderful fly fishing on the Mosquito Lagoon, Banana River, and Indian River; and he noted they are going to move and he is very sorry he moved to the area to begin with because he feels as if he has impacted this fragile area. He noted it is that fragile; the Board needs to address that and pay attention to the science; science does not have an agenda; in all indications it points to the fact that the County is losing this precious land; if people pay attention to science now, he remembers after Katrina when scientists were questioning whether they should even rebuild New Orleans because the town would be under water in 75 years; that 75 years is now 50 years; and he asked what is going to happen to this area in 50 years. He went on to say he is very sorry, but the Board Members' children understand the rudiments of science better than they do; the children listen and scrutinize and one of these days they will be voting; and he hopes whatever the Board does in the future that its children are going to be proud of what it has done.

Bud Crisafulli stated he wants to speak to North Merritt Island as he knows it, remembers it, and to give the Board some facts as it may not understand some of the things this area is faced with; North Merritt Island is drained to one central drainage system, Judson Canal, everything runs to Judson Canal; it goes from the Barge Canal all the way to Pine Island Road where it empties into the river north and south; east and west there are some ditches at Hull Road, Chase Hammock Road, and a couple other small roads in between that contribute to this drainage system; and the question about flooding is what is the Board's definition of flooding. He added when there is 15 inches of rain on a flat land like Merritt Island, the elevation five to six feet, or anywhere in the country where they have seen water three or four feet deep and he asked is flooding is considered a foot of water, six inches on the road, or is it three inches in someone's yard; he does not mean to belittle the fact that if it is in someone's home, it is terrible; he noted he had two family members that went through that and he would never want to see that again; but if there was never another home built on Merritt Island, it is not going to stop the flooding when those rainfall events continue to happen. He went on to say he appreciates the Board and County staff; it has taken steps to put in a pump system and design some things; he believes John Denninghoff, Assistant County Manager, has caught on to how the County has to pump ahead of the storms; this last rain event over the summer when there was four or five inches of rain in one afternoon, the water never got to the top of the canal because it was pumped ahead of time; that is an old grove owners trick to pump ahead of the storm and dry the ditches so they can hold the first six inches of rainfall; and he advised in the old days they used to have pumps running, and they do not have that anymore. He mentioned that is not really needed if there are pumps to move the water, that starts the vortex; he wants to address something that was not covered in the SAS that has to do with the drainage, Kennedy Space Center (KSC); KSC is doing great things, but they are also running water into the drainage system, unless that has changed just recently; it is amazing that there can be that many thousands of acres and Merritt Island cannot drain that way, but KSC can drain towards Merritt Island; that really needs to be addressed; he does not know if KSC was left out of the Study purposely or not, but there goes half of the density because that is 200,000 acres or so on the east side at the end of Hull Road, the end of Chase Hammock, the end of Crisafulli, and all that north section will never be developed; years ago when the properties were taken from families, it took away the density, and the land; and then in the 1980s there was a Comprehensive Plan which changed four units per acre to two units per acre. He noted 10 years ago when he sat on the North Merritt Island Committee, and they talked about changing to one unit per acre, he voted for it because he thought that is what North Merritt Island should look like; and now the Board is thinking one unit per 2.5 acres and he does not think that is fair, it is not right that the farmers and the people who have kept their land to make Merritt Island what it is, should be faced with this. He stated

November 1, 2018

everybody is panicking over this; they do not have IRAs or retirement funds, they have what they are left with, their land, to pass on to their children; and he asked the Board to allow them to be able to do that. He continued he feels like the density was put in the SAS just to see if it would stick, kind of like the amendments. He commented he is passionate about North Merritt Island; and he thinks it is where everybody wants to be.

Phil Bennardo stated he is a Board Member with the North Merritt Island Homeowners Association; as a resident he would recommend the SAS be accepted and approved; the study provides recommendations but it also provides good guidelines and a useful tool for the Board to use when looking at future rezoning request that affect Merritt Island; North Merritt Island is facing a period of every increasing growth and density increases; and he believes all future rezoning requests that increase density, the Board should take into account the information provided in this SAS. He noted the study addresses things like flooding, stormwater management, infrastructure, schools, traffic, environmental concerns, and other things; approval of rezoning request that increase density without full information is really contributing to what he believes is an accumulative problem; each new subdivision by itself is not going to break the infrastructure or completely destroy the environment; each traffic study is in a vacuum, the effects of flooding, they are considered individually; but to take numerous rezoning requests, people can see they have a serious combined effect on the issues that are being addressed in the SAS; and he thinks if the Board keeps approving all this density it will be a disaster waiting to happen. He noted suddenly there is another major fish kill or red tide in the Lagoon; traffic jams over the barge canal which was changed to four lanes several years ago, there are existing homes that never flooded and now they flood on a regular basis, and overcrowded schools, it just goes on and on; he believes the recommendations in this study can help keep the effects of future rezoning requests, at least in the proper perspective; he asked that the SAS be accepted and that no property owner be exempt from this study; he noted uncontrolled growth is not only bad for the residents of North Merritt Island, but it is bad for the County as well because the County is going to have to play catch up in resolving problems that could have been avoided or minimized by following some of the study's recommendations. He stated maybe if County starts addressing traffic studies before they become critical, or expand to build new schools to avoid restricting and bussing, addressing environmental issues before they become serious, plan ahead with regards to flooding and stormwater management; he stated he thinks looking at that study, it alerts people to a lot of those problems; planning ahead and avoiding problems he believes is always the most cost effective approach; and this SAS can be very useful in helping to do this. He request the SAS be adopted and put into use now, and if it needs updated it can be done in the future; to keep kicking the can down the road at some point the County will have to pay the price; and down the road it will be more costly.

Sarah Hodge stated she has had flooding where she lost over 100 trees, and her road was under water for over two months; they plant and get flooded again because of the new growth; and she wants to support this SAS.

Jack Ratterman stated he has lived on Merritt Island for 61 years and he asked that the Board please adopt this SAS. He stated notice was given in the paper and through Courtenay notes; Courtney Notes is mailed to every resident on North Merritt Island at least four time per year; her applicant could have been a member of the SAS group; he is sure if he wanted to be on that study, the District 2 Commissioner, could have found a seat for him; therefore, he is sure he could have sat in on that, but he never saw him there. He went on to say he has thought about this all day; it really bothers him because he is fearful the Board has already made up its mind; he would venture to say the applicant has probably already contacted half of the Board; he noted he is there to try to get the Board to change its mind which is kind of like going up a mountain; sometimes people have to think of things differently; therefore, he is asking the Board to help the applicant from making a mistake in requesting the over-density. He mentioned if not, the applicant is going to be responsible for the things that are going to happen; first he will crush

November 1, 2018

the rural community, people live in North Merritt Island because it is a rural area with farms, agriculture and acres of land where people do not have to see their neighbors; the applicant will also be responsible for increased traffic; there will be safety issues caused by increased traffic and increased population; there will be crowded schools that have not been adjusted to; and then once the subdivision is built up on a five or six foot plain, and claim that the water is all going to stay there, well the applicant will be responsible for that flooding too. He stated he knows the applicant has lived in North Merritt Island for a long time, as other grove people have too; he asked the Board to do the best thing for them and not let them make the mistake of having over-crowding, and the density become an issue down the road. He asked the Board to approve the SAS especially with recommendation No. 3.

Chair Pritchett explained this is just an acknowledgement of the study; it really was not on the Agenda to approve or disapprove it.

Nancy Minerva stated she is in support of what her many neighbors have said, that the SAS has been worked on for a long time with good intentions, to give the Board good information it can use to guide the decisions it makes; that is really important because the Board has a lot to do; she tried to read the report several times and has spent hours looking through it; she thinks it is very important for the Board to use the guidance in the SAS whether it is approved or acknowledged; she is asking the Board to consult the SAS in its entirety when it is making all the decisions; and she noted although Ms. Rezanka mentioned there are only 400 members of the North Merritt Island Homeowners Association, she does work with the membership and there are over 500. She went on to say the Board knows in the past there have been rooms full of people representing North Merritt Island so there is a lot of people who live there and support this; she understands that development is the way things are going and that it is absolutely important, but as the residents have said, please make it the density that is appropriate and limited so those people who live in North Merritt Island have a quality of life; and she explained she knows each Commissioner has a separate District but they are the Board of County Commissioners and she asked that they represent the people of North Merritt Island.

Chris Minerva stated he understands the Board understands all the issues involved with North Merritt Island; regardless of the flooding, the traffic, the congestion, this study was inclusive of all existing properties with the study's boundaries; this included the 300 or so acres that Ms. Rezanka represents; and he asked if they are exempt from the study then why not everyone else. He continued maybe they were grand-fathered in, but then Mr. Dimenna completed his before it happened and they did not; he thanked the Board for acknowledging the SAS; and he commented he would appreciate the Board accepting it as it is.

Mary Sphar stated she has been following this process for quite a while; this has been going on for four years; over four years ago the SAS was authorized; now the Board has the opportunity to acknowledge this study which was very carefully done and not only acknowledge it but provided direction regarding implementing the recommendation; and she urged the Board to start the process for Recommendation No. 3, the right sizing amendment and get it moving tonight. She went on to say what that would mean is to give staff direction to proceed in preparing a Comprehensive Plan Amendment; this is a long process that would only make sense to not exempt certain families properties; they will have all the chance they want once the Board goes through the transmittal and adoption hearings for the Comprehensive Plan Amendments; she does not believe this is the right time to even consider exempting families; but she asked that the Board please start the process immediately as it has been over four years. She continued she would like the Board to get going on Recommendation No. 8 which is the special area of stormwater concern because the day after election day will mark four years since the Commission was authorized to bring back a report in draft ordinance form which would be an ordinance for a special area of stormwater concern for North Merritt Island; four years is a long time to wait, it is a long time for the residents to suffer unnecessarily because some of the

November 1, 2018

flooding concerns were not addressed as they would have been had there been a Special Area Stormwater ordinance; and before what was authorized to come back as special area stormwater area study would have addressed the flood plain management, the cup for cup requirement and the stormwater volumes which addresses the flooding; she believes a lot of progress has been made on the septic tanks; and she expressed her appreciation for that. She asked the Board to please take those steps; she would hate to see this sit on the back burner or fall into a black hole and in four years nothing is done; she thinks the Board needs to authorize staff to start the comprehensive Plan Process about Florida Right Sizing Amendment; at a later date it can address certain families at their requests; and she asked for a fire to be lit under the stormwater staff to let them start the special area of stormwater concern.

John Schantzen stated he has been through flooding events on Pine Island Road in North Merritt Island; not his property because he is on one of the higher roads; his neighbor had to move his horses down to his property because they were belly deep in water; in the last year the density in North Merritt Island by zoning requests has increased exponentially over what it has been in past years; and he believes it is because people are trying to get ahead of this study. He noted he was a member of this study and he had no agenda while he was on this study; he can see what is happening and he believes it is going to get worse; right now County employees are talking to people who have had multiple flooding events in their homes and asking them to sell out; that is exactly what happened in Houston, they lost complete communities in flood plains; and he believes that is going to happen here as well because the older homes are not built to this standard that new places are built to. He continued they are building retention but those retention ponds are connected to the water aquifer and the water level; he noted water seeks its own level, it may hold it for a little bit, but it is sinking into the ground and raising all of the water levels around it; and the older homes are then being flooded. He quoted Albert Einstein, "If you keep doing what you're doing and expect different results, it's insanity."

Commissioner Tobia stated he appreciates all the work the citizen volunteers contributed to this study, he can tell a lot of time, effort, and care went into this; some of the recommendations require funds the County does not have; depending how Amendment 1 turns out, there may not be any funds in the foreseeable future; and some of the recommendations do not require funds. He went on to say for the past two years he has listened to the people of North Merritt Island and Commissioner Barfield advocate for solutions to the problems that plaque their community; this may surprise many, but he has taken this to heart; he does not believe the people of North Merritt Island are lying when they are spending their Thursday nights at the meetings pleading for the Board to understand the issues; he certainly does not think they are lying when they are spending four years to help draft this document; and he thinks it goes without say that he has complete trust in Commissioner Barfield's knowledge of his District. He noted it was Commissioner Smith who opened his eyes at the last meeting when he pointed out that Commissioner Barfield is pretty much equivalent to the Mayor of Merritt Island. He continued what people do not understand is that the Zoning is the trees and Recommendation No. 3 is the forest; for him this is not about being for or against development, it is about the Board not sticking its heads in the sand and pretending there are no serious problems with the County's current map; this recommendation only applies to properties on Agricultural Zoning of two and half acres or more, so it does not take away any rights of the properties owners and what they can legally do right now; he feels very comfortable not only acknowledging receipt of Recommendation No. 3, but beginning to act on it; and after discussion he would like to make a motion to direct staff to initiate a Large Scale Comprehensive Plan Amendment to consider modifying the future land use map for parcels of land greater than or equivalent to two and half acres with a future land use designation of Res 1 and a zoning designation of AU to a future land use designation of RES 1 to 2.5 acres as suggested in the SAS.

November 1, 2018

Commissioner Smith stated the four years he has been on the Board this has been an ongoing conversation of the people who live on Merritt Island and the developers that want to develop the area; and he thinks it is time for the study to be done so the Board can put all these people in a position where they can make decisions that work for everyone.

Chair Pritchett stated she went through each Item; she agrees with Commissioner Tobia that there are some recommendations that will cost funds from the General Fund that the County does not have right now, like the firehouse; she thinks Recommendation No. 7 was just approved by the Board to move forward with the study, as far as the stormwater model; she does not think Recommendation No. 8 can be done until the County receives something back from Recommendation No. 7; and she asked if that was correct.

John Denninghoff, Assistant County Manager, stated Chair Pritchett is correct; they have begun the process for Recommendation No.7 and with the Board authorization they have started the surveying; they are soon to start the process to select a consulting engineer to do the storm modeling for North Merritt Island; this will become the backbone of most any development considerations with regard to impacts to flood waters that might be generated as a result of that development, not only identify it but also to identify the severity of it, if any; No. 8 is a special area of stormwater concern, that is something that can be developed or could be developed; it is ordinarily a step up in the type of stormwater standards that would have to be adhered to for any future development; for example currently the County has to match 25 year 24 hour storm discharge rates associated with any new development; they could have to meet the discharge quantity as well and that is a significant step upwards in the standard; and they could also change the stormwater storm model frequency and duration requirement that may be required, however the special area of concern, would establish criteria for development. He went on to say the model they are trying to develop will actually identify the impact of any development that takes place based on whatever the criteria is that they would have to meet; and there are really two sides of the same coin, one gives the tools to do what needs to be done to meet what is on the next one. He stated speaking as an engineer and someone who tries to deal with the flooding on North Merritt Island though he does not live there, he is excited that the study is on the horizon and they are getting ready to start it; and the SAS is going to give them the tools they need to be able to really make science based decisions.

Chair Pritchett stated her main concern she believes she is hearing from the residents has a lot to do with the flooding, and with the population control of trying to get on and off the roads for evacuation; she is all on board still for No 7, the County is getting that study done and they have approved \$450,000 towards it; she believes Recommendation No 8 should follow after that; this is the first she has seen of the SAS and it is good, but she is not sure where she will be with it, when they start gathering all the information; Recommendation No. 3 she is not ready for yet, she is going to need more information coming in; she wants proof that it will not cause flooding before she takes away anyone's property rights because one per acre sounds reasonable to her; and if it needs to be less density than that then she is going to need that information to be able to vote on that and be able to have a good conscience when she sleeps at night. She continued she thinks probably the best thing to do is allow the LPA look at it and come back with recommendations as far as No. 3, so the Board has a little more information and education before making such a large decision; not that it might not be the right thing, she does not know; she was planning on acknowledging this tonight and listening to information without getting ahead; and she noted Commissioner Barfield sold her on No. 7, but she has not had time to get there on the rest of these items yet.

Commissioner Barfield acknowledged the people who were on the study. He stated living on Merritt Island and being actively involved the past four years with all the issues on North Merritt Island, this SAS is very important; his concern about Recommendation No. 3 is if it is one to an acre or one to 2.5 acres, how does one know what is right; it could be one to 5 acres or one to 7

November 1, 2018

acres, how will the density requirement be known until the study is completed to show what the impact will be; it is not just the impact on that area, this study is going to show the impact downstream for everyone; he thinks that is so much more important; and he does not want to limit the Board to come up with this. He mentioned this is a science based method of identifying how to adjust the density and how to control the drainage and retention; and it drives everything else home; No. 7 they are doing, and Recommendation No. 8 and Recommendation No. 11, all of those recommendations are tied together directly; and he loves this plan. He noted he can see the details going in and what a lot of the aspects are of this; but he wants to carry this a step further and have the Board make decisions based on the science not just saying what it thinks it should be; he stated maybe the Board needs to look at other issues in this, not just agriculture; they are already making a lot of changes, and he knows the County has done some on the drainage and the ditches; a lot of things are in progress; there is another crew coming to the area to work the ditches; and he really does not want to tie it down to No. 3 yet. He stated he understands exactly where people are coming from but he wants to make sure it is right, he does not want to do something that is not right and down the road find out it is not right.

Commissioner Isnardi asked how long the drainage study will take once it gets started.

Frank Abbate, County Manager, stated 18 to 21 months.

Commissioner Isnardi stated Chair Pritchett took the words out of her mouth; she does not know how the Board justifies reducing the density to such a point that is requiring more than have the density without the drainage study because she is all on board; although the Board does not always make decisions that the people agree with, she is all for addressing the drainage and stormwater issues on MI; she thinks that is the Board's responsibility no matter whose District it is in; she would beg to say that a lot more of the Board is scientific than what they are given credit for; she has not spoken with any of the Crisafulli people, so that was probably a remark that was not necessary; and she also agrees with Commissioner Barfield and she is glad he acknowledged that these are areas that need to be justifiably set before changing long term comprehensive plan because they take a long time to change and a long time to undo. She went on to say in a perfect world, there would not be other homes built on MI and she is sure everyone would want that, but at the same time, if the Board is being responsible and addressing the stormwater issues that have been plaguing the area for many years, then it is a 100 percent win, win all around. She noted she wants to make sure this is done responsibly and not just a knee jerk reaction because people do not want development and she is in support of the Board not jumping the gun and modifying the density so quickly.

Commissioner Tobia stated he voted against a septic tank moratorium that included Merritt Island; he argued at the time, that the Board should wait for more evidence; he noted he was wrong, the Board received the evidence and it showed exactly what the people were saying; he fears that he would be making another mistake; the Board is looking at a study that is going to come down the road in 18 to 21 months; and he inquired how long it would take to do a Comprehensive Plan amendment.

Ms. Sterk stated a traditional Large Scale Comprehensive Plan amendment takes six to eight months; it goes through two public hearing processes and then goes to State agencies for review; similar land use changes resulting from SAS have included additional periods of public meetings, workshops, and a variety of processes, but there have been many more opportunities directed by the Board for the public to be able to participate in the evaluation of the properties; therefore, it could take longer.

Commissioner Tobia asked if the Board were to wait for that study and then the science came back positively that it was the right direction to go, is that another 8 months.

November 1, 2018

Ms. Sterk stated she would average something like this would take a year.

Commissioner Tobia inquired if that is a year on top of the 21 months.

Ms. Sterk replied affirmatively.

Commissioner Tobia noted in other words these flooding concerns, before the Board even begins to deal with them, could be potentially three years down the road.

Ms. Sterk responded affirmatively.

Commissioner Tobia stated he did not realize his motion was this important until he heard those numbers; the wait has been long enough, the people put in the time, and he thinks by just acknowledging the study, the Board is saying thanks for the work but it really is not going to do a darn thing about it; as the individual who has stood up and said yes over and over again, and that is looking at this as quasi-judicial which is completely different than looking at it systemically, he is not willing to stick his head in the sand for the next three years; he is sorry it cannot happen any sooner, but he would like to continue with that motion so the Board can have something ready in eight to 12 months when the science comes back, because it can always be amended; and if the motion does not get a second he fully understands it, but he wants to acknowledge the time and the effort. He noted many of the Board's decisions are not made as people think it should, but it does listen and he would hope to get a second so the County can address the people's concerns today instead of three years down the road, which in his opinion is far too long.

Motion failed due to lack of a second.

Commissioner Isnardi stated she does not think anyone on the Board talked about scrapping the entire plan; she wants to move forward with the stormwater study; she wants to address the stormwater problem; her only concern is the change of the density and that can always be done as the end result of everything else; she is not sure how that is saying the Board is not progressing or moving forward, or doing things that address the issues in Merritt Island, unless she is missing something; and she does not mean to be sarcastic but to imply that the Board does not want to do anything is just incorrect.

Commissioner Smith mentioned as the plan goes forward and is designed, he thinks the Board needs to make particular attention to the intersection of 528 and State Road 3 because with the development that could possibly occur in that area, he thinks the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) is going to have to address that intersection and whether it needs to be upgraded or improved; and he believes that needs to be covered as well.

Chair Pritchett stated she agrees with Commissioner Smith; she does not know if what Commissioner Tobia said was fair, but she does understand his passion for what he believes in; she has watched him the past few years and she sees that he does stand strong on what he believes in; and she respects him for that. She noted her situation is she does not believe that the One to 2.5 is the right density; she does not know that one to one acre is not enough; she cannot vote on something she does not believe in or does not have enough information on; and until she is educated she cannot vote on a change in density.

Commissioner Barfield noted he takes serious what Commissioner Tobia just stated and there is no one on the Board who stuck their head in the sand on any of this; he knows he has not; recommendation No 12 says what was done, at West Crisafulli Road the drainage improvements at the intersection; they had added Hall Road pumps, they have done clean out of various different ditches, increased the West Hall Road pipe capacity improvements, which

November 1, 2018

was just recently completed; he noted one of the first battles he had while on the Board was when the Port wanted to put a rail through North Merritt Island; he does not think this Board or a previous Board did not do anything, it was a 5:0 vote; and back then it was very rare to get a 5:0 vote in favor of opposing that. He continued he does not believe anyone has placed their head in the sand; the Board has done everything it said it would; look at the capital improvement plans, it always has something to do with North Merritt Island and the drainage issues, and that is why it is so important to get the study done; otherwise the Board is going to put a band-aid on this and then nothing will happen after that; the study will come in and show all these other issues and it will be said that it has been fixed; he wants it done right; and he asked if the rest of the Board wants to do it right. He stated he believes even after the first phase there will be some good understanding of where they are; the first phase is doing the surveys of all the drainage and every inch of North Merritt Island; from that information there should be some good information; and that is not going to be the whole study, but it will give a good indication of where things are.

Mr. Denninghoff noted staff will have some indication, but the engineering part of it will be what is critical to build off of, to spring board off of the survey.

Commissioner Barfield stated he is just real concerned that the County will go down this road and change just one thing with agriculture and all the other issued will be overlooked as far as drainage; this is a system, it is not one to one; staff is doing what it can on septic tanks; he reiterated he just wants to make sure it is being done right because two or three years down the road someone might say the County went one to every 2.5 acres when really it should have been one to seven acres or something different like that; it is not the same across the board; there needs to be a real understanding; and he believes the science must dictate what needs to be done.

Chair Pritchett stated Recommendation Nos. 9 and 10 have already been done; she thinks the Board will continue working hard getting Merritt Island straightened out; and she believes as long as this Board is here, it will continue to do so.

The Board acknowledged receipt of the North Merritt Island Small Scale Study; and directed staff to address Recommendation Nos. 3, 7, 8, and 11, as part of the approved North Merritt Island Drainage Study.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [4 TO 1]
MOVER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
SECONDER:	Curt Smith, Commissioner District 4
AYES:	Rita Pritchett, Jim Barfield, Curt Smith, Kristine Isnardi
NAYS:	John Tobia

Commissioner Barfield noted he has another Motion to make; he explained it is a two phase process to do this study; the first part is about \$150,000 which is funded; there is another \$300,000 that the County has not identified a funding source for; and he motioned that the County Manager, Frank Abbate, bring back monthly study updates to the Board as to how the study is going; identify a source for the \$300,000 funding in next year's budget for the stormwater modeling; and to bring the information back to the Board on November 13, 2018.

Chair Pritchett noted she thought the Board already did that.

Commissioner Barfield stated he does not think the funding was brought back.

November 1, 2018

Mr. Abbate stated he believes that will be \$150,000.

Commissioner Barfield advised this is the \$300,000, the County already has the \$150,000, and it needs another \$300,000.

Mr. Denninghoff explained the County has the first half of the stormwater engineering side of it done; out of the total of \$450,000, they have \$300,000 identified now.

Commissioner Barfield stated he wants to know where that is going to come from.

The Board directed the County Manager to report back to the Board monthly updates as to how the study is going; and to identify and bring back to the Board on November 13, 2018, the funding source for the additional \$150,000 needed from next year's budget for the stormwater modeling.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [4 TO 1]
MOVER:	Jim Barfield, Curt Smith
SECONDER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
AYES:	Rita Pritchett, Jim Barfield, Curt Smith, Kristine Isnardi
NAYS:	John Tobia

ITEM L.1., FRANK ABBATE, COUNTY MANAGER, RE: REPORT

Frank Abbate, County Manager, advised there are two Workshops still scheduled for this year, November 15 and December 20, and there are no topics for them; and he asked the Board if it would like to cancel both of those Workshops.

The Board cancelled the Workshops scheduled for November 15, 2018, and December 20, 2018.

RESULT:	ADOPTED [UNANIMOUS]
MOVER:	Kristine Isnardi, Vice Chair/Commissioner District 5
SECONDER:	Jim Barfield, Commissioner District 2
AYES:	Pritchett, Barfield, Tobia, Smith, Isnardi

ITEM L.5., JOHN TOBIA, COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 3, RE: REPORT

Commissioner Tobia reiterated his appreciation to the folk of Merritt Island that did all the hard work; and he stated he sincerely hopes the coins stop the flooding over the next three years.

ITEM L.6., CURT SMITH, COMMISSIONER DISTRICT 4, RE: REPORT

Commissioner Smith stated he would like to challenge his fellow Commissioners and staff to help him promote the month of November as No-Shave November to raise awareness for Prostate Cancer; this is the fifth year in a row that he has championed this cause; he started his beard last weekend and it is in full measure; and he noted he will be introducing a formal resolution for the awareness of this issue on November 13, 2018.

November 1, 2018

Upon consensus of the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 6:37 p.m.

ATTEST:

SCOTT ELLIS, CLERK

RITA PRITCHETT, CHAIR
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

DRAFT