Page 201

1 2

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE EIGHTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BREVARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO: 05-2012-CF-035337-AXXX-XX

4

5

6

3

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

ORIGINAL

7

8

9

VS.

JUDGE:

PLACE:

DATE TAKEN:

REPORTED BY:

BRANDON LEE BRADLEY

Defendant.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

1670 S. FISKE BOULEVARD

RYAN REPORTING REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL REPORTERS

Diane Lynch

Court Reporter

VOLUME II OF VIII

17, 2014

TRANSCRIPT OF DIGITALLY RECORDED JURY SELECTION

HONORABLE MORGAN REINMAN

Moore Justice Center

Viera, Florida 32940

February 24, 25, 26, 27, and

2825 Judge Fran Jamieson Way

March 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 14, and

ROCKLEDGE, FLORIDA 32955 FAX: (321)633-0972

OFFICE: (321)636-4450

APPEARANCES: THOMAS BROWN, ESQUIRE JAMES MCMASTERS, ESQUIRE State Attorney's Office 2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way Viera, Florida 32940 Appearing on behalf of the Plaintiff. RANDY MOORE, ESQUIRE MICHAEL PIROLO, ESQUIRE MARK LANNING, ESQUIRE Public Defender's Office 2725 Judge Fran Jamieson Way Viera, Florida 32940 Appearing on behalf of the Defendant. ALSO PRESENT: BRANDON LEE BRADLEY, Defendant 

_				
				Page 203
1		ΙN	DEX	
2				
3	FEBRUARY 24, 2014 QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU	IMBER	1	4:2 40:17
4	QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU FEBRUARY 25, 2014			74:17 103:8
5	FEBRUARY 26, 2014		C.E.	450:14 1452:1
6	QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU FEBRUARY 27, 2014			779:7
7	QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU			782:25 820:18
8	MARCH 6, 2014 QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU			856:23 893:15
9	QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU			918:5 944:9
10	MARCH 7, 2014 QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU			972 <b>:</b> 9 972 <b>:</b> 15
11	QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU MARCH 10, 2014			1007:13 1040:22
12	QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU			1041:1 1067:11
13	MARCH 11, 2014 QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU	UMBER	156	1096:14 1096:18
14	MARCH 13, 2014 QUESTIONING OF JUROR NU	UMBER	190	1189:3 1189:7
15	QUESTIONING OF JUROR NUMBER OF MARCH 14, 2014	UMBER	198	1219:7 1262:15
16	MARCH 17, 2014			1370:23
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

× )

MR. MOORE: How about evidence of drug abuse or drug addiction? Let me ask before you answer that question, do you think drug addiction is a choice? Taking drugs, I think we can safely say that taking the drug is a choice, but we're talking about drug addiction down the road.

JUROR NUMBER 17: I guess it depends on how (unintelligible) because of a choice that you made while doing the drug, or if it came about from injuries (unintelligible) addicted to drugs because they had severe mental problems. I guess that would be part of the (unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: Well, just in the concept of whether

a -- would you say that, or would you agree that, some

people who are addicted struggle with that addiction?

JUROR NUMBER 17: Oh, yes.

MR. MOORE: And that for some people it may not be a choice whether they're addicted or not?

JUROR NUMBER 17: Yes.

MR. MOORE: So then -- what I hear you saying is that you would be open to considering substance abuse, addiction, as a mitigating circumstance? You would be open to considering it?

JUROR NUMBER 17: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Have you ever heard of a neuroimaging

1 process called the MRI? 2 JUROR NUMBER 17: Yeah. I've had a few. 3 MR. MOORE: You've had those? 4 JUROR NUMBER 17: Yeah. 5 MR. MOORE: They shove you in a tube? JUROR NUMBER 17: 6 Uh-huh. 7 MR. MOORE: And how about a PET scan? 8 JUROR NUMBER 17: Yes. 9 MR. MOORE: If evidence were presented with 10 respect to those, would you be open to considering 11 them as potentially mitigating, whatever evidence that 12 Would you be open to considering -is? JUROR NUMBER 17: Yeah, I'd be open to 13 14 considering it. 15 MR. MOORE: If the Court gave you this 16 instruction, would you be open to considering this as 17 potentially mitigating, the instruction is --18 JUROR NUMBER 17: Yeah, if the Court told me --19 MR. MOORE: Well, I'm about to read the 20 instruction. 21 JUROR NUMBER 17: Oh, okay. I see. 22 MR. MOORE: The instruction is, "The capital 23 felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance." 24

25

Is that something --

JUROR NUMBER 17: It would be something to be considered.

MR. MOORE: -- to be considered? You would be open to considering that?

JUROR NUMBER 17: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. The next instruction is, "The capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his conduct or perform his conduct to the requirements of the law was substantially impaired." Is that something you'd be open to considering?

JUROR NUMBER 17: I'd consider it, yeah.

MR. MOORE: Do you understand the burden for proving an aggravating circumstance would be beyond a reasonable doubt? That's been explained to you.

JUROR NUMBER 17: Yeah.

MR. MOORE: And which is much higher, or significantly higher, than the burden for proving a mitigating circumstance, which is that you are reasonably convinced, or the greater weight of the evidence.

JUROR NUMBER 17: Yes.

MR. MOORE: You see the difference in the two?

JUROR NUMBER 17: Uh-huh.

MR. MOORE: Do you accept that aggravating

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 1.8

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 17: Yeah.

MR. MOORE: Do you understand -- what is your

circumstances, in number, are limited, that whatever you are instructed, that is -- those are the only aggravating circumstances you would be permitted to consider, is whatever the Court instructs you on, it'd be a limited number.

Okay. JUROR NUMBER 17:

MR. MOORE: With respect to mitigating circumstances, it's unlimited. It's anything related to the defendant, his background, circumstances of the case, and maybe even circumstances that the jury thinks of that the lawyers don't think of, whatever you consider to be mitigating. That area is wide open, there's no limit to that. You accept that? JUROR NUMBER 17:

MR. MOORE: Do you understand that in this weighing process, where you're comparing aggravating to mitigating circumstance, or circumstances, to decide what you believe to be the appropriate sentence to be imposed, that you're never required, under any circumstances, to vote for the death penalty?

Yes.

JUROR NUMBER 17: Yes.

MR. MOORE: It's never mandatory. Do you accept that?

understanding of life without parole? JUROR NUMBER 17: Basically that. You're not 3 eligible for parole, you'll be in prison for the rest 4 of your life. 5 MR. MOORE: Do you accept that? There's no early 6 out, no release except through death. 7 JUROR NUMBER 17: Yes. I accept that. 8 MR. MOORE: You don't question that? 9 JUROR NUMBER 17: No. 10 MR. MOORE: Do you belong to a church? 11 JUROR NUMBER 17: I don't go regularly. 12 MR. MOORE: All right. Do you know if your 13 church has a position on the death penalty? 14 JUROR NUMBER 17: I'm sure they do. 15 MR. MOORE: I mean, do you know what it is? 16 JUROR NUMBER 17: I know what it is. 17 MR. MOORE: Is it one you agree with, disagree 18 with? JUROR NUMBER 17: I don't agree. 19 2.0 MR. MOORE: Don't agree. What's the position of your church on the death penalty? 21 22 JUROR NUMBER 17: Their position is not for it. MR. MOORE: All right. I'd like a moment, Your 23 24 Honor, please.

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

MR. MOORE: How long ago did you serve on the jury that you were talking about?

JUROR NUMBER 17: It was back in (unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: Any other jury duty since then?

JUROR NUMBER 17: I was called once, but I wasn't selected.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Based upon what you recall hearing about this case from any source, do you have any feelings about the guilt or innocence of Mr. Bradley?

JUROR NUMBER 17: No. I think -- going back to the first time I was on a jury, the first part of the trial, I had the complete opposite feelings I had at the end of the trial. (Unintelligible) but then as the case went on, it changed. I think I would be able to withhold any judgment until the trial, itself.

MR. MOORE: So your position as it relates to Mr. Bradley's guilt or innocence at this point would be what?

JUROR NUMBER 17: Of course, you have some assumption that somebody's going to be guilty or else we wouldn't be here; but then you also realize that isn't necessarily all of it.

MR. MOORE: All right. And so your -- you have

an assumption, yourself, as to guilt or innocence at 1 2 this point? 3 JUROR NUMBER 17: Not really. 4 MR. MOORE: In part? 5 JUROR NUMBER 17: Well, all I can say is, somebody's guilty (unintelligible). 6 7 MR. MOORE: But as far as you're concerned, are you a blank slate, or are you kind of leaning toward 8 9 one way or the other? Because all you know at this 10 point is what you heard in the media. 11 JUROR NUMBER 17: Yeah. (Unintelligible). 12 MR. MOORE: Do you find yourself leaning one way 13 or the other? 14 JUROR NUMBER 17: If I would be, it would be very 15 slightly for the prosecution. 16 MR. MOORE: And would that be a factor in your 17 deliberation, would you be looking through that lens 18 as you consider the testimony that's presented in this 19 trial? 2.0 JUROR NUMBER 17: I would say no. That's why I 21 told you in the other trial, I was swaying different 22 ways during the trial. 23 MR. MOORE: Thank you.

Okay. Juror Number 17, what I'm

going to have you do is, I'm going to have you go

THE COURT:

downstairs and they're going to give you a phone number. You're still under consideration as a juror in this case. You're going to go downstairs, they're going to give you a phone number, you're going to call back Wednesday between 1:00 and 5:00. So we won't need you the rest of today and tomorrow. You may have to come back Thursday, Friday, depending on how this goes. It may even be next week, the week after that; but if it is next week, it won't be Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday, it'll be next Thursday. We have no court Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday.

So during this break -- so there is going to be a time you have to come back, we're just going to have to give you that notice on Wednesday between 1:00 and 5:00. During this break, you must abide by the rules governing your service as a juror. Specifically, do not discuss this case with anyone. Avoid reading newspaper headlines or articles related to this trial or its participants; avoid seeing or hearing television, radio, or Internet comments about the trial; do not conduct any research about the trial or its participants. Now, you can tell your friends, family, significant other that you are at the courthouse, when you need to be here, what time you expect to be here, but you can't talk about what trial

it is or the nature of the trial.

Okay. Sir, if you'll go -- if you'll step outside with the court deputies, and then report downstairs.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 17 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy.)

THE COURT: Okay. Now, Number 18 and Number 19 have both brought up issues -- have both approached one of the court deputies and asked if they could speak to the Court about hardship issues. So we'll have 18 come in, and I'll speak to 18 about that, and then we'll take it from there.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 18 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 18, first, I want to thank you for being here, and thank you for your patience regarding this matter. You apparently approached one of the court deputies and wanted to talk to us about a potential hardship, so we'll address that issue first. What would be the potential hardship?

JUROR NUMBER 18: I'm a production manager, a senior production manager, for a local company that has 150 staff. I thought about it last night, I came

in with a level head yesterday for Judge Majeed, and I listened to him, and I came in, I thought, well, you know, I'll give it a shot; but when I left here, I had to go to work until 10:00 last night, then I got up at 2:00 and went back to work. It's a little rough.

THE COURT: So you're saying if you were a juror in this case you would still have to work and perform duties outside of the courtroom.

JUROR NUMBER 18: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Is there anyone else that can perform those duties for you?

JUROR NUMBER 18: No, ma'am. We just got bought out probably about a year ago, and my boss just got fired last Wednesday, and I had a new guy that just came in, and he knows nothing. So I'm in the process of having to train him and do my job. I have three supervisors, but crisis — when I left here yesterday, we were missing orders, and we're starting our busy season.

THE COURT: Okay. Senior production manager, what type of company?

JUROR NUMBER 18:

THE COURT: Okay. And you're over, what'd you say, 150 employees?

JUROR NUMBER 18: Yes, ma'am.

1 THE COURT: If you weren't available, what would 2 happen? 3 JUROR NUMBER 18: We missed orders yesterday 4 because I was not there. 5 THE COURT: Okay. I mean, would you get -- would 6 you lose your job? 7 JUROR NUMBER 18: It could come to that, yes. 8 THE COURT: Okay. With regard to the hardship 9 issue, questions by the State. 10 MR. BROWN: Briefly, Your Honor. Juror Number 11 18, and I obviously understand your situation to a 12 degree, would it be weighing on you to an extent that 13 it would be a distraction for you sitting in a trial 14 that's going to go five weeks? JUROR NUMBER 18: Yes, sir. Because I'd worried 15 16 about the orders that aren't getting out. 17 MR. BROWN: Okay. Worried about keeping your 18 job? 19 JUROR NUMBER 18: Yes, sir. 20 Thank you. Nothing further, Your MR. BROWN: 21 Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the defense? 23 MR. MOORE: May we approach? 24 THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had before

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

1617

18

20

19

2122

23

24

25

the Court, out of the hearing of any other parties present in the courtroom as follows:)

MR. MOORE: I think she's indicated that it would be interfering, and we would not object if she were stricken for cause.

MR. BROWN: Agreed.

THE COURT: Okay. So Number 18 I will strike for -- I'll strike it for hardship. Okay. Thank you.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 18, I am going to release you from consideration, so you're released from the panel. What I'm going to ask you to do is to go downstairs, report to the jury assembly room, and tell them that you've been released from Judge Reinman's courtroom, and they'll give you further instructions.

JUROR NUMBER 18: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 18 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy; thereafter, Juror Number 19 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 19, you approached one of the court deputies and said that you

2

3

4 5

7

8

6

9

11 12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

wanted to talk to us with regard to a possible hardship issue. If you could tell me what your concerns are.

JUROR NUMBER 19: Yes, thank you. I have shared custody of my sons, and every other week I'm responsible for taking them to college and to high school and to a job. Also, I'm a caretaker to my mom, who doesn't drive. She's 76. I take her to get groceries and medications and to doctor's appointments during the day. I'm also a musician, and I play mostly early evenings from -- averaging from 4:00 to 8:00. It's different every place I play, I play many different places. And if this lasts five weeks, I don't know -- it would be a severe financial burden on my family. Also, the places that I play, it's taken me a couple years to get into these places, and it's very competitive. So if I did not play there for five weeks, I could possibly lose my spot, and that would very much affect my ability to continue to make money.

THE COURT: Okay. When we asked this yesterday, you didn't bring that to our attention.

JUROR NUMBER 19: I'm very sorry. I was intimidated. I didn't know what to say, because it didn't seem like what I had fit with those other things.

THE COURT: Okay. So you said yesterday you didn't bring it up because you wanted to try to serve if you could.

JUROR NUMBER 19: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. After you heard Judge Majeed's speech, you weren't inclined to bring that up? Did you hear Judge Majeed's speech downstairs?

JUROR NUMBER 19: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I heard that you have custody of your sons every other week.

JUROR NUMBER 19: Yes.

THE COURT: So they live with you one week, and then live with their mother one week?

JUROR NUMBER 19: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: And how old are the sons?

JUROR NUMBER 19: 16 and 20.

THE COURT: And the 20 year old, where does the 20 year old go to school?

JUROR NUMBER 19: Eastern -- used to be BCC.

THE COURT: That's okay, you can say BCC. It's still BCC to me. And do they drive, do they have cars?

JUROR NUMBER 19: My oldest sometimes uses his mom's car, but he does not have his own car, and the youngest does not have his own car. My oldest has

been using his mom's car, but his mom's new husband is going to move to Virginia this Friday, leaving them 2 with one car, so that would be a concern also. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And then your 76-year-old 4 mother? 5 JUROR NUMBER 19: 6 7 THE COURT: Does she live with you? JUROR NUMBER 19: No. She lives in a trailer. 8 She does have a car, but she does not drive very well 9 or very often. 10 THE COURT: Okay. So you have to help take care 11 of her. 12 13 JUROR NUMBER 19: Most definitely. THE COURT: And you normally do that in the day 14 because you don't work in the day. 15 JUROR NUMBER 19: Correct. 16 THE COURT: And then you're a --17 JUROR NUMBER 19: Entertainer/musician. 18 THE COURT: You're an entertainer by trade. 19 JUROR NUMBER 19: Yes, ma'am. 20 THE COURT: And you talked about a financial 21 hardship, because you're concerned that you wouldn't 22 be able to do those jobs because they start early 23

JUROR NUMBER 19: That's correct.

afternoon.

24

THE COURT: Or, I'm sorry, I should say early evening. And how would that affect your ability to pay your bills, if you weren't able to do that employment?

JUROR NUMBER 19: I couldn't pay my bills.

THE COURT: Okay. With regard to the issue of hardship, questions by the State?

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: With regard to that issue, questions by the defense.

MR. MOORE: No.

THE COURT: Do you wish to approach?

MR. BROWN: No. No objection.

THE COURT: Okay. Number 19, we will release you from your service as a juror in this case. What I need you to do is to go downstairs and report to the jury assembly room, tell them you've been released from Judge Reinman's courtroom, and they'll give you further information.

JUROR NUMBER 19: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 19 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy; thereafter, Juror Number 20 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 20, thank you for being here, and thank you for being patient with this process. When you all left yesterday, I gave you some instructions, so I'm just going to follow up on those. Have you read or been exposed to any newspaper headlines or articles related to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 20: No.

THE COURT: Have you seen or heard television, radio, or Internet comments about the trial?

JUROR NUMBER 20: No.

THE COURT: Have you done any research with regard to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 20: No.

THE COURT: And have you talked to anyone about this case?

JUROR NUMBER 20: No.

THE COURT: What's going to happen this morning is, I'm going to ask you a few questions, then the State will have the opportunity to question you, and the defense will have an opportunity to question you. So the first question I'm going to ask at this time is, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 20: (Unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: Ma'am, can you speak louder, please?

JUROR NUMBER 20: I think we should have it (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Okay. So you would say that you are for the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 20: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to talk to you a little bit about the process. We have the first phase of the trial, which is the guilt phase. In the event the defendant is found guilty of count one, which is the first degree premeditated murder count, then we move into the second phase, which we refer to as the penalty phase. In the penalty phase, you would be asked, as the jury, to make a recommendation to the judge of possible penalties of death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. Are you of the opinion that the death penalty is the only appropriate penalty for murder in the first degree?

JUROR NUMBER 20: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that opinion so strong that you would not consider life in prison without the possibility of parole under any circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 20: I could probably consider it. Depends what I heard about the case.

THE COURT: Okay. So you would give that the consideration?

JUROR NUMBER 20: Correct.

2

3

4

THE COURT:

If I were to instruct you that it

would be part of your job to consider life as a

possible penalty, would you be able to do that?

JUROR NUMBER 20: Yes. 5

about this case?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

2.0 21

22

23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 20:

The news.

THE COURT: From the television, watching it on

THE COURT: Okay. And, ma'am, what do you know about this case, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, or discussion with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspaper? What do you know

JUROR NUMBER 20: Just when it happened, the deputy was on duty, and she was shot. Just pretty much the basic, I mean --

MR. MOORE: Repeat, please.

JUROR NUMBER 20: It was just pretty much that the deputy was on duty, and she was in pursuit, and she was shot.

THE COURT: You learned that, you said, at the time of the event?

JUROR NUMBER 20: Correct.

THE COURT: And what source did you learn that from?

the news?

2

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

20

21

19

22

23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 20: Correct.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you set aside anything that you have learned about this case, serve with an open mind, and reach a verdict based only on the law and the evidence presented at the trial?

JUROR NUMBER 20: I'm not sure.

THE COURT: Okay. And when you say you're not sure, tell me why you say that.

JUROR NUMBER 20: Because a few of my good friends are in law enforcement, and anyone that intentionally hurts a law enforcement officer, I don't think I can be very impartial.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, I'm going to give the attorneys an opportunity to question you, so questions by the State.

MR. BROWN: Judge, can we approach?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had before the Court, out of the hearing of any other parties present in the courtroom as follows:)

Judge, we'll stipulate. MR. BROWN:

MR. MOORE: Yeah, we'll stipulate.

THE COURT: You just want a longer lunch break. I'm only kidding. Okay.

I'm

MR. BROWN: I can try, but --

2

THE COURT: That was only a joke. Okay. Thank

3

you.

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

sorry.

25

THE COURT:

Okay. What we'll do is, we'll go

ahead and take a lunch break until 1:15. Any matters

MR. BROWN: I actually stood up too quick.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 20, you are going to be released from consideration for this panel. What I'm going to ask you to do, if you will go downstairs, report to the jury assembly room, and tell them that you've been released from Judge Reinman's courtroom. Mostly what they're going to do is take your badge and thank you for your service, and then you can be on your way.

JUROR NUMBER 20: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 20 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. I think if I'm correct, I don't have any other jurors out there. Okay, Mr. Brown?

that we need to discuss before we take a lunch break? 1 2 MR. MOORE: No. THE COURT: Okay. Court will be in recess until 3 4 1:15. Thank you. 5 (Thereupon, a recess was taken in the proceedings.) 6 THE COURT: Please be seated. We can bring in 7 8 Mr. Bradley. (Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the 9 courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were 10 had as follows:) 11 12 THE COURT: We can go back on the record in the 13 case of State of Florida versus Brandon Lee Bradley. Any preliminary matters that we need to discuss before 14 15 we continue to individual questions of the jury? 16 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Having heard none, then I'll bring in 18 -- we can go ahead and bring in -- oh, they're not up 19 yet? 20 THE COURT DEPUTY: Let me go check. THE COURT: Okay. Let me know when you're ready, 21 we'll be ready for Juror Number 21. 22 23 THE COURT DEPUTY: They're up. 24 THE COURT: Okay. We can bring in Number 21.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 21 was escorted into the

courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 21, the first thing I want to do is thank you for being here, and thank you for your patience with regard to this process. I previously issued some rules with regard to this case, and your service as a juror, so I'm going to ask you about those first. Have you read or been exposed to reading any newspaper headlines or articles related to this trial since the trial began?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

THE COURT: Have you seen or heard television or Internet comments about the trial?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

THE COURT: Have you conducted any research about this matter or any of its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

THE COURT: And have you discussed this case with any of the other jurors or with anyone else or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

THE COURT: Okay. The first question I'm going to ask is, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I agree with it in extreme

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

cases.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Are you of the opinion that 3 death is the only appropriate penalty for murder in the first degree? 4

> JUROR NUMBER 21: It depends on the circumstances.

THE COURT: Okay. So is that -- so would you consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a possible penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

THE COURT: Let me just discuss the process with There will be what we call the first phase of the trial, which is the guilt phase. In the event the jury returns a guilty verdict on count one, which is first degree premeditated murder, then we proceed into the second phase of the trial, and that is what we call the penalty phase. In the penalty phase, you will be asked to consider and make a recommendation to the Court of the death penalty, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. If I were to instruct you that you would need to consider those -both of those as possible penalties to the charge of first degree murder, would you be able to do that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. In this case -- prior to

coming here, did you know anything about the case, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussions with anyone else, or from the media, television, radio, Internet, electronic device, or newspaper?

JUROR NUMBER 21: News.

THE COURT: Okay. You knew something from the news. What information do you believe that you knew about the case?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Just what was -- I watch
Channel 9 News, and it's whatever they put on there.

THE COURT: Okay. So that would have been from watching the news on Channel 9. How often do you watch the news?

JUROR NUMBER 21: It's my morning routine, I get up, get a cup of coffee, and watch the news.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Until this started, then I changed my routine.

THE COURT: Okay. And so when you get a cup of coffee and watch the news, how long would you watch the news each morning, on average?

JUROR NUMBER 21: From 5:30 to 6:00.

THE COURT: Okay. So for 30 minutes?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And you saw some things about this trial on the news.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

THE COURT: So what -- tell me what you believe you know about the case.

JUROR NUMBER 21: I don't necessarily believe everything I hear on the news, because I understand that each news station, or whatever, has their own agenda, so they put out what they want you to believe. So, I mean, the facts that they put on -- I just -- I don't know specifically what I knew, I just, you know, watched the news.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you tell me, just basically, what you heard?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Two people tried to rob the hotel, and they went up John Rodes Boulevard, and they were stopped on the -- and there was a --

THE COURT: Okay. The death of a police officer.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you watch anything else that had to do with coverage of this case?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Not other than what -- no.

THE COURT: Okay. Other than those -- other than what you just told me, is there anything else that you learned from the news?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No. Just those basic facts.

THE COURT: Okay. If you were chosen as a juror for this case, would you be able to set aside anything that you may have learned about the case, serve with an open mind, and reach a verdict based only on the law and the evidence presented at this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I believe so.

THE COURT: Okay. When you say "I believe so," I assure you that both the attorneys are going to want a yes or no answer.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

THE COURT: And some people talk like that, that's their normal -- they say "I think" or "I believe," but they're going to want a "yes" or "no". So can you do that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then I'll open the floor to questions by the State.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Juror Number 21, good afternoon. You indicated concerning the death penalty that you agree with it in "extreme cases."

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

MR. BROWN: When you use the term "extreme cases," what do you mean by that?

3

5 6

7

8

10

1112

13

14

15

16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 21: If somebody just went out for fun, like some of those school shooting situations, when you hurt an innocent person, somebody who can't defend themselves, or a child, or a similar situation. Those people deserve the death penalty. And there's other situations, if somebody can't defend themselves, they don't have a weapon.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me go through the process with you a little bit about how a jury would get to the point of making a recommendation for the death I know the Court explained this a little bit yesterday morning, but it's been over a day now, so we'll go back through it with you. The first step for the jury would be their quilty verdict, they have to decide if the defendant's guilty of first degree murder. Obviously, if you decide he's not guilty, then there's no sentencing to worry about at all. Ιf you convict him of a lesser-included crime, such as second degree murder, the death penalty's off the table, and the sentencing is left to the Court. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: If you return a verdict of first degree murder, then you would come back, and we would have the second phase of this trial, what we call the

penalty phase. And you hear the evidence, the judge will give you your instructions, and then you go back and deliberate. What she's going to tell you is -the first step is, she's going to give you what are called aggravating circumstances. Maybe one, or maybe more than one; but there will be a list of factors, or considerations, for you to look at. Those aggravating circumstances are what you can base your recommendation of the death penalty on in this case. The State of Florida, we have to prove those to you beyond any reasonable doubt. So if we prove one, or more than one, then you would look at the aggravating circumstance, or circumstances if there's more than one, and ask yourself, do those justify the death penalty? Like I said, Your Honor will lay them out for you, give one, two, three, whatever number there If you look at them and say, no, these do not justify the death penalty, then obviously your 18 19 recommendation would be life.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

If you find that they do justify the death penalty, you then go on to the next step, which is to look at the mitigating evidence, mitigating factors, that the defense presents. As the Court told you, those are things concerning the defendant, his background, things of that nature. There's a burden

2.0

of proof for that, it's lower, it's to the greater weight of the evidence. So, again, you would look at that evidence, if something's not proven, you disregard it. You take whatever mitigation, if any, that's been presented and proven to you, and you have to weigh that and analyze it. Just like you have to make decisions at work and at home on an every day basis, correct?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Correct.

MR. BROWN: In this particular circumstance, you would look at everything that's been admitted, that you find that's been proven to you, and you consider it all. You don't just not consider something, you consider everything. Just like in an ordinary decision that you make in your everyday life, you look at all the factors, some factors you're going to say, this is very important, you're going to weigh it heavily; other factors you look at and say, well, this isn't very important to me, I'm going to give this very little weight. Something you do on a regular basis, right?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

MR. BROWN: Same thing here. You weigh the aggravators, then you weigh the mitigators. If the mitigators outweigh the aggravators, then your

recommendation would be life. Make sense?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: If the mitigation does not outweigh the aggravators, then you're in a position where you can legally recommend to the Court the sentence of death. And what the Court's going to tell you is, she's not going to tell you, if you do A, B, and C, you automatically have to return a recommendation of death. If you find the aggravators are proven, they outweigh the mitigators, and you feel the death penalty is justified, that's when you can recommend a sentence of death; but you're never obligated to. you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Knowing that system and kind of that process you go through, consider everything, compare it, go through that weighing process, how do you feel about that? What do you think about that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: What do you mean?

How do you feel about the whole MR. BROWN: process, weighing it, being put in that position to make that decision?

JUROR NUMBER 21: It's not something I would do lightly. You know, it's a possibility, from the way you explained it, of life in prison, or the death

penalty. I mean, that's a very serious thing for someone to have to consider.

MR. BROWN: And if you feel it's justified, can you recommend a sentence of death?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I believe so. I -- yes. Yes. Sorry.

MR. BROWN: That's okay. When saying that term, "I believe," as Your Honor indicated, some people say it as a matter of speaking, others mean it to -- it just indicates some doubt, and we need to know definitely --

JUROR NUMBER 21: I understand.

MR. BROWN: So you believe you can, if it's justified, vote for the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Now, did you come into court, or do you have a preconceived notion -- I know we talked a little bit about what you said for extreme cases, but do you have a notion in your mind that those are the only types of things that are there that, for you, would allow you to consider the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I would rely, pretty much, on the facts in the case. That would determine my decision on life, or death.

MR. BROWN: Okay. As I told you, Your Honor's

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

\_ \_

MR. BROWN: That's all right.

going to give you a list of aggravating circumstances.

MR. BROWN: Are you open to other factors that she may list that, if proven, could be found to support and justify the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. So you're not limiting yourself just to the ones you've thought of off the top of your head?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

MR. BROWN: Okay. One other issue, obviously, as we've talked, if you sit on the jury and return a verdict of, let's say, second degree murder, you never get to that issue of recommending life or death to the Court. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: And part of my concern here is, for everybody, that no one goes back there and thinks, well, I really don't want to have to make that decision, so I'm just going to sidestep it and come back with a lesser charge. Do you think that would affect you at all in thinking in those terms?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I don't think so. No. I'm sorry.

JUROR NUMBER 21: I guess that's just the way I speak. I never realized I did it until now.

MR. BROWN: It's probably more common than not.

But do you see the -- your duty as a juror is to find the defendant guilty of whatever it is that he's been -- that the proof is there for. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: And if the proof is there for first degree murder, your duty would be to come back with a verdict of guilty for first degree murder, rather than going to something lesser to compromise, or to make it easier. Do you agree with that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes. And also, if you didn't -- if it wasn't proven that he did it, he should be found not guilty, by the same token.

MR. BROWN: Correct. Right. So you should come back with the verdict that the evidence dictates.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. BROWN: And that should be your only verdict, is whatever the evidence dictates, right?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. Nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the defense.

MR. MOORE: You understand that when the judge

O 4

was saying that we, the lawyers, want a yes or no answer, what is meant by that is only if that is your answer.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: When an answer is sometimes, "I think I can," or "I believe I can," sometimes, the way I hear it would be, I'll try, but I'm not sure whether I can. I have some doubts. So what we want is your answer, and not a yes or no necessarily, if it's something else. If you have any doubts about your ability to do the things you're being asked to do, it's imperative that we know about it because of what's at stake here. Okay?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Now, in trying to recall what you heard about this case, your morning routine of watching Channel 9 News for 30 minutes, do you recall seeing anything specifically about Brandon Bradley, our client? You see Mr. Bradley over there (indicating). Do you recall seeing him on TV or learning anything specifically about him?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I believe what I remember was a mugshot.

MR. MOORE: Sir?

JUROR NUMBER 21: A mugshot. At some point in

some of the news reports.

MR. MOORE: So what do you take from that, what does a mugshot mean to you?

JUROR NUMBER 21: A picture they took when he was arrested.

MR. MOORE: Okay. And you understand that much of what we're asking you is hypothetical, can you do this, can you do that.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

MR. MOORE: But the one aspect of this which is not hypothetical is that you understand this process could lead to the finding of guilt and the sentence of death being imposed upon Brandon Bradley, that person (indicating), not just a hypothetical person.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: We've got to be clear that we're talking about a real live human being and his life.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes, sir.

MR. MOORE: Did you have an opinion about the death penalty before you came in the courtroom today?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And on a scale from 1 to 10, with 10 being the strongest support for the death penalty and 0 being you're against it, where would you put yourself on that continuum?

JUROR NUMBER 21: 7, 8.

MR. MOORE: Is that an opinion that you've always held?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you belong to a church?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Does your church have a position on the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: What is that position?

JUROR NUMBER 21: When you take a life, you may have to give up your life, if there's evidence, personally.

MR. MOORE: And you agree with that, and that is your opinion as well?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Would your religious beliefs -- would you incorporate that opinion, would they be what you would look to, that you would follow, in trying to decide guilt or innocence, or what the penalty should be in this case?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I would use my religious beliefs with the facts in the case, and if I determine there's reasonable doubt (unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: What if the Court instructed you that

your opinion must be based entirely on the facts here in this courtroom alone and the law that she gives you, that you haven't heard yet, and that alone can be the basis for your opinion? That would then exclude your religious beliefs if they're inconsistent with the law that she gives you. Do you understand what I'm asking?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Kind of.

MR. MOORE: Okay. So if the law that she gave you to guide you, deciding guilt or innocence, and then if found guilty, what the sentence should be, if you found that to be inconsistent with your religious beliefs, what would you do in that situation?

JUROR NUMBER 21: My understanding is, the laws in the beginning of our country were based on the 10 Commandments, and that's kind of what I believe. So I don't see how my religious beliefs would necessarily be different than what the law is.

MR. MOORE: What if they -- what if they turn out to be? What if, in your opinion, you hear the law that's been read to you -- you know, we're talking hypothetically here, so it's vague, but at some point you will, it'll be read to you -- and you're thinking, you know, that's not the system of my teaching, the teachings of my church, so I've got to go with my

spiritual beliefs, what the church teaches me. I mean, do you think that that would be a factor in your deliberations?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I also believe in (unintelligible). We read the Bible, we glean from it what we glean from it, with the basic truth. So I would have the ability to -- I might have to rethink my beliefs, if they don't line up with the law.

MR. MOORE: Well, we're not asking you to do that.

JUROR NUMBER 21: That's kind of the way I understood the question.

MR. MOORE: That's not my intention. That's not what I'm meaning, I'm not asking you to reevaluate your spiritual beliefs.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Okay.

MR. MOORE: What I'm asking is, if you find -- and you know what your spiritual beliefs are.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

MR. MOORE: You don't know what the law is at this point. At some point the Court will instruct you on what the law is. If you compare the two and you say, you know, I think that the law might lead me in this direction, but my spiritual beliefs lead me in another direction, I've got to go with what I believe

spiritually. That's what I'm asking, which direction would you go in; and if you found that there was an inconsistency between your spiritual beliefs and the law, what would you do?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I would want to follow the rules of this Court, and that would be very important to me, so I would have to, at that point, follow the law.

MR. MOORE: Could you do that? Or do you think

-- and if you have any -- this is another one of
those, you know, I think I could, but maybe I have
some doubts about it, one of those -- potentially, one
of those situations. Do you think that you may have a
conflict there, or you may have some difficulty there

-- or do you have any doubts about what you would do
if there was a conflict between your spiritual beliefs
and the law? There's only -- you know what, there's
no right or wrong answer. There's only what you
think. And if you don't know, if you have doubts,
then that's a perfectly valid answer. That's what I'm
trying to get at here, what you really think. You
don't know is a valid answer.

JUROR NUMBER 21: My word is all I have, and we raise our right hand, we're supposed to follow the rules of the Court, I would have to do that.

MR. MOORE: Could you do that?

2

JUROR NUMBER 21: I would have to do that.

3

the lawyer in me, but, you know, I try to look behind

MR. MOORE: Well, again, you know, blame it on

5

meanings, right, and if the way I put it is, "can

6

you," and the way somebody answers is, "I would have

7

to," that doesn't necessarily mean that they can. The

8

law says people should do a lot of things, but that

9

doesn't mean that they do those things. So if you

10

feel picked on, I think you understand where I'm

11

coming from, how important this is.

12

JUROR NUMBER 21: I understand.

13

MR. MOORE: I'm not asking you if you feel like

14

you have to, I'm asking you if you think you can.

15

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

16

MR. MOORE: Without any doubt?

17

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

18

MR. MOORE: Okay. So you'd -- if there's a

19

conflict between the law and your spiritual beliefs,

20

you would do what?

21

JUROR NUMBER 21: I would follow the law.

22

MR. MOORE: There's no doubt in your mind?

23

JUROR NUMBER 21: No doubt in my mind.

24

MR. MOORE: You said "extreme cases," death

25

penalty in "extreme cases," and you gave examples.

2.0

You didn't include a homicide including the death of a police officer on that list. If you had time, maybe you would have, but is that one of those extreme cases?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I guess, if I were to qualify it, extreme cases for me would be somebody who can't defend themselves.

MR. MOORE: Now, are you talking about when you give examples of extreme cases, cases where a death sentence would be automatic for you? I mean, if it's a case involving a defenseless child who can't defend herself, and, in that case, it would be -- all the mitigating circumstances in the world wouldn't mitigate that homicide, you would automatically go for death.

JUROR NUMBER 21: If the facts proved that that person did it, there would be no situations that would excuse that.

MR. MOORE: And how about a case like the one that's before the Court, which involves the death of a police officer? Is that one of those cases where there wouldn't be any mitigation in your mind for that homicide?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I don't know the facts.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Well, I'm asking -- I know you

don't have the facts. I'm asking a hypothetical question, because of the type of homicide involved. Is it one that could be potentially mitigated for you, or not?

JUROR NUMBER 21: The answer you're going to want me to give? I'm not certain.

MR. MOORE: No, sir. I want your honest to God answer.

JUROR NUMBER 21: I'm not certain. I'm not certain.

MR. MOORE: So if I hear what you're saying, you tell me if I'm not getting this right, that because this case involves the homicide of a police officer, that you're not sure, or you maybe have some doubts, about whether the defense could present mitigation sufficient in your mind to justify a life without parole sentence.

JUROR NUMBER 21: One more time.

MR. MOORE: Sure. Okay. Assume there's a conviction for first degree murder of a police officer, so then we go to the penalty phase. Now, you got a feeling for what that's all about, you look to see if there are aggravating circumstances, and you look to see if there are mitigating circumstances, and you weigh and you compare. Are you saying that

because this case -- and let's say, hypothetically, it involves a conviction of first degree murder of a police officer -- are you saying that this is one of those cases where you're not sure whether mitigating circumstances would be enough to warrant a sentence of life without parole?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes, that's what I am saying.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that question. May we approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had before the Court, out of the hearing of other parties in the courtroom as follows:)

MR. BROWN: Judge, I'm going to object, because I think that's asking for an ultimate opinion. The way the question is phrased (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Can you talk a little louder, please? The noise is louder than you.

MR. BROWN: Death of a police officer, whether that could be mitigated to the point of recommending a life sentence. Well, obviously, he should be saying he's not sure, but I think that's asking for the ultimate -- comment on what his ultimate verdict would be, his ultimate recommendation would be.

MR. MOORE: But didn't Mr. Brown ask that when he

said, "if there's sufficient evidence of a first degree murder conviction, would you be able to vote for death." It was okay when he asked it that way. I'm just turning it around and saying, well, look, suppose there's a conviction for this crime, is it possible that there wouldn't be enough mitigation to mitigate it, to support a life without parole. what got the objection. I mean, it's just the other side of the coin, exactly.

MR. BROWN: I'm not going into specifically -listing a specific aggravator, and then asking what
his recommendation would be for that. My question was
phrased very generally in that, if you found that
aggravators were proven that outweighed the
mitigators, could you recommend the death penalty?
That's a fair question. It's an appropriate question.
He's asking for a specific comment concerning an
aggravator --

MR. MOORE: No. Mitigation. Mitigation. I didn't mention an aggravator, I just said --

MR. BROWN: Well, he mentioned an aggravator, the death of a law enforcement officer --

MR. MOORE: I did not describe it as an aggravator, I said a crime involving the death of a law enforcement officer.

MR. BROWN: But it is an aggravator. Whether he used the term that it is, it is. So --

MR. MOORE: But I can't get into it --

THE COURT: Okay. The objection is overruled. You can ask the question.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

MR. MOORE: Let me repeat that. Well, the purpose of this discussion is, let's say the jury, hypothetically, has found guilt of first degree murder, premeditated murder of a police officer; is that -- you mentioned some extreme cases where, for you, death would be automatic, is this one of those cases?

JUROR NUMBER 21: How specific can I be in answering his question? Is it appropriate for me to answer this question --

THE COURT: There's no right or wrong answer, so you just need to answer it how you feel you can answer it.

MR. MOORE: From the heart.

JUROR NUMBER 21: From my heart, if a person was put into a situation, even if they have (unintelligible) and they were not given the ability to defend themselves, sneak up behind them and shoot

them in the back of the head, I believe that is -that would warrant a death penalty if they were found
guilty. If there was a situation where there was a
shootout, for instance, there could be mitigating
circumstances where it may be life. Does that answer
your question?

MR. MOORE: I think -- let me clarify. It sounds like you're saying for this type of case, if there were a conviction of death of a police officer, there would not be mitigating circumstances, in your mind, to justify life without parole.

JUROR NUMBER 21: I didn't say that. I don't know the facts of the case. What I'm saying is, if the situation was that a person did not have the ability to defend themselves, even though they were (unintelligible), sneaking up behind them and taking their life, that would be a situation where I would believe -- because they did it because they wanted to, okay. If there was a fight, if there was a gun battle, if there was a back and forth, there may be a situation where I could find those mitigating circumstances.

MR. MOORE: Okay. But there -- so can we agree that you're saying that there are some types of homicides for which, as far as you're concerned, there

1 2

is no mitigation, that the sentence should be the death penalty --

JUROR NUMBER 21: Correct.

MR. MOORE: -- and you would not consider any mitigation, or if any were offered, you would reject it, you would find that death is the appropriate sentence.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Correct.

MR. MOORE: And one of those would be a -- you mentioned a couple, one would be where the victim doesn't have a chance to defend him or herself?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Correct.

MR. MOORE: One of those situations. And, for you, there would be no room for mitigation because that's one that automatically would be punishable by death.

JUROR NUMBER 21: They killed somebody because they wanted to.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Can you think of types -- can you think of circumstances that, in your mind, would mitigate a first degree murder? Do you know what I -- do you know what I mean by that? I don't want to assume you know what I'm talking about when I'm familiar with the terms, and you're just beginning to learn them. So if I am assuming too much, you will

let me know.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Can you define mitigating? Delieve I understand, but --

MR. MOORE: I think you probably do, but aggravating circumstances -- first of all, it has to be found -- aggravating circumstances has to be found, at least one, before you can vote for death. You don't have to find any mitigating circumstances, you can find all the aggravating circumstances in the world and no mitigating circumstances, and you don't have to vote for death, you can still vote for life.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

MR. MOORE: As to mitigating circumstances, that's one that suggests that life without parole is the appropriate sentence.

JUROR NUMBER 21: A mitigating circumstance would be an accidental -- I mean, two people got in a fight, one of the people ended up being killed. That would be something -- if I went over to your house, I planned to beat the crap out of you, you died in the process; from what I understand, that would be first degree?

MR. MOORE: Well, let me back up a step. If it's an accident, then we're out of the realm of being a first degree murder. A first degree murder punishable

21

22

23

24

25

by death has to be proven to be premeditated, or a felony murder.

JUROR NUMBER 21: So if I come to your house to fight you and I kill you, is that first degree?

MR. MOORE: Bad example.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Okay.

MR. MOORE: For a lot of reasons.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Well, I mean -- I didn't mean -- you're the one standing there, not that I have anything against you.

MR. MOORE: Okay. So we're talking about the only types of -- the only homicides, the only crimes, that are punishable in the state of Florida by death are first degree premeditated murder. Not an accidental death, reckless endangerment, but first degree premeditated murder --

MR. BROWN: I object to that.

MR. MOORE: -- and felony murder.

MR. BROWN: And felony murder.

MR. MOORE: Okay. You with me?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Uh-huh.

MR. MOORE: So accidental -- you know, talking accidental, death is off the table, we don't even deal with it.

JUROR NUMBER 21: All right.

MR. MOORE: So what I'm asking is, can you think of first degree premeditated murder or felony murder, which will be defined for you, if either of those — if there's a conviction for either of those, can you think of mitigating circumstances that would mitigate a first degree premeditated, or felony murder; that is, support life without parole as an appropriate sentence. Can you think of mitigating circumstances? Anything that you can think of, circumstances in a person's life, that would mitigate, or take the edge off, so to speak.

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

MR. MOORE: How about mental health? Do you believe that a person who is mentally ill chooses to be mentally ill?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

MR. MOORE: If you heard evidence of mental illness, is that a circumstance that you would be open to considering as potentially mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: All right. How about brain damage or brain injury, if you heard evidence of that, is that a circumstance you would consider and are open to considering as mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: How about drug abuse or drug addiction, and let me ask this first: Do you believe that people who are addicted to drugs choose to be?

Is that a choice, drug addiction?

JUROR NUMBER 21: My answer to that would be, they chose one day to start using drugs.

MR. MOORE: Different question. Of course if a person picks up a drink or smokes a joint, that's a choice.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Right.

MR. MOORE: Down the road, a person becomes addicted, and is that -- at that point, has that person chosen to be addicted? Can that person unchoose, or choose not to be addicted?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

MR. MOORE: Okay. You recognize that some people struggle with addiction and drug abuse?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: If you heard evidence of drug abuse or drug addiction, would you be willing to consider that potentially as mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you understand that life without parole means life without parole?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Never get out. No early out, no good time, no gain time, no probation, no parole. You die in prison. That's what that means. You don't question that in any way?

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

MR. MOORE: You understand that when the Court says that she gives great weight to the jury's recommendation of a sentence -- you remember when she said that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: That means that it's impossible for her to impose a sentence without that input of the jury. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: That's how essential it is. It's not like she can say, oh, that's nice, and ignore it, and do what she wants to do. She can't do it. You accept that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: You accept how important and critical your decision is in the judge being able to make her decision?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: If the judge read this instruction as a potential mitigating circumstance, could you accept

this and follow it and consider it, without saying where it would lead you.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Oh, yeah.

MR. MOORE: Okay. If she instructed you, "the capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional distress," is that something you would be open to considering as potentially mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: How about, "the capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his conduct or conform his conduct to the requirements of the law was substantially impaired." Is that a circumstance that you would be open to considering?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: How about physical or emotional abuse in one's life, is that -- if presented evidence of that, is that a circumstance, potentially, that you would consider or be open to considering as mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yeah.

MR. MOORE: Do you understand that -- well, you probably don't, let me explain it. At the guilt or innocence phase, where the jury is trying to decide guilt or innocence of the crimes charged, the jury's

verdict has to be unanimous, everybody's got to agree on guilty or not guilty. Then, if there's a finding of guilt of first degree murder, then there's the penalty phase, the sentencing phase, and in that proceeding, the verdict does not have to be unanimous. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Okay. I did not know that.

MR. MOORE: I didn't expect you to. I'm saying that that's the way it works. And you have the right to vote your conscience, what you think is right; and you are never required to vote for death under any circumstance. That is your choice. That is what you believe is the correct vote. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you accept that?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Can you accept that you have the right to have your view, your vote, respected and have people not browbeat you or intimidate you, and you can stick with what you think is your correct vote?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And by the same token, can you give that respect to other people and their opinions?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: I'd like to have a moment.

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

2

MR. MOORE:

I don't have any other questions.

3

THE COURT:

Okay. Juror Number 21, I'm going to

4

give you some instructions --

Yes.

5

MR. MOORE:

Judge, can we approach?

6

THE COURT:

7

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had before the Court, out of the hearing of other parties in the courtroom as follows:)

MR. MOORE: Your Honor, I move to strike Juror 21 for cause. Without getting into specifics -- well, he did a little bit -- but he indicated there are types of first degree murder for which there would be no mitigation, and he would automatically vote for death; and one of those is where the victim is defenseless and not able to defend him or herself. Now, it doesn't matter how close or how far that comes from this fact situation, the fact that he has identified certain types of homicides where he would not under any circumstance consider mitigating factors takes him -- makes him ineligible to sit on the jury, because he's foreclosed being able to follow the law engaging in the second part of the jury proceeding where, if he's found quilty of first degree murder, he would then have to -- he is required to look for aggravators

and required to at least consider mitigating circumstances. But he's already shut the door on considering mitigating circumstances in certain types of first degree murder cases, so he can't sit on the jury.

MR. PIROLO: Judge, to add to that part, he also said that the person could be armed, but still be defenseless.

MR. LANNING: The only cases that he felt are premeditated murder (unintelligible) death would involve self-defense or accidental. Neither of which are premeditated murder.

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the State?

MR. BROWN: Judge, he responded when asked numerous times, would he consider the various mitigation that they put out to him, and he indicated throughout his entire questioning that he would consider the mitigation, he would consider the mental health, he would consider the addiction, he would consider physical abuse. He also indicated in my questioning that, would you consider everything that's been proven to you? And he said yes. Whatever mitigation's provided, would you consider it? And he says yes. You give it whatever weight you want to give it, but you have to consider it; and he certainly

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

agreed to that. I think the issue comes down to the way the question was phrased, you now, almost -- are there situations where you would give the death penalty? Well, yeah, I'd probably give the death penalty in the following things, you know, A, B, and But then when asked, would you consider mitigation that relates to this? Well, yeah, I'd consider that, and I'd consider that. I don't there's -- he's always indicated that he would follow the law. They hit him pretty hard on following the law. He said he would absolutely follow the law. I covered it with him, he said he would consider mitigation, the Court's going to instruct him to consider mitigation. But to put a question out there, it's an unfair type of question, I don't believe he's answered it to the level that he should be stricken for cause.

MR. MOORE: How does that square with this man taking the position that despite all that, there are cases where he would not consider any mitigating circumstances? I think the best could be said that he has doubt. He agreed that he would consider mitigating circumstances, however, in certain cases he would not. That's what he said. There is no way that those two positions can be squared. And it shows, at very best, that he is doubtful -- that there is doubt

in his ability to consider mitigating circumstances;
and I would go further than that, that there's no
doubt that he will not consider it, because that's
what he said. And there's nothing unfair about asking
him if there are certain cases where you would not
consider mitigating circumstances, and he says, yes,
there were; and he agreed that that would be an
automatic for him. He gave examples.

MR. BROWN: Judge, the way the question was phrased was whether it could be mitigated to a life sentence, and that's -- there's nothing you can lead into --

THE COURT: And here's --

MR. MOORE: No, no. The question was, can you consider mitigating circumstances? And he said no. In certain types of cases. That's the way it was put, that's the way it was answered.

THE COURT: For one, I'm not sure everyone understands what the word mitigation means. When you did talk about mitigation, I mean, you asked him that in the very beginning before you talked about mitigating circumstances. When you asked him about mitigating circumstances, he said he would consider all those, and he also said he would follow the law. I'm not sure -- you know, the way the question was

\_ -

phrased, with all due respect, I'm not sure he understood the question, because when you did ask him further, he said that he would consider all those things.

MR. MOORE: I asked him about the mitigation first, we went into that.

THE COURT: Yeah, but you asked him about mitigations, and you don't talk about what mitigators are. I'm not sure he --

MR. MOORE: I did. I asked him about mental health, I asked him about -- I asked him about all of them.

THE COURT: No, you asked in the very beginning, and then you went through all those; and when you went through all those, he said that he would consider every one of those.

MR. MOORE: But it was after that that I asked him, are there certain cases where you would not consider mitigation? And he said yes. And I said, are there certain types of cases where it would be automatic -- a death sentence would be automatic? And he said yes, and he listed the types, a defenseless kid, a person that can't defend him or herself --

THE COURT: If you want to take an opportunity -- like I said, if you want to take -- I'm not sure he

knows what mitigation is, I'm not sure the majority of people know what mitigation means until you start to explain it. If you want to discuss that with him,

I'll give you an opportunity to do that.

MR. MOORE: Go over it again? Well, sure, I'll take that opportunity.

THE COURT: Well, not go over it again, but --

MR. MOORE: I've already explained it.

THE COURT: You talk -- you throw the word mitigation out there, and nobody explains what mitigation is.

MR. MOORE: I explained that it makes a life without parole sentence more likely, more appropriate, that's what I said. Beyond --

THE COURT: But then you give specific circumstances, and he agreed to all of those specific circumstances.

MR. MOORE: Except in those specific circumstances which he identified, where he would not consider mitigating circumstances.

THE COURT: If you want to question him, I'll give you an opportunity to question him again with regard to specific -- what he talked about earlier with regard to mitigation, because I'm not sure he knew what mitigation meant when you said that. Once

you start giving examples, then people -- you ask them what's a mitigating circumstance and nobody can give you an example of what is really a mitigating circumstance; and then once you start talking about it, then they all say they agree to it. So I think it would be appropriate, now that he has some information about what -- some specific examples of mitigation that he --

MR. MOORE: Did I not give those to him, Your Honor? I thought I said mental health and drugs and --

THE COURT: You did those after you asked him that question.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Okay.

THE COURT: So I'll give you an opportunity to question him again.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

MR. MOORE: I gave you some examples of potential mitigating circumstances, and you indicated that you understood my examples and would be willing to at least consider them, without committing to whether you would accept them or what weight you would give to them. Would you be open to considering them?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Now, we also talked about certain types of extreme cases where, for you, the death sentence would be appropriate; and we even talked about it in terms of, let's say, a defenseless child, or a person who's defenseless, even if armed, that person's defenseless, where, for you, the sentence of death would an appropriate sentence, not only appropriate, but automatic in your mind; is that correct?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Now, after we talked about those mitigating circumstances I brought up, are you saying that those extreme cases you're talking about are cases where you would not be open to considering mitigating circumstances, or would you be willing to be open to considering those mitigating circumstances? I mean, are those so extreme for you that you would say, I'm closed to hearing mitigating circumstances in certain types of cases. As far as I'm concerned, because of the extreme nature of this first degree murder, that person's going to have the death penalty, as far as I'm concerned. What's your position?

JUROR NUMBER 21: They would have to be extreme mitigating circumstances for me to consider. I mean --

MR. MOORE: Because a little while ago, if I heard you correctly, you indicated there are certain types of extreme first degree murders where you would not consider mitigating circumstances, not at all, for you. I mean, the door is closed, and the only sentence that you could conceive of and would vote for would be death. Are there such cases in your mind?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And the examples that you gave, are those still valid examples, like a defenseless person? Is that one of those extreme cases?

JUROR NUMBER 21: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And in that case, are you saying -now, again, no right or wrong answer, just your
answer, whatever you think in your heart of hearts.

In that type of extreme case, and others that you can
probably think of, would your mind be closed to
considering mitigating circumstances in those cases?

MR. MOORE: Is there any doubt in your mind that that is your position?

Yes.

JUROR NUMBER 21: No.

JUROR NUMBER 21:

MR. MOORE: No further questions.

THE COURT: Okay. If --

MR. BROWN: Judge, may I follow up on that?

2

4

3

5

6

7

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I'll allow you to follow up on that.

MR. BROWN: Juror Number 21, I want to make sure the parameters of the question are understood. If we have a situation that, to use your term, is an extreme case, and that's the homicide that's been convicted, are you saying that mitigation that would be presented to you, whatever mitigation evidence there is, defense counsel talked about addiction, mental health, physical abuse, things of that nature, are you saying that you simply would not consider it at all, or are you saying that it's a question of, it's got to be a lot of mitigation that would overcome that aggravating circumstance to get you to vote for life, or are you simply saying that if it's an extreme circumstance, I'm not going to look at mitigation? You see what I mean?

JUROR NUMBER 21: I'm trying to think of my answer. In my mind, if you snuck up on somebody and killed them, you did it because you wanted to, it would have to be some astronomical mitigating circumstance to change my view on the death penalty in that case.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Can we have a bench

## conference?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had before the Court, out of the hearing of other parties in the courtroom as follows:)

MR. MOORE: I'm renewing my request to strike him He's back and forth, depending on who's for cause. asking the question, between I would not consider any, there's no doubt in my mind, to it would take astronomical. So, I mean, there's no reconciling the I think, at best, it's an equivocal answer; and, at best, there's doubt about his ability to be open to mitigating circumstances. But he's already taken the position twice with me that he would not consider mitigating circumstances in certain types of homicide There's no doubt in his mind. And I asked him cases. specifically, is there any doubt in your mind about your ability and would you shut out mitigating circumstances, and he said, yes, there's no doubt in That's what he said to me. my mind.

THE COURT: Okay. Response from the State?

MR. BROWN: Judge, while I think what he certainly means and what he's interpreting the question is, he's not looking at consideration versus how he's weighing it. He's answering the question of, if it's an extreme case, there better be an extreme

amount of mitigation, otherwise he's voting for death; and that's what I believe he said. But, with discretion being the better (unintelligible), I will not object to him being struck for cause.

THE COURT: Okay. Number 21 will be struck for cause.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

I am going to release you from the panel. You can -well, I thank you for your service, I'm going to have
you go downstairs, report to the jury assembly room,
and tell them that you have been released from Judge
Reinman's courtroom, and then they will give you
further information. Mostly what they're going to do
is take your badge and thank you for being here, and
then you'll be free to go about your business.

JUROR NUMBER 21: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 21 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy; thereafter, Juror Number 22 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 22, first of all, I want to thank you for being here, thank you for your

21

22

23

24

25

patience with regard to this process. The other day I gave some rules that you needed to follow while we were on recess, so I'm going to follow up with you on that at this time. Have you been exposed -- and this is since we've been on recess. Have you been exposed to reading newspaper headlines and/or articles related to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 22: No.

THE COURT: Have you seen any television, radio, or Internet comments about the trial?

JUROR NUMBER 22: No.

THE COURT: Have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters of this case?

JUROR NUMBER 22: Since we started?

THE COURT: Yes. And have you discussed with any jurors, potential jurors, or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss this case in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 22: No.

THE COURT: Okay. My first question I'm going to ask is a general question: What are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 22: I'm in favor of it.

THE COURT: Okay. Are you of the opinion that death is the only appropriate penalty if there is murder in the first degree?

JUROR NUMBER 22: No.

THE COURT: Okay. So you would be -- would you be able to consider life in prison with the possibility of parole as a --

MR. MOORE: Judge, you said with the possibility of parole.

THE COURT: Okay. Life in prison with no possibility of parole, I apologize. Life in prison with no possibility of parole as a penalty under any circumstances, under some circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 22: Yes, I guess I would. Yeah.

THE COURT: Okay. I'll explain the process to you, and I'll follow up with that. In the event there is -- we have the first phase of the trial, which we call the guilt phase, and in the event there is a guilty verdict as to count one, which is first degree premeditated murder, then we move into a second phase. The second phase is called the penalty phase; and, as a juror in the penalty phase, you would be asked to make a recommendation to the Court of death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. Would you be able to consider both those possible penalties if I instructed you, as a juror, were required to do so?

JUROR NUMBER 22: I could try to do that.

2.0

THE COURT: Okay. So if I -- if I instructed you that you were to consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a penalty, would you be able to follow that instruction?

JUROR NUMBER 22: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you know anything about this case, and this would be prior to coming here, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussion with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspapers?

JUROR NUMBER 22: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And what information do you believe you know about the case?

JUROR NUMBER 22: I know that a police officer was killed by two people in a car, that she died.

THE COURT: Okay. And anything else?

JUROR NUMBER 22: That he is believed to be the shooter, and I believe she has gotten -- I can't think of the terminology I want -- has pleaded, or whatever, so she can be used as a -- she can be a testimony against him.

THE COURT: Okay. There's no right or wrong answer, so just tell us what you know. Anything else?

JUROR NUMBER 22: That's probably about it.

THE COURT: And how would you have gained this 1 information? 2 JUROR NUMBER 22: I read it in the newspaper when 3 it first came out, and just hearing talk through 4 coworkers at work. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So the primary source of your 6 7 information would be from the newspaper? JUROR NUMBER 22: Yes. 8 9 THE COURT: And what newspaper is that? 10 JUROR NUMBER 22: Florida Today. THE COURT: Okay. Have you heard anything on any 11 12 news channels? JUROR NUMBER 22: No. 13 THE COURT: And then you say you might have 14 discussed it at work. 15 16 JUROR NUMBER 22: Yeah. 17 THE COURT: What would have been the discussions 18 at work? JUROR NUMBER 22: You know, I'm pretty sure they 19 just talked about, can you believe that two kids 20 killed this police officer, and ransacked a hotel, and 21 stuff like that. 22 23 THE COURT: Okay. So you just talked about 24 something about a hotel, what information do you know

25

about that?

JUROR NUMBER 22: That they just ransacked it prior to her being killed.

THE COURT: Okay. Anything else that you can think of that you know? Like I said, just take your time, just take a deep breath. There's no right or wrong answers.

JUROR NUMBER 22: No.

THE COURT: Okay. One of the things, as a juror, the instruction that I would give you is that you would have to set aside what you may have learned about this case, serve with an open mind, and reach a verdict based only on the law and the evidence presented in this trial. Can you do that?

JUROR NUMBER 22: I would hope I could.

THE COURT: Okay. There's -- we can't -- like I said, there's no right or wrong answer, so if you say, I may be able to do it, but I may not be able to do it, we just need to know that. And you said, I hope I could do that. If I say, this is the instruction that I give you and that's what I need you to do, can you do that?

JUROR NUMBER 22: Yes. I think I could.

THE COURT: Okay. I know you say "I think," some people say "I think" as a matter of speech, you'd be surprised how many people say that when they mean I

2 3 4

really can, some people say "I think" meaning, I'm not really sure. And every time you say "I think," I'll guarantee both attorneys are going to follow up on that word. That's a word that can have some different meanings.

MR. MOORE: As the Court stressed, there is no right or wrong answer.

THE COURT: I've done that. Yeah, I've said it. There is no right or wrong answer.

JUROR NUMBER 22: I can't say 100 percent that what I've read would not influence me. You know, I've already, I mean --

THE COURT: You said that you can't 100 percent?

JUROR NUMBER 22: I can't probably 100 percent,

no.

JUROR NUMBER 22: You know, the case, what was in the paper, we -- you know, we've all -- I have an idea, you know, what I thought had happened, and possibly what I would think, he might already be guilty. You know, I know that everyone is given a chance, but -- and I know not everything you read in the paper is right, but there was two people in that car, she died, someone had to kill her. So you can't -- I mean, in my mind, it's already there.

THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the State.

2

MR. BROWN:

No, Your Honor.

3

MR. MCMASTERS:

Stipulate.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Number 22, at this time I will release you from your service as a juror on this panel. What I'm going to ask you to do is to go downstairs, to speak to the -- report to the jury assembly room, and then they'll give you some general information and release you. Okay. Thank you. Nice to see you.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 22 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Just for the record, Number 22 will be struck for cause. Okay. We can bring in Number 23.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 23 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

Okay. Number 23, the first thing I THE COURT: want to do is thank you for being here, and thank you for your patience with regard to this process. time I met with you all, I talked about some rules governing your service as a juror, so I'm going to talk about those for just a minute. Since this

process started, have you read any newspaper headlines or articles related to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 23: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Have you seen or heard television, radio, or Internet comments about this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 23: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters concerning this case?

JUROR NUMBER 23: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: And have you discussed this case with any other jurors or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 23: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. What I'm going to so is, I'm going to ask you some questions, then the State will have an opportunity to ask you some questions, and then the defense will have an opportunity to ask you some questions. My first question, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 23: I agree with the death penalty.

THE COURT: Okay. So you would say that you are for the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 23: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. Is that opinion -- your

opinion that you're for the death penalty, do you believe that's the only appropriate penalty for murder in the first degree?

JUROR NUMBER 23: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And is that opinion so strong that you would not consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a penalty under any circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 23: I would not consider life in prison without parole.

THE COURT: Okay. And if there was a conviction of murder in the first degree?

JUROR NUMBER 23: It would be -- I would vote for death.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to explain the process with you, and then I'll ask that question again. In this case, there are two phases, there's a guilt phase and a penalty phase. The guilt phase is the first part of the trial; and in the event the defendant is found guilty of count one, which is premeditated murder in the first degree, then we move on to the second phase. The second phase would be that the jury would be required to make a recommendation to the Court of a penalty, possible penalties are death, or life in prison without the

possibility of parole. Now, having said that, I'm just going to ask you again, if there was a conviction on count one of guilty of premeditated first degree murder, are you of the opinion that the death penalty would be the only appropriate penalty, or would you consider — if I instructed you that you needed to consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a penalty, could you do that?

JUROR NUMBER 23: No, I couldn't.

THE COURT: Okay. And questions by the State.

MR. BROWN: No questions.

THE COURT: Questions by the defense.

MR. MOORE: No questions. Stipulate.

THE COURT: Okay. Ma'am, at this time I want to thank you for your service, I am going to release you from the panel. I need you to report downstairs to the jury assembly room, and tell them that you've been released from Judge Reinman's courtroom. Mostly what they're going to do is take your little badge, they want to make sure they get the plastic thing, and then you'll be released from service.

JUROR NUMBER 23: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 24 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings

were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure the record's clear, so I'm going to put it on the record that Number 23 is released for cause. Okay. We can bring in Number 25.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 25 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Number 25, the first thing I want to do is thank you for being here, and thank you for your patience regarding this process. When I last recessed, I gave -- I announced some rules governing your service as a juror, so I'm going to go over those rules at this time. Have you read -- and this is since this case has started. Have you read or been exposed to reading newspaper headlines and/or articles related to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 25: Only before.

THE COURT: Only before. Okay. I'll talk to you about that in just a few moments. And have you seen or heard television, radio, or Internet comments about the trial?

JUROR NUMBER 25: No.

THE COURT: And have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters

2

3

4 5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerning this case?

JUROR NUMBER 25: I have not been exposed to any research in any matters in this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you done any research?

JUROR NUMBER 25: I have not done any research.

THE COURT: Okay. And have you discussed this case with any other jurors or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 25:

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you some questions, and then the State and the defense have an opportunity to ask you questions as well. My first question is a pretty general question, what are you views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 25: It is a necessary evil that we have to have.

THE COURT: Okay. So I would assume if someone asked you if you were for the death penalty or opposed to the death penalty you would say that you are for the death penalty? Would that be correct? there's no right or wrong answers, so feel free to This is your one opportunity where you get to talk.

JUROR NUMBER 25: I am for the death penalty.

Okay. I'm going to talk to you a THE COURT:

little bit about the process, and then I'm going to ask you some other questions. In this case we have 3 two phases, the first phase is called the guilt phase. In that guilt phase, the jury would be asked to find the defendant quilty or not quilty of certain charges which you've already heard. Count one is premeditated 6 first degree murder. In the event the defendant was 7 found guilty of that count by the jury, then we would 8 move into the second phase of this trial process. The 9 second phase is called the penalty phase. 10 penalty phase, the jury is asked to make a 11 12 recommendation to the Court for a penalty, that penalty is either death, or life in prison without the 13 14 possibility of parole. If there was a quilty verdict on count one, and if we moved into the penalty phase, 15 are you of the opinion that death is the only 16 appropriate penalty for murder in the first degree, 17 and is that opinion so strong that you would not 18 19 consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a penalty under any circumstances? 2.0 that's a very long question. 21

> JUROR NUMBER 25: Can I ask something? Or can I say something?

> You can answer that question any way THE COURT: you like.

24

25

22

23

1

2

4

5

10

11

8

9

12 13

14

15 16

17

19

18

21

20

22

24

25

23

JUROR NUMBER 25: Okay. In my family, there's been law enforcement officers that served, I served in Vietnam, my brother served in (unintelligible), and we've had exchanges of firearms on us; and as a result of that, me being in a situation where I had to defend myself, deadly force is okay. It has been okay, will be okay. And to answer your question, death penalty (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Okay. If I were to instruct you that you are to consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a possible penalty, would you able to follow my instruction? There's no right or wrong answers, it's what you feel that you can do.

JUROR NUMBER 25: Is it wrong to say I pretty much have my mind made up about this case?

THE COURT: No. In fact, what we appreciate is the candor. We want you to tell us how you feel. it's not wrong to say anything.

JUROR NUMBER 25: Okay.

When you say you've made up your mind THE COURT: with regard to this case, tell me what you know about the case and tell me what your mind says.

JUROR NUMBER 25: I know there was an exchange of fire between the officer and the defendant, the defendant should have laid his arms down, he should

have surrendered. He did not, he fired, she's dead.

There's only one thing that can be done here, and it's have a trial, and finish -- can I say what my opinion is?

MR. MOORE: I couldn't hear the last part. You said, have a trial, and what's after that?

THE COURT: He said, can I say -- like I said, there's no right or wrong answers, and you can say whatever you wish to say. He just couldn't hear you.

JUROR NUMBER 25: Okay. You have the trial, do what we're doing, and there's a sentence that I believe that I'd render, if I could say it.

THE COURT: You can say it.

JUROR NUMBER 25: It's guilty and it's death. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Okay. There's nothing to be sorry about. That's just -- we just need to know -- we need you to be honest with us, and have candor such as what you've done. So there's nothing -- there's no apologies -- I'm not going to accept your apology because there's no apology needed. Okay. Does the State wish to inquire?

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Questions by the defense.

MR. MOORE: No. Stipulate.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 25 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Number 25, I want

to thank you for your service, I am going to release

you from serving on this jury. What I need you to do

they're going to give you some short information, and

is to report downstairs to the jury assembly room,

they're going to send you on your way. Thank you,

THE COURT: Okay. Just for the record, Number 25 will be released for cause. Okay. Let's bring in Number 29. I'm going to go close to 3:00, and then I'm going to take a break.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 29 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 29, first, I would like to thank you for being, and thank you for being patient with us regarding this process. The first thing I'm going to talk to you about is, when we last recessed, I announced some rules that jurors have to follow as a result of their service, and these rules pertain to when you started on this panel. So I'm going to ask you, have you read or been exposed to

sir.

reading newspaper headlines and/or articles relating to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 29: The only thing I overheard was a little bit of a newscast last night on the TV.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 29: As far as since yesterday, or the day before.

THE COURT: Yeah, we're going to talk about prior to that in just a minute. When you heard that, what did you do?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I kind of walked out of the room, just about the jury selection, the first day.

THE COURT: Okay. Did it talk about anything other than the commencement or the first day of jury selection?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you seen anything else by way of television, radio, or Internet comments about the trial since we started?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No.

THE COURT: Have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters concerning this case?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No.

THE COURT: And have you discussed this case with

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

any other potential jurors or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you some questions, and then the defense and the State will have an opportunity to ask you some questions as well. The first question I ask is a pretty general question, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'm for it.

Okay. I'm going to explain to you THE COURT: how the process works, and then I'm going to ask you some more specific questions about that. We have two phases as part of this trial, we have the guilt phase, and we have the penalty phase. In the guilt phase, you would make a -- or you would render a verdict of guilty or not guilty. In that phase, in the event that the defendant is found quilty of count one, which is premeditated first degree murder, then we would move, and only then, into the second phase, which is called the penalty phase. In the penalty phase, you, as a juror, would be required to make a recommendation to the Court of penalties. There are two possible penalties, one is death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. Now, are you of the opinion that death is the only appropriate penalty for murder

1.9

25 headlines

in the first degree, and is that opinion so strong that you would not consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a penalty under any circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I could consider both.

THE COURT: You could consider both.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

THE COURT: So if I instructed you that it was part of your job to consider both possible penalties, you're saying that you could do that?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know anything about this case, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussion with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspaper?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes, from what I can recollect from the news a couple years ago.

THE COURT: Okay. Tell me what you think you know about the case.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I know there was a robbery, some items, or whatever, were stolen from a hotel on 192, I know a female police officer was shot on John Rodes Boulevard. I just kind of remember the headlines in the news from back then.

THE COURT: Okay. You say, "from back then," do you mean when the event occurred?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes. Right after.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you heard anything recently?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I heard a newscast last week before I showed up here that was talking about the trial and jury selection.

THE COURT: Okay. And the information that you may have learned, what source did that come from?

JUROR NUMBER 29: It would have to be the TV news, because I don't read the paper.

THE COURT: Okay. So it would have been on the TV?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else that you -- any other information that you believe you know about this case?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: All right. Questions by the State.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Juror Number 29, good afternoon. As far as the issues of what you heard, saw on the TV, and things of that nature, obviously, if you're selected as a juror, you're going to have to base your verdict on facts and evidence

that you hear in the courtroom.

2

JUROR NUMBER 29: Okav.

3

4

JUROR NUMBER 29:

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROWN: Any problem at all in doing that?

MR. BROWN: Okay. Now, concerning the death penalty, I'm going to go over a little bit with you the process of how it is that we get to the point as a juror of considering it to make your sentencing recommendation. I know Her Honor covered this yesterday, but it was over a day ago, so let me just cover it real quick with you to make sure you understand the process.

You only consider the death penalty if there's a verdict for first degree murder. Obviously, if you come back not guilty, there's no sentencing issue; come back with a charge of lower than first degree murder, of a lesser included, such as second degree murder, then death penalty's off the table, sentencing is left to the Court. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Now, if you come back -- if you sit on the jury, and you come back with a verdict for first degree murder, you come back again, you hear additional evidence, and then Her Honor would instruct you on the instructions for what we call the penalty

phase of the trial. What she's going to tell you is, the first step is to look at all the aggravating circumstances, and she will provide a list to you of potential aggravating circumstances that may have been proven in this case. In there would be something you would think would aggravate the case to justify the death penalty. Make sense?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Okay.

MR. BROWN: The State of Florida has to prove at least one of those to you beyond any reasonable doubt. If the State fails to prove any of those aggravating circumstances, then your recommendation has to be for life in prison.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Okay.

MR. BROWN: If the State has proven to you at least one, they can prove more than one, but at least one, then you look at that circumstance or circumstances, you look at what's been proven, and you say, does this or do these justify the death penalty. If you say, I don't think these aggravating circumstances justify the death penalty, then you recommend life. If you feel that they do justify the death penalty, then you go on to the second phase, that's where you look at the mitigation evidence that's been provided to you. As the Court told you

2

3

5

6

7

21

22

23

17

18

19

20

24

25

yesterday, the mitigation evidence has to do with the defendant, his background, things of that nature.

Make sense this far?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Makes sense.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Now, mitigation evidence that's presented to you, that has to also be proven, although it is a lower burden, it's to the greater weight of the evidence. So mitigation evidence will be provided, you will look at it and say, what's been proven to me as far as the mitigation, concerning the defendant's background, character, all sorts of things. Obviously, if something has not been proven, you disregard it. You look at mitigation evidence that you feel has been proven to you, and you consider all of that. And the Court's going to tell you to go through a weighing process, where you take the aggravators that have been proven, and you take all the mitigation evidence that's been proven to you, and you consider everything, you weigh it. And like in the ordinary course of your life, when you've had decisions to make, you look at all the factors, right?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. BROWN: You look at everything that's out there. Some factors you look at and say, you know, this is pretty important, I'm giving this great

2.2

weight; other factors you look at and say, I don't consider this very important at all, I'm going to give it little weight. You know, you go through that process in your normal life, make decisions. You consider everything, and you decide how much weight to give. That's what you do here. You consider the aggravating factors that have been proven, you consider the mitigating factors that have been proven to you. You consider it all, and you determine the weight. If you look at it and say, the mitigation outweighs the aggravators, then you come back with a recommendation of life in prison. Make sense?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yeah.

JUROR NUMBER 14:

MR. BROWN: If you find that the aggravators are not outweighed by the mitigators, then you're in a position where you can legally recommend to the Court a recommendation of the death penalty. Make sense?

Yes.

MR. BROWN: Even at that point, the Court's going to tell you, you're not required to recommend the death penalty. She's not going to tell you, if you find A, B, and C, then you must recommend the death penalty, that's not going to happen. So you have to find the aggravators, compare it to the mitigators, see which outweighs which. If the mitigation does not

outweigh the aggravators, then if you feel the aggravators justify the death penalty, you could recommend a sentence of death. You see how it's a step-by-step process. What do you think about the process?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I guess it works. I mean --

MR. BROWN: Okay. Can you accept it?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yeah, I think so. Well, to a point, you know, mitigating factors kind of bother me.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Well --

JUROR NUMBER 29: As far as upbringing and stuff.

MR. BROWN: Well, again, the issue comes down to, just like the aggravators, you determine how much weight you're going to give to a particular mitigator. The issue is, if it's presented to you, can you consider it?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. You're not going to immediately shut down and say, you know, that type of mitigation, I'm not even going to look at it, listen to it, consider it in any way whatsoever. You agree that if it's presented and proven to you, you would at least consider it? You may give it little weight, you may give it great weight, but, as a juror, that's kind of what you have to do.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Just like in the guilt phase, when a witness testifies, or in the penalty phase, you may believe them, or disbelieve them. You decide how much weight to give to that testimony. Same thing in the penalty phase, you decide how much weight to give to the aggravators, you decide how much weight to the mitigators. Okay?

JUROR NUMBER 29: All right.

MR. BROWN: So you have to at least be willing to consider, even if it's not going to be much weight, can you consider what's presented to you?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

JUROR NUMBER 29:

MR. BROWN: And with that process, do you feel that if aggravating factors are proven to you and they outweigh the mitigation, and the death penalty is justified, can you recommend the death penalty?

MR. BROWN: Thank you. Your Honor, I have no further questions.

Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the defense.

MR. MOORE: Given all you heard about this case, what you think you know about it, which is a fair amount, before you came in the courtroom, do you feel like if you want to look at the scales of justice just

even Steven, you have two empty trays, and there's nothing on either one of them, do you feel like, given what you know, that there may be a little bit in one of those trays, let's say the guilt tray?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. And to what degree do you think? You've already decided, at least to a degree, the issue of guilt. And, again, there are no right or wrong answers. Nobody's accusing, and nobody's going to take issue with what you say.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'd say probably 40, 50 percent.

MR. MOORE: Is that going to be a factor in your deliberations in this case, the fact that you're going into this -- I mean, you're not like a blank slate.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Right.

MR. MOORE: You have -- would it be fair to say, and if you disagree with me on this, you'll tell me, that you have a preconceived idea of guilt, at least to a degree? And is that going to be a factor for you as you listen to the testimony, as you go back to deliberate, the fact that you've already decided up to a degree about the issue of guilt?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'd say it would take more convincing to go the other way.

2.0

MR. MOORE: Okay. Do you understand that the State has to do all the convincing in this case? Defense doesn't have to, legally, convince you of anything; however, is that a legal principle, or a law, that you could follow, if the State has the burden of proof? Here we are, and you've already decided that the scale is a little bit more than even towards the State's side; is that going to be an issue for you in trying to listen to the evidence in this case and embrace it? Do you think that that would be something that would be a part of your deliberations? And if you don't know, you don't know. I mean, there's no right or wrong answers, just whatever you think.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I think I could consider the evidence.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Could you -- are you confident that you could completely tune out whatever you have heard, whether it's from the TV, or discussions with coworkers, neighbors, could you tune all that out and can you say 100 percent that it will not enter into your deliberations, it will not affect your deliberations?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'd like to say yes, but -MR. MOORE: Okay. Sounds like the "but" is that

you can't.

2

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'm not sure.

3

MR. MOORE: Sir?

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'm not 100 percent sure.

MR. MOORE: Okay. So if the Court instructed you that your verdict had to be based strictly upon the evidence you hear in court and the law that she reads to you, are you saying you're not 100 percent sure that you can follow that instruction?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No, I can follow the instruction. Yeah. I can follow that.

MR. MOORE: So a moment ago, where you were not 100 percent about tuning that out, and now you are 100 percent you could tune it out? I'm just trying to understand.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yeah, I know. I'm trying to check my feelings about it.

MR. MOORE: I understand.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'm going to say that it would have to be proved to me beyond a shadow of a doubt for me to say quilty. Is that what you're asking?

MR. MOORE: Would the defense have to be a part of proving something in the guilt or innocence phase of the -- the first part of the trial, where you're trying to decide guilt or innocence of the crimes

charged, would you be looking to the defense to prove innocence? Or would you put the entire burden on the State and not be influenced in any way by what you heard outside the courtroom? Sometimes you just can't be absolutely sure about the answer to the question; and if that's the case, then that's your answer.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'm not sure.

MR. MOORE: I'm sorry?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'm not sure.

MR. MOORE: Let me ask about the death penalty for a minute. Do you belong to a church?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No.

MR. MOORE: Do you -- in response to the Court's question about the death penalty, you said you're for it, which tells me that you had that opinion before you came into the courtroom.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Oh, yes.

MR. MOORE: And have had that for some time.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And how long would you say you've held that opinion?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Most of my adult life.

MR. MOORE: On a scale from 1 to 10, 10 being the strongest support for the death penalty, and 0 being against it, what number would you give yourself?

JUROR NUMBER 29: 9.

MR. MOORE: Are there certain types of first degree murders where they are, in your mind, set apart and especially deserving of the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Oh, that's a tough one.

MR. MOORE: Well, I'll give an example, involving kids, for example.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Oh, definitely. A child or --

MR. MOORE: Here I ask a question and I answer it, so you -- what your answer is. That would be an example?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Pretty much anybody, I think --

MR. MOORE: Sir?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Pretty much anyone in a murder situation would.

MR. MOORE: Does that mean that any time there's a first degree murder that, in your opinion, right off the bat, as far as you're concerned, death is the appropriate sentence?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No. You know, it's just a hard one for me to say.

MR. MOORE: Well, let me put this in context, we're not talking about a process in the abstract, you know. I'm sure I don't need to point it out, but I'm going to, that we're talking about a process that

could lead to that man (indicating) being sentenced to death, that man (indicating) being put to death. 2 3 it's a little easier, I think, out there, just talking about it with friends and talking about the death 4 5 penalty and, yeah, I could do that, I believe in it. 6 But when you're talking about a real, live, breathing 7 person, then it is hard, it's tough. So are there types of first degree murders that, in your mind, 8 9 cannot be mitigated?

> JUROR NUMBER 29: Yeah.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MOORE: What would be some --

JUROR NUMBER 29: The seriousness, or the heinousness of the crime. I would say the heinousness of the crime, the seriousness of the crime, the intent, I guess. You know, it's just hard to say.

MR. MOORE: Well, in a premeditated first degree murder, the intent is there. There's also a felony murder also as well, both punishable by death. intent is -- are there -- let me ask it like this: Are there -- would you say that most murders, for you -- and we're just discussing, but, for you, is it the case that most murders would not be mitigated in your mind, there's no mitigation for most murders, that most of them should be punishable by death?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: All right. And so when we talk about this process, where -- let's say you're part of the jury, the jury's found guilt of first degree murder, then you go to the second part and you look for aggravating circumstances, you look for mitigating circumstances; are you saying that that is a process that, in your heart of hearts, you really can't do? Because you believe -- and there's no right or wrong here -- that most first degree murders should be punishable by death. Tough questions.

JUROR NUMBER 29: That's a tough one to answer.

MR. MOORE: Yes, sir.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'd say the -- it'd be a tough call to make not to recommend it (unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: Which is what?

JUROR NUMBER 29: (Unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: Would you -- as we sit here now, from what you know, which is limited to what you've seen on TV, do you think it would be difficult, if not impossible, for you -- if Mr. Bradley were found guilty of first degree murder, would it be difficult, if not impossible, for you to vote for life without parole?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No, it would not be impossible.

MR. MOORE: All right. Would you -- let's talk

about -- do you understand life without parole means life without parole?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: It means no early out, no good time, no gain time, no early release, you die in prison.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Right.

MR. MOORE: Do you accept that?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you understand when the Court instructs you that she gives great weight to the jury's sentencing recommendation, that means that she cannot take the next step, she cannot move to sentencing without the recommendation of the jury, she can't just sentence him herself. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you understand the importance of that recommendation to her in guiding her in sentencing?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes, sir.

MR. MOORE: Let me ask about specific potential mitigating circumstances. Have you known anybody by acquaintance or friendship or a relationship, related, family, who has suffered from a mental illness?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No.

1 MR. MOORE: What is your opinion of the mental health profession, psychologists, psychiatrists, what 2 3 they do, whether they help people or not? JUROR NUMBER 29: Oh, they help people. 4 5 MR. MOORE: Do you think the psychologist or psychiatrist provides a legitimate service? 6 7 JUROR NUMBER 29: To some people, yes. 8 MR. MOORE: If you were provided with evidence 9 and testimony of mental illness, is that something 10 that you would consider, be open to considering, or 11 not, as potentially mitigating? 12 JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes. 13 MR. MOORE: Sir? JUROR NUMBER 29: 14 Yes. 15 MR. MOORE: How about brain injury, brain damage, is that evidence, if offered to you, that you would be 16 17 open to considering as potential mitigating 18 circumstances? 19 JUROR NUMBER 29: I guess I could. I mean, I've 20 never really considered it. It's time to give it some thought. 21 MR. MOORE: 22 JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes. 23 MR. MOORE: You could? JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes. 24 25 MR. MOORE: How about drug addiction or drug

abuse? First of all, do you think --1 JUROR NUMBER 29: No. 2 3 MR. MOORE: Sir? JUROR NUMBER 29: No. 4 MR. MOORE: Do you think drug addiction is a 5 6 choice? Initially, yes. JUROR NUMBER 29: MR. MOORE: Starting to use drugs is a choice, 8 certainly; but how about a person who becomes 9 addicted, once that person's addicted, do you believe 10 that person can choose to be addicted, or not be 11 addicted? 12 JUROR NUMBER 29: No, they can't. 13 MR. MOORE: You recognize that some people 14 struggle with drug addiction and drug abuse? 15 JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes. 16 MR. MOORE: Do you feel that if evidence was 17 offered to you of drug abuse or drug addiction that 18 you would be open to considering that as a mitigating 19 circumstance, or would not? 20 JUROR NUMBER 29: I would have a hard time 21 considering that as a mitigating circumstance. 22 MR. MOORE: If evidence were presented to you of 23 physical or emotional abuse, is that something that 24

you potentially could consider as being a mitigating

25

## circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I suppose I could. I mean, it's --

MR. MOORE: Do you understand that -- well, let me ask a couple more questions about the potential mitigating circumstances. Let me ask you this: If the judge read this instruction to you, would you consider this, potentially, as a mitigating circumstance, and the instruction is, "the capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional distress."

Is that something you would be open to considering as potentially mitigating? Want me to read it again?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No. I'm just trying to -- I guess it would be. It would have to be.

MR. MOORE: Sir?

JUROR NUMBER 29: It would have to be if I'm instructed to consider it.

MR. MOORE: Could you? Would you?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes, I could.

MR. MOORE: How about this instruction, is this something you could consider as potentially mitigating, "the capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his conduct or to conform his conduct to the requirements of the law is

I would.

substantially impaired." Is that something you could consider as a mitigating circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Depends on the impairment. I mean --

MR. MOORE: Just as a general --

JUROR NUMBER 29: If it's self-induced, then I would say no. I mean, I really don't know.

MR. MOORE: Would you -- as to drug abuse and drug addiction, is that something you would consider an aggravating factor; in other words, going against Mr. Bradley, a factor that maybe suggests that the death penalty is more appropriate?

JUROR NUMBER 29: No. I just find that it's -to me, drug addiction's not an excuse. That's all I
can say.

MR. MOORE: How about the other things, are those -- in your mind, are those excuses, or do you consider them to be explanations of --

JUROR NUMBER 29: They would carry more weight than addiction, to me.

MR. MOORE: So the other items where you indicated that you could consider as mitigating, that's what you meant, you're not saying you would consider them as excuses, you would consider them as potentially mitigating.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes, sir.

MR. MOORE: You understand that there are -- and you may not, I'll just explain it -- a limited number of aggravating circumstances, which the Court will read to you, and that those are the only aggravating circumstances you can consider. Do you understand that? Do you accept that?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: As for mitigating circumstances, there is no limit to those. Anything to do with the defendant, his background, circumstances of the case, even matters that the jury thinks of that the lawyers didn't think of, whatever you consider mitigating, there's no limit to mitigating circumstances. Do you accept that, that there are no limit to the mitigating circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you accept that there are different burdens of proof for the two? For the aggravating circumstances, the burden of proof is beyond a reasonable doubt.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And as to the mitigating circumstances, it's to the greater weight of the evidence, or that you're reasonably convinced. It's

not a reasonable doubt, it's just, I see that, I find that.

JUROR NUMBER 29: It's more of a subjective decision.

MR. MOORE: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I mean, is that what you're trying to tell me?

MR. MOORE: Well, what I'm saying is that the burden of proof is the greater weight of the evidence, or reasonably convinced, that's the standard. So you accept that the mitigating circumstances are proved by a lesser burden?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Do you understand that regardless of what you determine to be the outcome of this weighing process of aggravating and mitigating circumstances, you're never required to vote for death?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: The State can prove all the aggravating circumstances in the book, and the defense not prove any mitigating circumstances, and you're never required to vote for death.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes, I understand that.

MR. MOORE: You understand that -- well, sitting

at the guilt or innocence phase, where you're trying to decide the first part of the trial, guilt or not, of the crimes charged, the jury's verdict has to be unanimous.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: The second part, assuming a finding of guilt for first degree murder, the penalty part, each juror is entitled to his or her own vote, and it does not have to be unanimous. Do you understand that and accept that?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Do you understand that you have the right to your vote, whatever it is, and have that vote respected?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And then, likewise, everybody else in the jury has a right to their vote and to have their vote respected and accepted.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: All right. And that you have the right not to have people try to intimidate you or to change your mind, you have the right to stick with whatever you believe the correct vote is; and then you owe that courtesy to the other members of the jury.

Do you accept that?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes, I do.

MR. MOORE: When the judge was discussing mitigating -- the death penalty with you, you said that mitigating factors bothered you, and I'd like to know what you meant by that.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Well, certain mitigating factors, like --

MR. MOORE: You said upbringing.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Upbringing, childhood, drugs, you know, I --

MR. MOORE: Well, what do you mean by upbringing?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Well, I mean --

MR. MOORE: I don't know what mean, that's why I'm asking. You used the word.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Well, your upbringing, how you were raised, how you were -- I guess childhood influences, I don't know.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Is that something that you would not consider as mitigating? I know it depends on what those factors are.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Depends what those circumstances are, I guess.

MR. MOORE: Sure. I mean, using that example of mitigating circumstances bothering you, you mentioned upbringing, so I'm just trying to get out what you

meant by that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13 1.4

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 29: Well, you know, the environment you were raised in. We can all overcome our environments, I quess is what I'm trying to say.

MR. MOORE: Do you believe that's true of everyone?

JUROR NUMBER 29: I'd like to say that's true of everyone.

MR. MOORE: Do you accept that people are a product of their environments, which would include upbringing, that the --

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: -- situations in which they're raised have an influence in how a person turns out? JUROR NUMBER 29: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you agree that there are certain factors like that over which a person has no control which could affect the way a person turns out?

JUROR NUMBER 29: To a point.

Thank you, sir. No more questions. MR. MOORE:

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 29, I'm going to excuse you from service for today, you are still being considered as part of this jury pool. I'm going to have you go downstairs, talk to them, they're going to give you a phone number, and they're going to have you

call back on Wednesday between 1:00 and 5:00. They're going to give you that information downstairs. that time, we will give you further information as to when you will be expected to come back. That will either be Thursday, Friday, or possibly next week. If it is next week, it won't be Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday because we don't have court on Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday. During this break for you, you do need to continue to abide by your rules governing your service as a juror; specifically, do not discuss this case with anyone, do not -- avoid reading newspaper headlines, articles, or anything about this case or its participants, don't watch the TV, radio, or Internet comments, and do not conduct any independent research. Now, you can tell people that you are going to the Brevard County Courthouse, what time you're expected to be here, but you cannot talk about what case that you're here for or the specifics of the case. Okay. Sir, at this time you'll be released from the courtroom, and you can go downstairs.

JUROR NUMBER 29: One thing though --

THE COURT: Yes?

JUROR NUMBER 29: Yesterday I didn't think it'd be a problem, and I'm not sure if it is, but I'm

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

currently -- I am trying to work and get my FAA medical certificate back, I fly for a living.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 29: I got a letter 10 days ago, and I'm trying to get some medical tests done, and I've only got 60 days to reply. I've got tests scheduled for next week Monday -- I'm trying to get them rescheduled for Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday next week.

THE COURT: Yes. Do that.

JUROR NUMBER 29: But if I don't, then I've only got -- like I said, I've only got until April 5th to respond, or they'll deny my medical.

THE COURT: Try to get -- there's other dates too.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Beg your pardon?

THE COURT: There's Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday. There's also March the 24th and the 25th; and then this trial, if it goes -- perhaps we'll be done by March 28th. I'm not sure of that.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Okay. Well, I'm trying to get it worked out.

THE COURT: Okay. Try to do Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday of next week, and the 24th and the 25th.

JUROR NUMBER 29: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. If that doesn't happen, then

Is

1 let us know. 2 JUROR NUMBER 29: Okay. Thank you, sir. 3 THE COURT: (Thereupon, Juror Number 29 was escorted out of 4 5 the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:) 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a few minutes break. We'll take a 10-minute break. 8 9 we'll be in recess for 10 minutes. Thank you. (Thereupon, a recess was taken in the 10 11 proceedings.) Okay. We can bring out Mr. Bradley. 12 THE COURT: (Thereupon, the defendant was escorted into the 13 14 courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were 15 had as follows:) Okay. We can go on the record. 16 THE COURT: 17 there any preliminary matters we need to address before I bring in the next numbered juror? 18 MR. BROWN: Not from the State, Your Honor. 19 20 MR. MOORE: No. Okay. We can go ahead and bring in 21 THE COURT: 22 Number 30. (Thereupon, Juror Number 30 was escorted into the 23 courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were 24 25 had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Number 30, good afternoon. 1 2 JUROR NUMBER 30: Good afternoon. Can I say 3 something before we get started? 4 THE COURT: Yes, you may. 5 JUROR NUMBER 30: I found out yesterday afternoon after we were dismissed that my job is not paying me 6 7 my salary or my pay, so five weeks is a long time for me to go without a paycheck. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. JUROR NUMBER 30: I'd like to be excused. 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. You say you found that out 12 yesterday that you weren't going to get paid if you 13 were a member of the jury. 14 JUROR NUMBER 30: Right. 15 THE COURT: And where are you employed? JUROR NUMBER 30: BJG Electronics. 16 17 THE COURT: And is that a full-time job for you? JUROR NUMBER 30: 18 Yes. THE COURT: And is that your only employment? 19 JUROR NUMBER 30: 20 Yes. THE COURT: And you're saying if you were here 21 for five weeks you would not get paid. 22 23 JUROR NUMBER 30: Right. THE COURT: And how would that affect you? 24

JUROR NUMBER 30: Well, I wouldn't be able to

25

make my mortgage payment, pay any of my bills.

2

THE COURT: So you're saying it would be a financial hardship for you.

3

JUROR NUMBER 30: Yes, ma'am.

5

about being here and the possibility of not being able

THE COURT: Okay. Would that -- would thinking

7

6

to meet your financial obligations, would that affect

8

your ability to serve?

JUROR NUMBER 30: Absolutely.

9

THE COURT: Okay. And how would that affect your

11

10

ability to serve?

12

ex-husband stopped paying me my alimony, when he found

JUROR NUMBER 30: Well, eight months ago my

1314

out he had pancreatic cancer. I put my house up for

15

sale because I couldn't afford to keep it without my

16

alimony. So what I've done the past seven, eight

17

months is use all my savings to make my payments, and

18

live on the salary that I'm making. My house is up

19

for sale, I thought I had a closed sale, but it fell

20

through because of something that was going on with

21

their previous credit, so it's back on the market. In

22

23

consuming, just thinking about where I'm going to go,

24

how much am I going to have to live on, and so forth.

\_ .

My ex passed away on Sunday, so there's no chance

order for me to sell it, I mean, it's been very

25

there of anything happening, as far as any back alimony or anything. So financial stress right now has been pretty severe for me.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MOORE: Stipulate.

MR. BROWN: Agreed.

THE COURT: Okay. Number 30, at this time I will excuse you from your service on this panel. I'm going to ask you to go downstairs and talk to -- report to the jury assembly room. They'll give you some short information, and tell them that you've been released from Judge Reinman's courtroom. Okay?

JUROR NUMBER 30: Okay. Thank you very much.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 30 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Just for the record, Number 30 has been released due to hardship. Okay. We can bring in Number 34.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 34 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Number 34, first of all, I want to thank you for being here, and thank you for your patience with this process. When we recessed the

other day, I gave some instructions about your rules for service on this jury, and I need to just follow up on some of those instructions; and this pertains to since I gave you these instructions. Have you been exposed to reading newspaper headlines and/or articles related to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 34: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: And has anyone -- have you seen or heard television, radio, or Internet comments about the trial?

JUROR NUMBER 34: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: And have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters concerning this case?

JUROR NUMBER 34: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: And have you discussed this case with other potential jurors, or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 34: I'm a little unclear about that. I did have to tell someone, my ex-wife, because we have kids that we had to get to and from -- that I was -- but I didn't talk about the case.

THE COURT: Okay. You are allowed --

JUROR NUMBER 34: Just that I wasn't going to be able to help -- my daughter takes dance, and I said I

wouldn't be able to help because I knew today would -I knew I couldn't yesterday or today.

THE COURT: Just so you know, and I'll just clarify that for you, you're allowed to say that you're here, and what times you expect to be here, you just can't talk about what case or the nature of the charges or the nature of the case.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Okay.

THE COURT: Or anything that happens with regard to the case. Okay. I'm going to ask you a general question, and then I'm going to talk to you a little bit, and then the State will have an opportunity to speak with you, and the defense will have an opportunity to speak with you. What are your general views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 34: My general views are that I support the death penalty; but being perfectly honest with myself, I don't know if I could be comfortable with my decision to recommend that.

THE COURT: Okay. You said, "I support the death penalty, but to be perfectly honest," what was the last part of that?

JUROR NUMBER 34: If it was in my hands, and I had to be the one recommending the death penalty, I would struggle with that. I would have to look long

2

3

5 6

7

9

11 12

10

14

13

15 16

17

1819

20

21

22

23

24

25

and hard and decide if that is something I could really bring myself to do.

THE COURT: Okay. It's easy to talk about the death penalty when you're somewhere else ---

JUROR NUMBER 34: Right.

THE COURT: -- but it's hard when you have to really talk about it as being a possibility. Would you agree with that?

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yes, ma'am.

Okay. I'm going to talk to you a THE COURT: little bit about the process. There's two phases to a trial, the guilt phase and the penalty phase. quilt phase, that's the first part of the trial, in the event there is a guilty verdict by the jury as to count one, that would be the premeditated first degree murder charge, if there is a guilty verdict to that count, then we would proceed into the second phase of the trial, and that is the penalty phase. penalty phase, the jury would be asked to make a recommendation as to a penalty, and that would be a recommendation as to death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. That would be the -- what you would be requested to do, is to make a recommendation to the Court. So if I instructed you that you were to consider the death penalty and to

consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a penalty, would you be able to follow my instruction and consider both penalties?

JUROR NUMBER 34: I believe so. Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to just help you a little bit with that, whenever you say, "I believe," or "I think," you're going to get more questions from the State and the defense. People say "I believe" and "I think" as a matter of speaking, but they're going to ask you to define that more. Sometimes people say it just because it's a form of speech; other times, people say it because they're not sure. There's no right or wrong answers here this afternoon. All we ask you to do is to be candor, and tell us what you think. So having said that, would you be able to follow my instructions with regard to a recommendation and consider both possible penalties?

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you about your knowledge of the case. Do you know anything about this case -- and this would be prior to the other day -- either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussion with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspapers?

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yes.

2

THE COURT: Okay. What information do you

3

believe that you know about the case?

4 5

some of the opinions on the person that is responsible

JUROR NUMBER 34: I know about what happened and

6

for the actions.

7

THE COURT: Okay. Can you -- tell me what you

8

believe you know.

JUROR NUMBER 34: I believe that I know that a 9

10

police officer was murdered and an individual was

THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that

sometimes the news media is not always accurate in

11

picked up for that crime; and by what they were

12

presenting on TV, that that person was caught

13

(unintelligible).

their reporting?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 34: I do.

THE COURT: Okay. And how did you gain this

information?

This is going back a long time. JUROR NUMBER 34:

I haven't really kept up with this case particularly

as of recent, other than it was brought to my

attention when I knew I had jury duty, before I knew

what I was coming out for, they said, oh, do you know

that they're picking this trial this week?

no, I didn't. And I honestly believed, what are the chances that I would even be paneled for it, because I've come to jury duty quite often, it seems like I'm in the system, and usually I come here and --

THE COURT: It seems like once your name -- you get called once, you get called more than once.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Right. Yes. And then I come down here, I sit down in the room downstairs until about 2:00, and then they say, thank you for your service; and that's how it's been.

THE COURT: So you said you heard something near the time of the event?

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yes.

THE COURT: And then you heard something the other day.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yes.

THE COURT: Where would you have gained the information about what you heard at the time of the event?

JUROR NUMBER 34: It would have been on television.

THE COURT: And what about the other day, you were discussing that -- it sounds like you were discussing that with someone; is that --

JUROR NUMBER 34: No. I wasn't particularly

discussing it with anybody, I -- I knew that I had jury duty, and then this person brought to my attention that this trial was up for jury panel. And I never really gave it a second thought, because I figured there wasn't really a chance.

THE COURT: Okay. So what you would be asked to do is to set aside anything you may have learned about this case, serve with an open mind, and reach a verdict based only on the law and the evidence presented at the trial. Can you do that?

JUROR NUMBER 34: I don't know.

THE COURT: Okay. What are your concerns? There's no right or wrong answers.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Just things I've heard about it; and, quite honestly, they went away in my memory, but now that it's here, and this is happening, it's kind of coming back up about what I had heard and thought at the time.

THE COURT: Okay. Tell me what you believe you heard and what's causing you concern.

JUROR NUMBER 34: As I said before, when I saw the coverage and, you know, some people that were talking about it and how it had happened; and I thought, you know, what was presented was accurate.

THE COURT: Okay. And if I tell you that as a

juror, I'll give you instructions, and one of the instructions is that you've got to -- even though you've heard things, even though you have some information about that, can you kind of set that aside, start with a clean slate, so to speak, and base your decision on this case only on the witnesses that are called from the witness stand, the evidence that's introduced at the trial, and the law as I instruct you. That's what you are requested to do, can you do that? Or will you say, I have these ideas in my head, and I'm not going to be able to get those out of my head for purposes of making a decision?

JUROR NUMBER 34: I would hope that I would be able to look at the evidence and decide.

THE COURT: Okay. When you say, "I hope I could," you're going to have to help us a little bit more by saying, "I can do that," or "Judge, I just can't set that aside for purposes of this trial and do that."

JUROR NUMBER 34: I can do that.

THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the State.

MR. BROWN: Juror Number 34, good afternoon. Let me pick up on the issue of what you heard previously. None of us expect, necessarily, people to come in here not having heard anything about a case that there's

been a lot of publicity. But the issue is, can you set that aside and base your verdict totally on the facts and evidence that you hear in this courtroom and from Her Honor's instructions.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Now, you hesitated there a few other times. Do you have a doubt -- do you have a question about your ability to do that?

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yes. And, quite honestly, I have a question about if I were to be on the jury, this being five weeks, if it were to come down to that. I have a disability, and today was not the best of days.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Yesterday was fine, so it's kind of --

MR. BROWN: Can you give us a little detail on your medical situation?

JUROR NUMBER 34: I have multiple sclerosis.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 34: I have some really good days, there's others that are not so good.

MR. BROWN: Okay. I apologize for my ignorance as far as the disease and what happens, but on a bad day, what is your situation?

25 the -

JUROR NUMBER 34: It's very chronic fatigue, I have pain on the left side of my body in general. Like today, one of the things, if you were to ask me what (unintelligible) could we make, yesterday I went to the bathroom one time, today I've gone six times. So it kind of comes and goes. And if it's, you know --

MR. BROWN: Is the pain -- can it get to a level where it's going to distract you and affect your ability to sit as a juror for what could be a five week trial? Between the pain, the fatigue, the other symptoms that you have.

JUROR NUMBER 34: The fatigue would be the worst part. I've had this disease since -- I found out in 2005, and it'll never be cured. I'm trying to live with it the best I can.

MR. MOORE: Judge, can we approach?

THE COURT: Yes, you may.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had before the Court, out of the hearing of other parties in the courtroom as follows:)

MR. MOORE: I do not object for a cause challenge.

THE COURT: Okay. I assume the State's making the --

MR. BROWN: Yes. I think, clearly -- I think, first, certainly, his medical situation would --

MR. MOORE: I agree.

MR. BROWN: But also his knowledge of the case, I think, is --

THE COURT: Okay. Then Number 34 will for struck for hardship.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, at this time I am going to release you from service on this jury. What I'm going to have you do is, go downstairs, tell them that you have been released from Judge Reinman's courtroom, and they'll talk to you briefly and send you on your way.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Okay. Where downstairs do -THE COURT: I'm sorry. Report to the jury
assembly room, where you reported to this morning.

JUROR NUMBER 34: Okay.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 34 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy; thereafter, Juror Number 36 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 36, good

afternoon. First of all, I want to thank you for being, and I want to thank you for your patience with regard to this process. The other day when we recessed, I announced some rules that govern your service as a juror, and I'm just going to go over those at this time. Have you — and this would be since I announced the rules — have you read or been exposed to reading newspaper headlines and/or articles relating to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 36: No, I have not.

THE COURT: Have seen or heard television, radio, or Internet comments about this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 36: No, I have not.

THE COURT: Have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters concerning this case?

JUROR NUMBER 36: No.

THE COURT: And have you discussed this case with other potential juror or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 36: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, I'm going to ask you some questions, and then the State's going to have an opportunity to ask some questions, and then the defense is going to have an opportunity to ask some

questions. My first question is kind of a general question, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Depending on the crime, depending on the evidence, it could be applicable, it could not be.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're not against the death penalty, but you don't have any -- but you're not opposed to the death penalty.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Okay. I agree.

THE COURT: Would you agree with that?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I would agree with that.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me tell you a little bit about how this trial -- what's going to happen in this trial. There's going to be two phases of the trial, the guilt phase, which is the beginning part, and then, if we get to it, the second phase, which is what we call the penalty phase. In the first phase, the guilt phase, in the event the jury returns a verdict of guilty on count one, which is the premeditated murder of the first degree, then, in that event, we would proceed to the penalty phase. In the penalty phase, you would be asked, as a juror, to make a recommendation to the Court as to the penalty, and your choices are the death penalty, or the penalty of life in prison without the possibility of parole. If

you were to -- if you were a juror in this case and we were to get to the penalty phase, would you follow my instructions and consider both penalties?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, I would.

THE COURT: And are you of the opinion that death is the only appropriate penalty for murder in the first degree, and is that opinion so strong that you would not consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as a penalty under any circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I don't know anything about the law, but I think if you gave the jury the instructions, you would tell us if there would have to be certain criteria that would have to be met for it to fall under either the death penalty or life, and I would follow those instructions.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to ask you about your knowledge of the case. Do you know anything about this case, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussion with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspaper?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I remember hearing back a couple years ago when it happened.

THE COURT: Okay. So you heard something about

it at the time of the event?

2

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes.

3

THE COURT: And what -- can you tell me what you believe you know about the case?

5

JUROR NUMBER 36: Just that a police officer was

6

shot. She went to a call, and she was shot.

7

Basically, that was it. I don't really read the

8

newspaper or watch TV.

9

THE COURT: Okay. So where would you have gained

10

that information?

11

JUROR NUMBER 36: Well, that was on the news. I

12

mean, I did -- I do watch the news once in a while.

13

THE COURT: Okay. So what you would be

14

instructed to do, if you were chosen as a juror for

15

this case, is to set aside anything that you may have

16

learned about this case, serve with an open mind, and

17

reach a verdict based only on the law and the evidence

18

presented at this trial.

sir. Questions by the State.

JUROR NUMBER 36: I believe I could do that.

20

19

THE COURT: You could do that. Okay. Thank you,

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Juror Number 36, good afternoon. Sir, let me go over, kind of, the process with you in general terms, and how a juror gets to the point he can consider the

recommendation of life or death. I know the Court covered this yesterday, but that was yesterday, and you've had a lot of time in between and there were a number of things covered yesterday. Obviously, the first step is that the jury would need to return a verdict for first degree murder, guilty of first degree murder. If it's a not guilty verdict, then we're not concerned -- we're not going to proceed to any sentencing; and if it's a conviction for something lesser, such as second degree murder or another charge, then the death penalty's off the table, and it's solely to the Court for sentencing. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

MR. BROWN: Now, if the jury comes back with a first degree murder conviction, then we would reconvene, additional evidence is put forward, and then the judge will give you the instructions to tell you how to evaluate and what to look at. The first thing the judge is going to start with is what are called aggravating circumstances, or aggravating factors. They come from the case, itself, and various things, but the Court will give you a list of maybe as few as one, likely will be more than one aggravating factor, and it's to those factors you're to look to,

to determine whether or not a death penalty is justified in the case. You understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

MR. BROWN: Now, the State of Florida, we have to prove those to you beyond any reasonable doubt. If we prove one, or more than one, then you look at whatever ones we've proven, it may be just one, or all of the ones listed, you look at those and ask yourself, do these justify the death penalty? The Court's not going to tell you that if you find aggravator number one and three and four, that you give the death penalty. She's going to say, these are the factors you can look to that can justify, in your mind, the death penalty. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

MR. BROWN: So if you look at the aggravating factors, and you say, these don't justify the death penalty, then you make a life recommendation. But if you look at those and you say, these factors justify the death penalty, then you move on to step two in the analysis, that's where you look at what's called the mitigating factors, the mitigating circumstances or evidence. As the Court told you yesterday, that's the evidence that's concerning the defendant, his background, things about him. Those have to be proven

to you as well, though it's a lower burden of proof, it's to the greater weight of the evidence. So if evidence is presented, you find -- concerning mitigation, you say, that's not proven to me, you disregard it. Evidence that they present that you find is proven, then you are to consider it. You look at all the aggravators that are proven, you look at any of the mitigation evidence that's been proven, and you consider it all. You go through what the Court's going to tell you is a weighing process. It's like when you have to make a decision throughout your life, faced with a major decision, you look at all the factors that are involved in that decision, right?

MR. BROWN: Some of those factors you look at and say, this is a very important factor, this is key and critical, I give this factor great weight; other factors you look at and say, I don't consider this too important, I'm going to give it very little weight. You still look at everything, you consider everything, but you weigh and say, great weight, most important, least important, little weight. You kind of go through that process. Do you understand that?

Right.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

JUROR NUMBER 36:

MR. BROWN: So you consider everything, you

to give to anything. So then you take the aggravators, then you take that mitigation, those mitigating factors, and you ask yourself, does the mitigation outweigh the aggravating factors here? If your answer is that it outweighs it, then you would recommend life in prison. If it does not outweigh the aggravators, now you've gotten to a situation where you can legally recommend to the Court that the Court impose the death penalty. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

determine the weight. No one can tell you what weight

MR. BROWN: The Court's going to tell you that you are never obligated, even at that point, you're not required to recommend the death penalty. She's not going to say, if you find A, B, and C, you must recommend the death penalty. But if you find that the aggravators exist, they justify the death penalty, the mitigation doesn't outweigh those aggravators, then if you feel the death penalty is justified, that's when you recommend it to the Court. Do you understand the process?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

MR. BROWN: Given that process, can you recommend the death penalty to the Court if you feel it's justified?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

MR. BROWN: So my question is, with that fact, sitting back and saying, you know, if I just go down to second, I can avoid having that pressure, having to

MR. BROWN: Is there anything in your background, philosophical beliefs, moral beliefs, religious beliefs, or family background that causes you any concern or hesitation of inability to be able to make

JUROR NUMBER 36: No, sir.

that type of a recommendation?

MR. BROWN: How do you feel about being asked to sit on a jury where you may have to make that type of a recommendation?

JUROR NUMBER 36: (Unintelligible). You never know.

MR. BROWN: You feel confident in your ability to do it?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I do.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me ask about one other topic. Obviously, you go back to the guilt phase, to deliberate and return a verdict, if you return a verdict that's less than first degree murder, such as second degree murder, you would avoid having to make that life or death recommendation.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Okay.

make that decision, would that affect your deliberations at all?

JUROR NUMBER 36: No, I don't think so.

MR. BROWN: You would return the verdict that the evidence tells you to return?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I would do my best, yes.

MR. BROWN: If that was -- if the evidence convinced you of first degree murder, you would return it for first, not go second to simply avoid having to make that decision?

JUROR NUMBER 36: That's correct.

MR. BROWN: Thank you. No further questions, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Questions by the defense.

MR. MOORE: Yes. You were asked if you understood the various points you would not be asked -- you would not be required to return a vote for death, or however the question was put. Do you understand that at no point are you ever required to vote for death?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes.

MR. MOORE: It doesn't matter. They can present all the aggravating circumstances in the world, and no mitigating circumstances are presented, hypothetically, and you would never be required to

vote for death. Life without parole is always an option.

3

2

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes. Sure.

4

5

MR. MOORE: And life without parole means that the defendant sentenced to life without parole never gets out alive. Do you accept that? Do you

6

understand that?

8

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, I do.

9

MR. MOORE: There's no -- you've heard about good

10

time, gain time, early release, probation, parole, but

11

there's none of that with a life without parole

12

sentence. Do you accept that?

13

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes.

14

MR. MOORE: Now, you indicated that you are not

15

opposed to the death penalty, which is sort of a way

16

of saying are in favor of it in a way; and what are

17

the reasons why -- well, let me ask this, what are the

18

reasons why you're not opposed to the death penalty?

19

JUROR NUMBER 36: I believe in certain instances,

20

certain crimes, maybe a death penalty is warranted,

21

maybe a life sentence would be warranted, depending on

22

23

MR. MOORE: Okay. Can you think of certain types

24

of crimes that you would put in the death is warranted

25

category?

what the crime was.

JUROR NUMBER 36: I don't know, maybe premeditated murder maybe. I don't know.

MR. MOORE: Well, given this is a learning process for people sitting in that chair and you haven't had experience with this, people cannot be sentenced to death, death is not even on the table, unless the person has been found guilty of premeditated murder or felony murder.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Okay.

MR. MOORE: So that's a given. I mean, if you get to the point where you're deliberating what the sentence should be, death or life, then it's presumed that person's been found guilty of first degree murder. So can you -- you don't have to get into, you know, what you remember, but over the last couple of years, 10 years, 15 years there have been some very high profile cases where some people would say, well, that's a death case for sure.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Ted Bundy's the first thing that comes to mind.

MR. MOORE: There's an example. All right. Can you think of other examples where you would say, death would be -- I don't know anything about that case except what the charges are and some facts that I heard in the media, but I would say death is right on

the money, that would be an appropriate sentence for that case. Can you think of cases like that?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Not off the top of my head. I mean, maliciousness --

MR. MOORE: Multiple, serial murders, you mentioned Ted Bundy. Death of a child?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Absolutely.

MR. MOORE: How about the death of a police officer?

JUROR NUMBER 36: They're a human being just like anybody else.

MR. MOORE: They are indeed. That's not the issue for purposes of our discussion.

JUROR NUMBER 36: No, I understand that. I'm trying to answer your question. Just because it's a police officer doesn't mean it should be --

MR. MOORE: Automatic.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Right. They're just a human being like anybody else.

MR. MOORE: Can you think of -- all right. Let me just dwell a little bit on what aggravating circumstances are, what mitigating circumstances are. You sort of have a handle on them, it's been explained to you somewhat. You'll become much more familiar by the end of this process, by the end of this trial. An

aggravating circumstance is something the State has to prove before you can even consider the death penalty. If they don't prove that, that aggravating circumstance, then you can't consider -- you have to say no to death. Mitigating circumstances, on the other hand, it is possible if there were no mitigating circumstances proven, you could still vote for life, you're never required to vote for death.

JUROR NUMBER 36: I understand.

MR. MOORE: Okay. So an aggravating circumstance, look at it this way, is a circumstance which suggests that death might be the more appropriate sentence for first degree murder. Mitigating circumstances is a circumstance that suggests life without parole might be the more appropriate sentence. Follow me?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Can you think -- let's say, assume a conviction of guilt, first degree murder, can you think of circumstances which, in your mind, would mitigate a first degree murder and suggest a sentence of life as being the appropriate sentence?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Maybe if the police officer was maybe using undue force on somebody and the defendant decided it was self-defense. I mean, that would come

out in the evidence.

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

MR. MOORE: All right. What if -- do you believe that people who are mentally ill choose to be that way? Do you believe that choice is a factor in mental illness?

JUROR NUMBER 36: No, I don't believe it's a choice.

MR. MOORE: If you were offered evidence of mental illness, would those factors, those circumstances, be ones that you might consider as mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I quess I could.

MR. MOORE: You sound hesitant. Again, there's no right or wrong answer. I'm just trying to get at what your thoughts are on that. Are you open to that for sure, or not?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I'm open to the -- I guess I'd listen and make my decision on it.

MR. MOORE: Would you -- just as a proposition, just as a hypothetical, do you believe that mental illness, if evidence were presented of that, that that is something you could at least consider as a mitigating circumstance, or not?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I guess I could possibly consider it.

1 2 3 4 5 6 consider it, or, no, you wouldn't? 7 8 I could. 9 MR. MOORE: You couldn't? 10 11 12 it, or throw it out. 13 14 15 16 17 test called an MRI? JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes. 18 MR. MOORE: PET scan? 19 20 21 22 23 24 JUROR NUMBER 36: Potentially, yes. 25.

MR. MOORE: Okay. How about evidence of brain damage or brain injury, is that, if evidence were presented of that, something that you could consider, potentially, as a mitigating circumstance? JUROR NUMBER 36: I guess it sounds reasonable. MR. MOORE: Okay. So is that a, yes, you could JUROR NUMBER 36: Depending on what it was, yes, JUROR NUMBER 36: Like I -- I would either accept MR. MOORE: Would you be open to it, you wouldn't be, you know, I'm not going to listen to any of this. JUROR NUMBER 36: No, I'd listen to it. MR. MOORE: Have you ever heard of a neuroimaging JUROR NUMBER 36: I've heard the term, yes. MR. MOORE: If you were offered evidence of those tests performed, and the results of those offered and explained by experts, is that something you could consider, potentially, as a mitigating circumstance?

1 MR. MOORE: How about drug abuse and drug 2 addiction, is that something you could, or could not, consider, potentially, as a mitigating circumstance? 3 JUROR NUMBER 36: No, I'd probably have to throw 4 that one out. 5 MR. MOORE: Do you believe that people who are 6 drug addicted choose to be drug addicted? Is that a 7 choice? Is drug addiction a choice? 8 9 JUROR NUMBER 36: It starts out, yes. 10 MR. MOORE: Starting to use drugs would be a choice, but down the road when the person's addicted, 11 do you believe that people who find themselves in that 12 situation can choose not to be addicted? 13 JUROR NUMBER 36: They can choose to get help. 14 1.5 MR. MOORE: But do you see that some people struggle with drug addiction? 16 JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, I've lived with that, yes. 17 MR. MOORE: More than others? 18 JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes. 19 MR. MOORE: Tell me about that. 20 21 JUROR NUMBER 36: Well, I have a family member, so that's --22 MR. MOORE: Who has struggled with that? 23 JUROR NUMBER 36: Doesn't want any help. 24 MR. MOORE: All right. So as a hypothetical at

25

this point, you're saying that that is something that you could not consider, drug addiction or drug abuse?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I'd probably have to rule that out. Well, I consider (unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: What if you were presented with evidence of physical or emotional abuse? Is that something that you could, or could not, consider as a mitigating circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I could probably consider it.

MR. MOORE: Do you believe that people are the sum total of their life experiences? That people are influenced and shaped by their environments, by the experiences that they have in their lives, and that contributes to the person they turn out to be?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I believe that they're the person they chose to be, yes.

MR. MOORE: Well, what I'm asking about is, do you believe that there are influences and factors in life over which we have no control that can affect our lives, all of us, in ways that we perhaps don't have any control over?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes

MR. MOORE: So life experiences and life factors, are those matters that you could take into account, could take into consideration in determining for

0.4

yourself whether there are mitigating circumstances?

Depending on what they are, it's all hypothetical.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes.

MR. MOORE: You're open to that?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir.

MR. MOORE: If the Court read this instruction to you, is this something that you could or could not consider as a potential mitigating circumstance, "the capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional disturbance." Is that something that you could consider as a potentially mitigating circumstance? Want me to read it again?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Is that something to be considered, yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Another one is, "the capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his conduct or to perform his conduct to the requirements of the law was substantially impaired." Could you consider that as a potentially mitigating circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I don't know, that would be a tough one.

MR. MOORE: Sir?

JUROR NUMBER 36: That would be a tough one. I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

don't know if I could consider that one or not.

MR. MOORE: Now, in the guilt/innocence part of the trial, that is, the first part, that's where, here are the charges, here's the evidence, here's the law, and go back and decide whether there is guilt, and, if so, of what, or innocence, or not guilty. Whatever that verdict is, that verdict has to be unanimous. All members of the jury have to agree whether it's guilty or not guilty. If guilt is found of first degree murder and you go to the second part, which is the sentencing part of the trial, the issue is life without parole, or death. In that part of the trial, the recommendation to the Court does not have to be unanimous. Each juror has the right to vote what he or she feels is the appropriate vote. There's no requirement that you all agree in order to reach a verdict. Do you accept that?

JUROR NUMBER 36:

MR. MOORE: Do you accept that you have the right to have your vote and have your vote respected by other jurors?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Sure.

MR. MOORE: And that, likewise, they have the right to have their votes respected and not be browbeaten or intimidated in an attempt to get them to

1 conform or agree with the rest of the votes? 2 JUROR NUMBER 36: Absolutely. 3 MR. MOORE: And you can extend that courtesy to 4 them? 5 JUROR NUMBER 36: Yes, sir. 6 MR. MOORE: May I have a minute? 7 THE COURT: Yes, sir. 8 MR. MOORE: Okay. We're talking about 9 environmental factors, or factors beyond one's 10 control, what sort of factors can you think of in 11 one's life that a person might not have control of that might be beyond one's control? 12 13 JUROR NUMBER 36: Wow. Tough question. MR. MOORE: Well, the weather would be one, to 14 15 start with a ridiculous extreme. 16 JUROR NUMBER 36: I can't think of any. I'm 17 sorry. 18 MR. MOORE: But you do acknowledge that there are 19 aspects of one's life over which we have no control as to the effects that that has on us, or that they even 20 21 happen or not? 22 JUROR NUMBER 36: I don't know. I guess I've 23 always -- I was raised to believe that I'm in control 24 of my destiny.

MR. MOORE: Let me ask you this --

25

JUROR NUMBER 36: Life is just wonderful every day, and just --

MR. MOORE: Life is wonderful every day?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Sure it is. Sure it is.

MR. MOORE: That's great. I commend for your positive energies.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Thank you very much.

MR. MOORE: Let's just take hypothetically two identical twins, and they are separated at birth, and one is raised in an affluent home with everything that person has ever wanted or needed, and goes to Oxford on a Rhodes Scholar, and has a -- every day is wonderful. And then the other identical twin is abducted and taken to some third world country and is abused and has just the opposite life of neglect, abuse. Would you expect them to turn out the same way?

JUROR NUMBER 36: In their own worlds probably, yes.

MR. MOORE: No. But I'm talking about, you bring them back together after, let's say, 30 years.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Well, each one has its own space in the world. It's going to be two different spaces, so it's two different personalities.

MR. MOORE: Right. And what I'm getting at is

not so much their being optimistic about and accepting the worlds that they're brought up in, but effects of the worlds on them, and the end product. Would you, after 30 years of those separate upbringings, would you expect them to be identical in every respect even though genetically they are?

JUROR NUMBER 36: No, they wouldn't be.

MR. MOORE: And why would they, in your mind, not be?

JUROR NUMBER 36: One had a very easygoing life, and the other one had a tough life.

MR. MOORE: So you see the effects of which, perhaps, a person has no control and which would have a significant impact on that person's life?

JUROR NUMBER 36: I guess from that aspect I would understand that.

MR. MOORE: Where would you put yourself on a scale from 1 to 10 as far as the strength of your belief in the death penalty? Let's say a 10 is the strongest support you can have for the death penalty, and a 0 is that you're against it. What number would you give yourself?

JUROR NUMBER 36: 6, 7.

MR. MOORE: Is that an opinion that you've had, you think, before you stepped in this courtroom today?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Didn't think about it.

MR. MOORE: Many people don't. But, you know, your life when you get to be sort of our generation --

JUROR NUMBER 36: Based on cases I've heard, I mean -- don't ask me which ones they are -- cases I've heard that, you know, the jury found life in prison, and I can understand that. Then there's cases where they've recommended death, and I understand that. So each case has its own facts.

MR. MOORE: But have you -- and I would guess that you have at this point in your life -- just had discussions with people about the death penalty and whether we should have it or whether we should not, just generally speaking, those discussions that you've had. So you can say -- or can you say, before you stepped foot in the courtroom today, that you had an opinion about where you stood on the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Yeah. I think it has place.

MR. MOORE: I understand that.

JUROR NUMBER 36: That's my belief. Before I stepped in the courtroom.

MR. MOORE: Right. What I'm asking is, you had that belief before you came in here today?

JUROR NUMBER 36: Sure.

MR. MOORE: That's what I was asking.

JUROR NUMBER 36: Sure.

2

MR. MOORE: Thank you, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, at this time you're going to be released for today, but you are still a part of this panel. We won't need you tomorrow, and we won't need you -- we won't need you tomorrow. What we're going to have you do is, you're going to go downstairs to the jury assembly room, they're going to give you a phone number, you're going to call that phone number between 1:00 and 5:00 tomorrow, and they're going to give you further information about when you're going to report next. It may be Thursday, Friday, it may be next week, the week after that. But if it is next week, it will not be Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday. earliest it would start next week would be Thursday, and that's March the 6th. During this recess, you must continue to abide by your rules governing your service as a juror; specifically, do not discuss this case with anyone, do not speak to anyone about it, lawyers, parties, or witnesses, avoid reading newspaper headlines and articles about the trial or its participants, avoid hearing television, radio, or Internet comments about this trial, and do not conduct any research about the trial or its participants. Okay. At this time I'll release you until we see you

Thank you, sir. next.

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 trial --

(Thereupon, Juror Number 36 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy; thereafter, Juror Number 37 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 37, first thing I want to do is thank you for being here, and thank you for your patience with this process. When we recessed before, there were some rules that I discussed with you, and I want to make sure those rules have been followed. Have you -- and this is since I gave you this information. Have you read or been exposed to reading newspaper headlines or articles related to the trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 37: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Have you seen television, radio, or Internet comments about this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 37: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: Have you conducted any research regarding this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 37: No, ma'am.

THE COURT: And have you discussed the trial with any other potential jurors or anyone else?

JUROR NUMBER 37: As far as length of the

----

THE COURT: You can discuss about being here, that you're here, you're being considered for a jury, but you can't discuss what the case is or the circumstances of the case.

JUROR NUMBER 37: Okay. No, I did not.

THE COURT: Okay. First, I'm going to talk to you about some things, and then the State will have an opportunity to ask you questions and the defense will have an opportunity to ask you some questions. My first question is, a pretty general question, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 37: Well, I've been doing a lot of soul searching since -- the last couple days, and I always felt that I was against it. But under these circumstances, I'm not too sure how I feel about it. If I was -- I don't know, I don't know how I feel. I don't know if that answers the question or not.

THE COURT: Okay. So before --

JUROR NUMBER 37: I feel like even --

THE COURT: There's no right or wrong answers, so say however you feel.

JUROR NUMBER 37: I feel like it's up to the Lord to take care of him later, if it has to be this way.

That's the first time I've ever felt that way.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 37: Does that make sense to you?

THE COURT: Yeah. It's definitely different when you think about it as in the abstract, and then when you're called to be here, it can affect you in different ways.

JUROR NUMBER 37: Exactly.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to talk to you a little bit about the process, and I know you may know some of this, but I feel obligated to go through this, and then we'll -- they'll ask you some more questions related to that.

We have the guilt phase of the trial, which is the first part of the trial. If the jury returns a verdict of guilty on count one, and it's only count one, that is the premeditated first degree murder charge, then we would proceed into the second phase, and the second phase is the penalty phase.

JUROR NUMBER 37: I understand.

THE COURT: At that time, the jury would be asked to make a recommendation to the judge of the possible penalty of either death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. So are you opposed to the death penalty such that you would not consider it as a penalty under any circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 37: Under any circumstances? I

can't say that.

THE COURT: Okay. So if I instructed you that you are to consider the death penalty in this case as a possible penalty, would you be able to do that?

JUROR NUMBER 37: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, you talked about something about this case, so I assume you know something about this case, most people do. Do you know anything about the case, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussions with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspaper?

JUROR NUMBER 37: Of course.

THE COURT: Okay. And what information do you believe that you know about the case?

JUROR NUMBER 37: That there was a video that it happened, and I'm -- I don't think I'd make a very good juror because, I mean, I have followed this -- I work in the system, and I feel like -- I wanted to say this before when you were questioning, but I didn't want to have a mistrial.

THE COURT: Thank you for those concerns.

JUROR NUMBER 37: I feel like I would not be a good juror. I really -- I feel like he did it, and I've been in the system too long, I'm very jaded. I'm

part of -- I know all the police officers and -- I've worked in it too long, and I don't feel like I would make a good juror.

THE COURT: Okay. Let me just ask, I know you've been in the system, but the question becomes, and I'll have another question, can you set aside anything that you may have learned about this case, serve with an open mind, and reach a verdict based only on the evidence that you hear at the trial and the law as I instruct you?

JUROR NUMBER 37: No.

THE COURT: Okay. You think that you just --

JUROR NUMBER 37: I really do. I'm sorry. I feel really bad that I feel that way, but I have to be honest.

THE COURT: There's no right or wrong answers, and there's no reason to feel bad.

JUROR NUMBER 37: Well, I would hope I would be more open-minded, but I'm not in this case.

THE COURT: There's no right or wrong answers.

Now, I assume from what you're saying that you would

lean -- have more favoritism towards the prosecution.

JUROR NUMBER 37: A lot. Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. And you're saying that you can't -- you wouldn't be able to set that aside and be

fair and impartial to the defendant.

2

JUROR NUMBER 37: I don't think I could be.

3

THE COURT: Okay.

4

5

JUROR NUMBER 37: I just really -- I tried to convince myself I could, but I can't. It's been going

on too long, I know way too much, and I just couldn't.

6

THE COURT: Okay.

7

MR. BROWN: Stipulate.

9

THE COURT: Okay. Number 37, thank you for your

10

service. I am going to release you. I'm going to

11

have you go downstairs and report to the jury assembly

12

room; and, basically, they'll take your badge and send

13

you on your way. But you are released from service

14

for Judge Reinman's courtroom. Okay. Thank you.

15

JUROR NUMBER 37: Sorry.

16

THE COURT: That's okay. Have a good day.

17

(Thereupon, Juror Number 37 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings

1819

were had as follows:)

20

record because I need to say it at some point, Number

22

37 is released for cause. Now, if I'm right, that

23

brings me to Number 40, so we can bring in Number 40.

24

(Thereupon, Juror Number 40 was escorted into the

THE COURT: Okay. I'm just going to put on the

25

courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were

had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 40, thank you for being here, and thank you for your patience with regard to this process. When we recessed before, I gave some instructions, and I'm going to ask you about those instructions. And that means since I've given you those instructions. Have you read or been exposed to reading newspaper headlines and/or articles related to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 40: No.

THE COURT: Have you seen or heard television, radio, or Internet comments about this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 40: No.

THE COURT: Have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters concerning this case?

JUROR NUMBER 40: No.

THE COURT: And have you discussed this case with other potential jurors or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 40: No.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you some questions, then the State will have an opportunity to ask you some questions, and then the defense will have an opportunity to ask you some questions. My first

1.4

question is a pretty general question, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 40: My personal views?

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

JUROR NUMBER 40: I have strong feelings about it.

THE COURT: Do have strong feelings for the death penalty, or against the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 40: Against it.

THE COURT: Against the death penalty. So if people were to speak of it, they would say you're opposed to the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 40: I don't see what society will accomplish by doing so.

THE COURT: Okay. By having the death penalty, or carrying out the death penalty.

JUROR NUMBER 40: Right.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to tell you a little bit about the process, I'm going to come back and ask you some questions. We have two phases to the trial, we have the guilt phase and the penalty phase. In the guilt phase, which is the first part, what the jury is asked to do is to find the defendant either guilty or not guilty of certain charges. If the defendant is found guilty of count one, and that is the

premeditated first degree murder, if there is a guilty verdict as to count one, then we would move into the penalty phase, which would be the second part of the trial. In the penalty phase, the jury is asked to make a recommendation to the Court of a penalty. A possible penalty would be death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. Now, there's a process that you would go through in order to make that decision, and the Court gives you instructions on how to do that; but are you opposed to the death penalty such that you would not consider it as a penalty under any circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 40: I don't know how to answer that.

THE COURT: There's no right or wrong answers, but we just ask you to be truthful and offer candor to the Court, and that's the only job that you're asked to do here today.

JUROR NUMBER 40: Are you asking me, would I have -- would I be able to vote for the death penalty?

THE COURT: I'm asking you, if I instruct you that as part of your job as a juror that you are to consider death as a possible penalty, would you be able to consider it, death as a possible penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 40: I don't think I would be able

2

3

4

5

7

8

6

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

to consider it.

THE COURT: Okay. And so what I asked you before is, are you opposed to the death penalty such that you would not consider it as a penalty under any circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 40: I don't know (unintelligible).

THE COURT: Okay. Are there some circumstances that you think may justify the death penalty, or are there no circumstances that would justify the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 40: Honestly, I don't the justification for it. I see no circumstances that would justify the death penalty.

THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the State. Okay. Bench conference.

(Thereupon, a benchside conference was had before the Court, out of the hearing of any other parties present in the courtroom as follows:)

MR. BROWN: Sorry, Judge, we should have asked. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: That's okay. I'm just trying to make the record clear as to what we're doing.

MR. BROWN: At this time, based on his responses to the questions, the State would make a motion for cause.

MR. MOORE: I cannot oppose it.

2

3

due to cause.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. So Number 40 will be released

MR. MOORE: Judge, do you mind if I make an observation. You haven't touched those chocolates sitting in --

THE COURT: Oh, do you want one?

MR. MOORE: Oh, no, that's -- I'm just calling your bluff.

THE COURT: No, no, you know what, it'd be hard to eat chocolate in front of that camera that's standing in front of me, that's my only concern.

(Thereupon, the benchside conference was concluded and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 40, we are going to release you from service as a juror in this case. What I'm going to have you do is, go downstairs, report to the jury assembly room, they're just going to talk to you briefly, and tell them you've been released from Judge Reinman's courtroom, and they'll send you on your way. Thank you, sir, for being here. We appreciate it. We appreciate your candor. you.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 40 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy; thereafter, Juror

Number 42 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 42, first, I want to thank you for being here, and then I want to thank you for your patience with regard to this process.

When we broke the other day, I talked about some rules governing your service as a juror, so I'm going to go over that at this time. And these rules kind of pertain to when I issued the rule. Have you read anything about -- have you read or been exposed to any newspaper headlines and/or articles relating to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

THE COURT: Have you heard or seen television, radio, or Internet comments about this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

THE COURT: Have you conducted or been exposed to any research regarding any matters concerning this case?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

THE COURT: And have you discussed this case with any of the other potential jurors or with anyone else, or allowed anyone to discuss it in your presence?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Sir, what I'm going to do is

I'm going to ask you some questions, then the State will have an opportunity to ask you some questions, and then the defense will have an opportunity to ask you some questions. So the first question I'm going to ask you is pretty general, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I don't believe there's a case where every person is -- should have the death penalty, you know; and it's not one of those things that I feel it's a right that anyone should be put to death for just any crime that they've done.

THE COURT: If I were to instruct you that you had to consider that as a possible penalty, could you do that?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to tell you a little bit about how the trial works. We have the first part of the trial, which is the guilt phase, and in the event in the first part of the trial that the jury returns a verdict of guilty as to count one, count one is premeditated murder in the first degree, in the event there's a guilty verdict as to count one, then we move to the second phase of the trial, which would be the penalty phase. And in the penalty phase, the jury is instructed to make a recommendation to the

Court of possible penalties, and the penalty would be death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. So what I'm asking you to do, I'm asking if you can do it, and there's no right or wrong answers in here, could you consider both those possible penalties, death is one, the second one is life in prison without the possibility of parole, can you consider, and you'll be given some guidance about what -- how to consider those penalties, but could you give both penalties -- would you consider both penalties if you were selected to be on the jury?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any questions or concerns about that?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you know anything about this case, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussions with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspaper? I'm trying to throw everything in there.

JUROR NUMBER 42: Very minimal, just from when it happened; but, other than that, I didn't keep track of it. I didn't try to stay on top of it to know what was going on because I had to relations to the case

any whatsoever.

THE COURT: Okay. So you know some information about the case, mostly when it happened, at the time of the event. What information do you think you know about the case?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I just knew that there was a car chase and a fatality out of it. I didn't really get too in depth in any of it.

THE COURT: Okay. And anything else that you can think of? Any other information?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

THE COURT: And where would you have gotten that information?

JUROR NUMBER 42: It was on the news for that day.

THE COURT: Okay. And would that -- so the source would have been news on the television?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you think you could set aside anything that you may have learned about this case, serve with an open mind, and reach a verdict based only on the law and the evidence presented at the trial?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And questions or concerns

Juror Number

1

about your ability to do that?

2

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

3

THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the State.

5

4

42, good afternoon. When the Court was talking to you

6

about your opinions on the death penalty and your

views on it, I couldn't quite hear what you said

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

7

concerning something along the lines of, not sure, and

9

8

about a right to a death penalty.

10

JUROR NUMBER 42: There is no right to a death

11

penalty, as far as what I believe. I mean, if someone

12 13 is deserving of it, and that case -- where it's as far

as that's where the verdict would come out to, then

14

But I don't feel as if anyone is deserving of it

15

right off the bat.

16

MR. BROWN: So it's not automatic?

17

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

18

MR. BROWN: Would you consider it in a case?

19

JUROR NUMBER 42:

21

20

MR. BROWN: Okay. And could you, if you thought it was justified, return a recommendation of the death

22

23

penalty?

would have to do what is right.

24

Okay. And do you come in with any MR. BROWN:

JUROR NUMBER 42: If it was justified, then I

25

preconceived ideas or notions about what, in your mind, is the type of case that would justify the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

MR. BROWN: Let me explain to you a little bit about the process and how it works for a jury to get to the point where it can consider the death penalty. First, obviously, is the jury has to determine if the defendant's guilty or not, and they have to return a verdict of guilty of first degree murder. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. BROWN: If they return a verdict of a lesser charge, say second degree murder, or something else, then the death penalty would be off the table. If they return the verdict for first degree murder, we would reconvene, and then evidence from both sides would be presented to the jury concerning that sentencing; and then the Court would instruct you on how to evaluate the evidence and the process to go through. First thing the Court's going to tell you is, she would give to you a list, maybe one, probably longer than one, of what are known as aggravating circumstances. These are circumstances coming from the case that would, by their nature, justify the

imposition of the death penalty. And she will give those to you. Are you open to what she would give you to use to see if you think the death penalty is justified?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Now, the State of Florida has to prove those to you beyond and to the exclusion of every reasonable doubt. Just like proving guilt, we have to prove the aggravators beyond and to the exclusion of all reasonable doubt. So she would give you the list of aggravators, you would analyze those, and determine whether or not the State has proven at least one of those aggravators, or more than one. The key is that we have to prove at least one to you, and then you take whatever aggravator, or aggravators, that have been proven, you look at those and say, do these justify the death penalty?

Obviously, if you looked and you say, they do not, then your recommendation would be life in prison. If you feel they do justify the death penalty, then you go on to step two of the analysis. That's where you look at the mitigation evidence that's presented. As the Court indicated to you yesterday, that can be about, basically, the defendant, himself, his background, things of that nature, evidence about the

defendant. That also has to be proven to you, it's a lower burden of proof, it's to the greater weight of the evidence. Obviously, if mitigation evidence is presented and you feel it's not proven, then you disregard it, you don't consider it because it's not proven. All the mitigation evidence that's been presented to you, defendant's background, things of that nature, that you feel is proven, then you have to consider all of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Court's going to tell you that you have to go through a weighing process. Kind of like decisions you've had to make in your lifetime, you look at all the factors involved when you have to make an important decision, try to examine everything, consider everything. Some factors you consider much more important than others, right? The ones that you consider important, you gave them greater weight in your decision process. Other factors, you look at and consider, you look at them, but you decide that they carry little weight. Same thing you're going to do here, you consider everything that's been proven, you may elect to give something great weight, medium weight, or you may elect to give it little weight at No one can tell you how much weight to give something, we just want to make sure you're going to

MR. BROWN: Can you do it?

consider what's been put before you. Agreed?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. So you take that mitigation evidence, that mitigation circumstances, and you weigh that against the aggravators that you found. If the mitigation outweighs the aggravators, then your recommendation would be for life. If the mitigation does not outweigh the aggravators, then at that point you're in a position where you can legally render to the Court a recommendation for the death penalty.

Now, even at that point, Court's going to tell you you're not required to, she's not going to say, if you find A, B, C, then you must return a recommendation of death. The Court's never going to tell you that. You have to go through the process, find if we've proven the aggravators, find if they justify the death penalty, weigh that with the mitigation evidence that's been presented, see if the mitigation outweigh the aggravators; and after you've done that weighing process, do those aggravators justify the death penalty?

How do you feel about that process?

JUROR NUMBER 42: It's an analysis that needs to be done.

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. BROWN: How do you feel about being put in a position where you have to spend the next five weeks, maybe longer, in court and, ultimately, maybe asked the question and have to make the recommendation to the Court for life, or death?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I feel as if I've been asked to come forth and state my purpose of whether or not it's on the table for it, and somebody's life obviously depends on it, and be able to take all the evidence and everything you need to be able to say no or yes. So I would be here until the end, from the very beginning, if I was on that jury.

MR. BROWN: And if after listening to the evidence, if we've proven the aggravators, and you feel the death penalty is justified, can you return a recommendation for death?

JUROR NUMBER 42: If needed.

MR. BROWN: When you say "if needed," what do you mean by that?

JUROR NUMBER 42: That if everything's there and the circumstances support it, then that's what would be returned.

MR. BROWN: Okay. So if it's justified, you would return it?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Knowing that if you're selected as a juror and would have to deliberate on the guilt phase, and that if you come back first degree, then you're going to be back and facing the question of what recommendation to make, but if you were to come back with a lesser charge, say second degree, you can avoid that situation, you can avoid having to make that decision. Would you let the fact that you could go to a second degree murder charge, or other lesser charge, and avoid that situation, would that affect your verdict at all?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No. I mean, the evidence has to prove everything, and if it's not there, it's not there.

MR. BROWN: If the evidence proved to you that it was first degree murder, would you consider going to second degree simply to avoid having to make the decision of a recommendation of life or death?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Well, I wouldn't be going home and making the decision, it would be the whole jury group and --

MR. BROWN: Right.

JUROR NUMBER 42: Just going to avoid it to avoid it, no.

JUROR NUMBER 42: That became fully understood

when she read the charges.

MR. MOORE: Yes. Good. Good. I wanted to make

MR. BROWN: Can you assure us that you'll return the verdict that the evidence tells you that you should return?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Regardless of returning a first, that means you have to come back. It would be justice to return the verdict that the evidence tells you to return?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Judge, I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Questions by the defense.

MR. MOORE: Just to put things in a little bit sharper focus, when you mentioned a moment ago that somebody's life is at stake in the outcome here, it's, specifically, that gentleman right there (indicating), and whether he lives or dies could be the outcome of this process. Do you understand that? It's not just a hypothetical somebody, it's that person right there (indicating), Brandon Bradley. To put a face on the somebody who this case is about, that man sitting over there.

that clear. Now, would it be accurate for me to say, or guess, that you may not have thought where your position is on the death penalty before you came into this courtroom? Had you thought about it to the point where you thought, well, yeah, I'm for it, or, I'm not opposed to it, before you ever got involved in this process in here?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

MR. MOORE: Okay. And so I like to try to keep things simple, it makes -- it's a very complex issue, but I think there's some things about it that can be simplified; and, in my mind, I put people in your situation, at least on the issue of the death penalty, in one of two categories, you're for it, or you're against it. Now, since you're not against it, then I put you in the column that you're for it. And so why would you be -- wind up in that column? In other words, your position is that you're not against it, why are you not against it? What are the reasons why you're not against it, as opposed to being against it?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Every crime deserves its punishment, and with the evidence that's given, if somebody has done something that has justified that they deserve that punishment, then that's what they will get.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Let me put it in a little more personal terms, not just, that would be a good justification why Florida has chosen to have the death penalty. But for you, you personally, what would be a reason why you think that the death penalty is something that we should have?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Why do I think that it's something that we should have here in Florida? That's not up to me to decide. I mean, the justice system is the one that's put it in place. Either they use it because it's there, or they don't.

MR. MOORE: Okay. But why is it that you, personally, are not opposed to it? I'm not talking about justifying the state having it or not having it, but why, personally, for you, is your position that you're not opposed to it?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Well, like I said, every crime has its punishment, and that being one of them, then it -- if I was in the shoes where they ruled that I deserve that punishment, then that would be what I got. And there would be no fighting, no appealing. I've done it, I admitted it, then it would be on somebody else. So if I'm going to say that -- if I had done something where I'm in the shoes that that punishment needed to be handed to me, then those

people will give me the same benefit of the doubt that that's what was going to happen.

MR. MOORE: Okay. And so you believe that you could set a judgment in a process that could lead to the execution of Mr. Bradley?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: On a scale from 1 to 10, with 10 being the strongest support that you could have for the death penalty, and 0 being no support, or you're against it, what number would you give yourself?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I mean, I would be at a 5. Either it's there -- I couldn't 100 percent all the way to say that, yes, this is going to happen, they deserve it no matter what, or, no, it shouldn't be there.

MR. MOORE: Right. Nobody suggested it should be. I'm trying to find out where you are.

JUROR NUMBER 42: I would be right in the middle.

MR. MOORE: Have you ever had a different position on that? Let's say at some point in your life where you were for it, not in question, or maybe at some point in your life you were against it without any question?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Under different circumstances.

MR. MOORE: That's not what I'm asking. What I'm

\_ .

getting at is, have you ever had a change of heart about the death penalty, or is this how you've always felt?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I don't think so, no.

MR. MOORE: Do you belong to a church?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you know what your church teaches about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 42: We don't discuss those types of things at the church I go to.

MR. MOORE: Can you think in your mind about —
let me strike that. This is all new to you, right,
and you're worrying about this stuff for the first
time in your life, but we're trying to see where you
are with these concepts and how you feel about them,
the concept of aggravating circumstances, mitigating
circumstances. If you look at it this way, an
aggravating circumstance is one that suggests that a
sentence of death is the more appropriate sentence,
you understand that.

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yeah.

MR. MOORE: And mitigating circumstances are ones that suggest that a life without parole is the more appropriate sentence. And so it's been explained to you that the State has to prove at least one

aggravating circumstance before you can even talk about the death penalty. If they don't get to the point of proving that, then death is off the table, and it's got to be a life vote. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: With respect to the mitigating circumstances, it's -- in a hypothetical situation, where we'll say the State's proven a whole bunch, all there are, all that exist of aggravating circumstances, and the defense doesn't prove any mitigating circumstances, you are never required to vote for death, ever, at any point. Do you understand that?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Life without parole is always an option, regardless of what you decide in that weighing process.

JUROR NUMBER 42: Right.

MR. MOORE: And the burden of proving a mitigating circumstance is by the greater weight of the evidence, or if you're reasonably convinced that it exists, as opposed to -- if you have to find an aggravating circumstance, it's got to be beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you accept that there are two different burdens of proof for the two different

concepts?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Can you think of a mitigating circumstance, some factor in the defendant's background or life or the circumstances of a particular case that you would consider a mitigating circumstance; that is, something about that person, something about his background, something about the case which would suggest that the sentence for that first degree murder, appropriately, would be life without parole? Do you understand what I'm asking?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes, I know. If you're saying -- you're asking me if there was a reasonable doubt that -- there's more than one reasonable doubt that it should be, as far as the death penalty goes. I'm not going to say that's the first thing I'm going to jump to --

MR. MOORE: Sure.

JUROR NUMBER 42: -- or anything like that. I mean --

MR. MOORE: Okay. Well, first of all, you understand that life without parole means just that?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: That person never gets out alive.

JUROR NUMBER 42: Right.

MR. MOORE: Any question about that in your mind?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

MR. MOORE: What if you heard evidence of mental illness? We're talking about potential mitigating circumstances. Does that -- mental illness, is that something that you, if you heard evidence of it, and you were reasonably convinced that it exists, is that something you would be open to considering as a potentially mitigating circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 42: It would take the beyond a reasonable doubt for mental illness, yes.

MR. MOORE: Well, again -- I'm glad that you mentioned that, because it's a different burden of prove. If we're talking about aggravating circumstances, those have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt. Those things that support the death penalty, beyond a reasonable doubt, that's the level of proof. But for a mitigating circumstance, it's that you're reasonably convinced, or, yeah, I think that happened. Now, I may have some doubts, but it's reasonable for me to assume that they have proved that. Does that make -- do you understand what I'm saying? In other words, we don't have to prove mitigating circumstances beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

1.1

MR. MOORE: And so if you found that you were reasonably convinced by the evidence of mental illness, is that something that you would be open to considering as a mitigating circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: All right. If you were to hear testimony from mental health experts, psychologists, psychiatrists, people like that, would you be open to considering their testimony?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Or would you not want to hear their testimony in a case like this?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I want to hear it. If it was here and was presented, yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. All right. How about evidence of brain injury or brain damage, is that -- if there were evidence presented of that, and you were reasonably convinced of that --

JUROR NUMBER 42: If there was a black and white (unintelligible).

MR. MOORE: Okay. I mean, if there's evidence that you find that you're reasonably convinced that it shows evidence of brain damage or brain injury, is that something you'd be open to considering as

mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: How about this, we're talking about drug abuse, drug addiction, do you believe that drug addiction is choice?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I do believe it's a choice, yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you believe that some people struggle with addiction more than others?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you believe that people who are caught in the throes of addiction necessarily are going to choose to be unaddicted?

JUROR NUMBER 42: No.

MR. MOORE: So if you were presented evidence of drug addiction or drug abuse, would you be open to considering that potentially as a mitigating circumstance?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: If you were to hear evidence of physical or emotional abuse, is that something that you would be open to considering as potentially mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: If the judge read this instruction to

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

you as a potential mitigating circumstance, would you be able to accept this and follow it as a mitigating circumstance, or at least be open to considering it, "the capital felony was committed while the defendant was under the influence of extreme mental or emotional distress." Is that something that you would be open to considering?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. Here's another, "the capacity of the defendant to appreciate the criminality of his conduct or perform his conduct with the requirements of the law were substantially impaired." Is that something that you would be open to considering, potentially, as mitigating?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Do you have any feelings on the issue of guilt at this point, or innocence, of this defendant?

JUROR NUMBER 42: At this point now?

MR. MOORE: Given all that you know, which is very little, as you put it, what you heard in the media and all the time we spent asking questions, so that would be your position, you don't have a feeling one way or the other?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Right.

192021222324

25

MR. MOORE: But I -- you accept that at this point Mr. Bradley's presumed innocent?

JUROR NUMBER 42: At this point.

MR. MOORE: If you had to vote right now, what would your vote be?

JUROR NUMBER 42: I couldn't vote right now, because I haven't heard anything.

MR. MOORE: Well, if he's presumed innocent, then you'd have to say he's innocent at this point.

JUROR NUMBER 42: Correct.

MR. MOORE: Of course, you know, there's more to it than that, but that's presumption. We'll get to that later in a little more detail. But in the process of -- if you get to the point of deliberating on the sentence in the penalty phase, we call it the penalty phase of the process, each juror has the right to an individual vote, which is different from the guilt -- the first part of the trial, the guilt/innocence part, where you're just dealing with the charges. Here are the charges, here's the evidence, here's the law, now the jury can go back and deliberate and decide if there's guilt or innocence, and, if there's guilt, of what. In that part of the trial, the jury's recommendation has to be unanimous, all of the jurors have to agree. Either all agree

that it's not guilty, or all agree that it's guilty.

Now, the penalty part of the trial, each juror has the right to his or her own vote, and you do not have to all agree. You have the right to your decision. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And that you have the right to have your vote, whatever it is, respected, and not have people browbeat you or try to intimidate you to join them, or getting you to agree with their point of view. Do you understand?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: And can you extend that same courtesy to your fellow jurors, respect their points of view?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: Okay. If they disagree with you, that's their right, we'll let that go, they have the right to their own opinion. Can you do that?

JUROR NUMBER 42: Yes.

MR. MOORE: A moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MOORE: I don't have anymore questions.

THE COURT: Okay. Juror Number 42, you are still a part of this panel, but you don't need to be here tomorrow. What we're going to do is, we're going to

1

2

send you downstairs to the jury assembly room, and they're going to give you a phone number to call, and you're going to call between 1:00 and 5:00 on Wednesday, that's tomorrow, and they'll give you some further instructions about when to report next. Ιt may be Thursday, it may be Friday, it may be next week. If it is next week, it will not be Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday. It'll be Thursday or Friday. During this recess, you must continue to abide by the rules governing your service as a juror. Specifically, do not discuss this case with anyone, do not read any -- avoid reading newspaper headlines and articles relating to this trial or its participants, avoid seeing or hearing television, radio, or Internet comments about the case, and do not conduct any independent research about this case or its participants. Now, what you can tell people, you can tell people you have jury service, I'm going to the Brevard County Courthouse in Viera, I'm expected to be there at this time, I may be there until this time; but what you can't talk about is the trial, what trial you're here for, and what the facts and circumstances are for that trial. You can talk about the where and the when, but just not the what.

All right. At this time if you'll go downstairs,

they'll give you that information, and you can be on your way. Thank you.

(Thereupon, Juror Number 42 was escorted out of the courtroom by the court deputy; thereafter, Juror Number 43 was escorted into the courtroom by the court deputy and the proceedings were had as follows:)

THE COURT: Okay. Number 43, the first thing I want to do is thank you for being here, thank you for your service, and thank you for being patient with us regarding this process. It's been a long day for us as well. When you recessed, I told you about some rules that you have to abide by to govern your service as a juror, so I'm going to speak to you about that first. Have you been -- and this is since I gave you those rules. Have you been exposed to reading newspaper headlines or articles relating to this trial or its participants?

JUROR NUMBER 43: No.

THE COURT: Have you seen or heard television, radio, or Internet comments about this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Last night, I did see the news, that they were just picking jurors. That's all I really saw.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you try to avoid that, did it come on and you --

JUROR NUMBER 43: It was so quick. I was even in the other room, so it was sort of in passing. My wife says, hey, it's -- they're picking jurors, that's where you were. That's all I heard.

THE COURT: Okay. Does she know you're here for this case, or she just knows you're here?

JUROR NUMBER 43: She knows I'm here for this case.

THE COURT: Okay. Did she assume that, or did you tell her that?

JUROR NUMBER 43: She knew that. She just knew it.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, in the future, you can tell her -- if she knows, you can't discuss the facts or circumstances with her or anyone about the case, okay? Have you done any research regarding any matters concerning this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 43: No, I haven't.

THE COURT: And have you discussed the case with any of the potential jurors, or with anyone else?

JUROR NUMBER 43: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Other than your wife maybe coming to that conclusion, or -- did you discuss anything in detail with her? Did you discuss anything?

JUROR NUMBER 43: No. As a matter of fact, I told her, I can't discuss anything with you.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. I'm going to ask you some other questions, and then the State's going to be able to ask you some questions, and then the defense can ask you some questions. My first question is pretty general, what are your views about the death penalty?

JUROR NUMBER 43: I'm in favor of it.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to tell you a little bit about the process, and then I'm going to come back to you on that issue. There's two parts of the trial, the first part is called the guilt phase, the second part is called the penalty phase, if we get to the second part. In the first part, the guilt phase, if the jury returns a verdict of guilty to count one, and count one is the premeditated first degree murder charge, if there's a guilty verdict, then we proceed to the penalty phase. And in the penalty phase, as a juror, you will be asked to make a recommendation to the judge about a penalty; and the possible penalties that you are instructed to consider are death, or life in prison without the possibility of parole. Now, you have said that you are in favor of the death penalty.

JUROR NUMBER 43: Right.

THE COURT: Are you of the opinion that death is the only appropriate penalty for murder in the first degree, and is that opinion so strong that you would not consider life in prison without the possibility of parole under any circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 43: It depends on the circumstances.

THE COURT: Okay. If I were to instruct you that you have to consider both possible penalties, and we're going to give you further instructions on how to consider them, would you be able to do that?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Probably.

THE COURT: Okay. You say "probably," so tell me

-- there's no right or wrong answers in here. In

fact, this is the one opportunity where you get to

tell us whatever you want, and there's no right or

wrong answers. So tell me your concerns for the

"probably."

JUROR NUMBER 43: Well, my feeling is that the taxpayers keep too many people on death row. I think it's a waste of everybody's money, and I think most of them should get the death penalty, it's what they deserve. That's my general feeling about that.

THE COURT: Okay. Obviously, if -- it's a different issue once there is a death row sentence, or

death penalty sentence, there's a -- that's a different issue. So for here, you'd have to not consider that, because that's not where we're at in these proceedings. What I ask you to do is, you're going to be given detailed instructions, and the attorneys will talk to you a little more about that, about how you weigh aggravating circumstances against mitigating circumstances to determine what the penalty should be, they'll talk to you more about that in a few moments. But if you're saying -- and I'm asking, can you follow my instructions as to this weighing process and consider life in prison without the possibility of parole as an option under certain circumstances?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. And I'll let the attorneys question you more about that. So what do you know about this case, either from your own personal knowledge, rumor, by discussions with anyone else, or from the media, radio, television, Internet, electronic device, or newspapers?

JUROR NUMBER 43: I really have just seen the TV, what happened. All I know is that a gentleman took a police officer's life with a gun when she approached the vehicle.

THE COURT: Okay. That's what you -- the information that you believe you know from the -- is that from television?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Yes.

THE COURT: And the news accounts on the television?

JUROR NUMBER 43: That's the only thing that I remember seeing.

THE COURT: Okay. And while newspapers and television and the media report certain information, perhaps some of that's not always fully reported, or not always accurate, can you consider -- would you consider that?

JUROR NUMBER 43: It would take a lot for me to consider that that did not happen.

THE COURT: Okay. Can you set aside what you learned about the case and serve with an open mind and reach a verdict based on the law and on the evidence that's presented at this trial?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Yes, I can do that, but I think the evidence is going to show his guilt.

THE COURT: Okay. And you think that because of what you've heard and what you've seen?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Well --

THE COURT: See, when you walk in here, you've

kind of got to say -- you know, most people in our discussions have not come here and not known something about this case, with all due respect. So what we ask you to do is, okay, you heard that, you know that's out there, but for the purposes of this case, you have to set that aside and give the defendant a clean slate and wait until you -- you know, the State has the burden of proof, so let the State prove their case and follow my instructions as to how to reach your verdict, both in the guilt phase and the penalty phase.

JUROR NUMBER 43: Honestly, I find it very hard for me to separate what I've seen from my actions in this case if I were to be a juror.

THE COURT: Okay. And that's -- there's no right or wrong answers, we just ask you to be candor -- to have candor with the Court. Even if I say, hey, that's your instruction and that's what you have to do? Can you do it?

JUROR NUMBER 43: I would try to do it, of course.

THE COURT: Well, if you say you can't do it, that's not a wrong answer.

JUROR NUMBER 43: Well, I don't know if I can't do it until I try. I'm giving you the gist of how I

feel about the case depending on what I've seen so far. That's what I've seen so far, and I have a strong tendency to believe that's what happened.

THE COURT: Okay.

JUROR NUMBER 43: In other words, I can't see any other way it could have happened, in my mind. I don't think there's going to be any circumstances that will prove that that did not happen. If there are other circumstances, it's going to be amazing to me. It would blow my mind if it didn't happen the way they said it did.

THE COURT: Okay. I guess what we ask you to do is say, okay, you may know that's out there, we're not saying that you don't know that, but would you be -- can you walk in here and say, the State has the burden of proof, they have to prove it beyond and to the exclusion of every reasonable doubt, and I'm going to read what that means to you, and I'm going to read different instructions; are you going to make the State prove their burden?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Yes. I would say, yes, I would.

THE COURT: Okay. Or are you going to say, no, here's the scale of justice, and the State already -
I've already tipped it a little bit in their favor, or

already know?

3

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

JUROR NUMBER 43: Well --

maybe even a lot in their favor, based on what I

THE COURT: Because, remember, the defendant has the presumption of innocence and doesn't have to prove anything.

JUROR NUMBER 43: Right. Well, I know how the system works. Like I said, I just find it very difficult to separate myself from those feelings that I have after seeing what I've seen and heard what I've I mean, I'm -- like anyone else, I can sit there and listen to the evidence, and if the evidence proves that he's not quilty, then --

THE COURT: What if you heard something not inside this courtroom and the State -- you never heard anything about that in the trial, and you never -- the State never proved that as a fact, could you not consider that?

JUROR NUMBER 43: Yeah.

THE COURT: You could for purposes of reaching your verdict in this case?

JUROR NUMBER 43: I could.